.

SOME GENERALIZATIONS OF FENG QI TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES ON TIME SCALES

LI YIN AND VALMIR KRASNIQI

Abstract. In this paper, we provide some new generalizations of Feng Qi type integral inequalities on time scales by using elementary analytic methods.

1. Introduction

The following problem has been posed by Qi in [17]: under what conditions does the inequality

$$\int_a^b f^p(x)dx \geqslant \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx\right)^{p-1} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for $p > 1$? Later, this problem has been of great interest for many mathematicians. M. Akkouchi proved the following results in [1, p. 124, Theorem C].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $[a, b]$ be a closed interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$. For any continuous function $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ such that $f(a) \geqslant 0, f'(x) \geqslant p$, we have that*

$$\int_a^b f^{p+2}(x)dx \geqslant \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx\right)^{p+1}. \tag{1.2}$$

Then, it has been obtained the q-analogue of the previous result in [8, Proposition 3.5] as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 1$ be a real number and $f(x)$ be a function defined on $[a, b]_q$, such that $f(a) \geqslant 0, D_q f(x) \geqslant p$ for all $x \in (a, b]_q$. Then*

$$\int_a^b f^{p+2}(x)d_qx \geqslant \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(\int_a^b f(qx)d_qx\right)^{p+1}. \tag{1.3}$$

Later, V. Krasniqi and A. S. Shabani obtained some more sufficient conditions to Qi type h-integral inequalities in [13]. M. R. S. Rahmat got some (q, h)-analogues of integral inequalities on discrete time scales in [18]. L. Yin, Q. M. Luo and F. Qi obtained some Qi type inequalities on time scales in [21]. For more results, we refer the reader to the papers ([2]-[7], [9]-[11], [14]-[15], [19]-[20]). Recently, V. Karasniqi obtained some generalizations of Qi type inequalities in [12]. His main results are following two theorems.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33D05, 26D15,26E70,93C70.

Key words and phrases. Integral inequality, calculus of time scales, delta differentiability.

The first author was supported by NSF of Shandong Province under grant numbers ZR2012AQ028, and by the Science Foundation of Binzhou University under grant BZXYL1303.

Theorem 1.3. *If f is a non-negative increasing function on $[a,b]$ and satisfies $f'(x) \geqslant (t-2)(x-a)^{t-3}$ for $t \geqslant 3$, then*

$$\int_a^b f^t(x)dx - \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx\right)^{t-1} \geqslant f^{t-1}(a)\int_a^b f(x)dx. \tag{1.4}$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p \geqslant 1$. If f is a non-negative increasing function on $[a,b]$ and satisfies $f'(x) \geqslant p\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)^{p-1}$, then*

$$\int_a^b f^{p+2}(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(\int_a^b f(x)dx\right)^{p+1} \geqslant f^{p+1}(a)\int_a^b f(x)dx. \tag{1.5}$$

The main aim of this paper is to generalize the above results on time scales.

2. Notations and lemmas

2.1. **Notations.** A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. The forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ are defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\},$$

$$\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\},$$

where the supremum of the empty set is defined to be the infimum of $\mathbb{T}$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be right-scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$ and right-dense if $\sigma(t) = t$, and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $t > \inf \mathbb{T}$ is said to be left-scattered if $\rho(t) < t$ and left-dense if $\rho(t) = t$. A function $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd(ld)-continuous provided g is continuous at right(left)-dense points and has finite left(right)-sided limits at left(right)-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The graininess function μ (ν) for a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is defined by $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t(\nu(t) = t - \rho(t))$, and for every function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ the notation $f^\sigma(f^\rho)$ means the composition $f \circ \sigma(f \circ \rho)$. We also need below the set $\mathbb{T}^\kappa$ which is derived from the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ as follows: If $\mathbb{T}$ has a left-scattered maximum m, then $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$. Otherwise, $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$. Throughout this paper, we make the blanket assumption that a and b are points in $\mathbb{T}$. Often we assume $a \leqslant b$. We then define the interval $[a,b]$ in $\mathbb{T}$ by $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leqslant t \leqslant b\}$.

For a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, the delta(nabla) derivative $f^\Delta(t)(f^\nabla(t))$ at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is defined to be the number (if it exists) such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t with

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| < \varepsilon|\sigma(t) - s| \\ &(|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - f^\nabla(t)(\rho(t) - s)| < \varepsilon|\rho(t) - s|) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $s \in U$. If the delta(nabla) derivative $f^\Delta(t)(f^\nabla(t))$ exits for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then we say that f is delta(nabla) differentiable on $\mathbb{T}$. We will make use of the following product and rules for the derivatives of the product fg and the quotient f/g (where $gg^\sigma(gg^\rho) \neq 0$) of two delta(nabla) differentiable functions f and g,

$$\begin{aligned} &(fg)^\Delta = f^\Delta g + f^\sigma g^\Delta = fg^\Delta + f^\Delta g^\sigma \\ &((fg)^\nabla = f^\nabla g + f^\rho g^\nabla = fg^\nabla + f^\nabla g^\rho) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\Delta} = \frac{f^{\Delta}g - fg^{\Delta}}{gg^{\sigma}}$$
$$\left(\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\nabla} = \frac{f^{\nabla}g - fg^{\nabla}}{gg^{\rho}}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

Note that in the case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma(t) = \rho(t) = t, \mu(t) = \nu(t) = 0, f^{\Delta}(t)(f^{\nabla}(t)) = f'(t)$. and in the case $\mathbb{T} = q\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t + q, \rho(t) = t - q, \mu(t) = \nu(t) = q$,

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(t+q) - f(t)}{q} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$f^{\nabla}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(t-q)}{q} \tag{2.5}$$

If $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{Z}}, q > 1$, we have $\sigma(t) = qt, \rho(t) = \frac{t}{q}, \mu(t) = (q-1)t$,

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t}, t \neq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$f^{\nabla}(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(t/q)}{\left(t - t/q\right)}, t \neq 0 \tag{2.7}$$

A continuous function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called pre-differentiable with D, provided $D \subset \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$, $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} \backslash D$ is countable and contains no right-scattered elements of $\mathbb{T}$, and f is differentiable at each $t \in D$. Let f be rd(ld)-continuous. Then there exists a function F which is pre-differentiable with region of differentiation D such that $F^{\Delta}(x) = f(t)(F^{\nabla}(x) = f(t))$ holds for all $t \in D$. We define the Cauchy integral by

$$\int_b^c f(t)\Delta t = F(c) - F(b)$$
$$\left(\int_b^c f(t)\nabla t = F(c) - F(b)\right) \tag{2.8}$$

where F is a pre-antiderivative of f and $b, c \in \mathbb{T}$. The existence theorem [3, p. 27, Theorem 1.74] reads as follows: Every rd(ld)-continuous function has an antiderivative. In particular if $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then F defined by $F(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau)\Delta\tau \Bigg(F(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau)\nabla\tau \Bigg)$ is an antiderivative of f.

If f is delta(nabla) differentiable, then f is continuous and rd(ld)-continuous. We easily know that

$$\sigma, \rho, f^{\sigma}(x), (f^{\sigma}(x))^p, f^{\rho}(x), (f^{\rho}(x))^p \;\; p \in \mathbb{N}$$

are rd(ld)-continuous by using property of rd(ld)-continuous function. Thus, all integrals involving main results of this paper are meaningful.

2.2. **Lemmas.** The following lemmas are useful and some of them can be found in the book [3].

Lemma 2.1. [21, p. 423, Lemma 2.5] *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ *and* $p > 1$. *Assume* $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is delta differentiable at* $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ *and non-negative, increasing function on* $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$. *Then*

$$pg^{p-1}(x)g^\Delta(x) \leqslant (g^p(x))^\Delta \leqslant p(g^\sigma(x))^{p-1}g^\Delta(x). \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.2. [3, p. 28, Theorem 1.76] *If* $f^\Delta(x) \geqslant 0 (f^\nabla(x) \geqslant 0)$, *then* $f(x)$ *is nondecreasing.*

Lemma 2.3. [3, p. 5, Theorem 1.75] *Assume that* $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is rd-continuous at* $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. *Then*

$$\int_t^{\sigma(t)} f(\tau)\Delta\tau = f(t)\mu(t). \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ *and* $p > 1$. *Assume* $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is nabla differentiable at* $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ *and non-negative, increasing function on* $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$. *Then*

$$p(g^\rho(x))^{p-1}g^\nabla(x) \leqslant (g^p(x))^\nabla \leqslant pg^{p-1}(x)g^\nabla(x). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Using (2.2), we have

$$\left(g^2\right)^\nabla = (g + g^\rho)\, g^\nabla.$$

So, we easily obtain

$$(g^p)^\nabla = \left(g^{p-1} + g^\rho g^{p-2} + \cdots + (g^\rho)^{p-1}\right) g^\nabla.$$

by mathematical induction. Considering property of the function g, the proof is completed. □

For more discussion on time scales, we refer the reader to [3].

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ *and* $t \geqslant 3$. *Assume* $f, \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be delta differentiable at* $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. *If* f *is a non-negative, increasing function on* $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$ *and satisfies*

$$f^{t-2}(x)f^\Delta(x) \geqslant (t-2)(f^{\sigma^2}(x))^{t-2}(\sigma^2(x) - a)^{t-3}\sigma^\Delta(x) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\sigma^2(x) = \sigma(\sigma(x))$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b f^t(x)\Delta x - \left(\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x\right)^{t-1} \\ &\geqslant f^{t-2}(a)\left[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)\right]\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Define

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f^t(u)\Delta u - \left(\int_a^x f(u)\Delta u\right)^{t-1}$$

and $g(x) = \int_a^x f(u)\Delta u$. It is easy to see $g^\Delta(x) = f(x)$. Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F^\Delta(x) &\geqslant f^t(x) - (t-1)(g^\sigma(x))^{t-2}g^\Delta(x) \\ &= f(x)F_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(x) = f^{t-1}(x) - (t-1)(g^\sigma(x))^{t-2}$.

Using Lemma 2.1 again, we have

$$F_1^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant (t-1)f^{t-2}(x)f^{\Delta}(x) - (t-1)(t-2)(g^{\sigma^2}(x))^{t-3}f^{\sigma}(x)\sigma^{\Delta}(x).$$

Since f is a non-negative and increasing function, then

$$g^{\sigma^2}(x) = \int_a^{\sigma^2(x)} f(u)\Delta u \leqslant f^{\sigma^2}(x)(\sigma^2(x)-a). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1^{\Delta}(x)\\
&\geqslant (t-1)[f^{t-2}(x)f^{\Delta}(x) - (t-2)(f^{\sigma^2}(x))^{t-3}f^{\sigma}(x)(\sigma^2(x)-a)^{t-3}\sigma^{\Delta}(x)]\\
&\geqslant (t-1)[f^{t-2}(x)f^{\Delta}(x) - (t-2)(f^{\sigma^2}(x))^{t-2}(\sigma^2(x)-a)^{t-3}\sigma^{\Delta}(x)]\\
&\geqslant 0
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $F_1(x)$ is an increasing function. Hence,

$$F_1(x) \geqslant F_1(a) = f^{t-2}(a)[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)]$$

which means that

$$F^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant f^{t-2}(a)[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)]f(x)$$

by applying Lemma 2.3. It follows that

$$\left(F(x) - f^{t-2}(a)[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)]g(x)\right)^{\Delta} \geqslant 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(b) - f^{t-2}(a)[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)]g(b)\\
&\geqslant F(a) - f^{t-2}(a)[f(a) - (t-1)\mu^{t-2}(a)]g(a)\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This finish the proof. □

Remark 3.1. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $f(a) \neq 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we deduce Theorem 2.1 in [12].

Remark 3.2. If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 3.2 in [18].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $p \geqslant 1$. Assume $f, \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies*

$$f^p(x)f^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant \frac{p}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(f^{\sigma^2}(x)\right)^p\left(\sigma^2(x)-a\right)^{p-1}\sigma^{\Delta}(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b f^{p+2}(x)\Delta x - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x\right)^{p+1}\\
&\geqslant f^p(a)\left[f(a) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\mu^p(a)\right]\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Define

$$G(x) = \int_a^x f^{p+2}(t)\Delta t - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t \right)^{p+1}$$

and $g(x) = \int_a^x f(t)\Delta t$. Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\Delta}(x) &= f^{p+2}(x) - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} (g^{p+1}(x))^{\Delta} \\ &\geqslant f^{p+2}(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} (g^{\sigma}(x))^p g^{\Delta}(x) \\ &\geqslant f(x) \left[f^{p+1}(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} (g^{\sigma}(x))^p \right] \\ &= f(x) G_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $G_1(x) = f^{p+1}(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} (g^{\sigma}(x))^p$.

Using Lemma 2.1 and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1^{\Delta}(x) \\ & \geqslant (p+1) f^p(x) f^{\Delta}(x) - \frac{p(p+1)}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(g^{\sigma^2}(x) \right)^{p-1} f^{\sigma}(x) \sigma^{\Delta}(x) \\ & \geqslant (p+1) \left[f^p(x) f^{\Delta}(x) - \frac{p}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(f^{\sigma^2}(x) \right)^p \left(\sigma^2(x) - a \right)^{p-1} \sigma^{\Delta}(x) \right]. \\ & \geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G^{\Delta}(x) \geq f(x) G_1(a) \\ & \Leftrightarrow (G(x) - g(x) G_1(a))^{\Delta} \geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$G(x) - g(x) G_1(a) \geqslant G(a) - g(a) G_1(a) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.3. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $f(a) \neq 0$ in Theorem 3.2, we deduce Theorem 2.2 in [12].

Remark 3.4. If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.2 generalizes Theorem 3.3 in [18].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $p \geqslant 3$. Assume $f, \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies*

$$f^{p-3}(x) f^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant (p-2) \left(f^{\sigma^2}(x) \right)^{p-3} \left(\sigma^2(x) - a \right)^{p-3} \sigma^{\Delta}(x). \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b f^p(x)\Delta x - \left(\int_a^b f^{\rho}(x)\Delta x \right)^{p-1} \\ & \geqslant (f(a))^{p-2} [f(a) - (p-1)\mu^{p-2}(a)] \int_a^b f(\rho(x))\Delta x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Define

$$H(x)=\int_a^x f^p(t)\Delta t-\left(\int_a^x f^\rho(t)\Delta t\right)^{p-1}$$

and $g(x)=\int_a^x f^\rho(t)\Delta t$. Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}H^\Delta(x)&=f^p(x)-(g^{p-1}(x))^\Delta\\&\geqslant f^p(x)-(p-1)(g^\sigma(x))^{p-2}g^\Delta(x)\\&\geqslant f(\rho(x))H_1(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $H_1(x)=f^{p-1}(x)-(p-1)(g^\sigma(x))^{p-2}$.

Using Lemma 2.1 and (3.3) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&H_1^\Delta(x)\geqslant(p-1)f^{p-2}(x)f^\Delta(x)-(p-1)(p-2)\left(g^{\sigma^2}(x)\right)^{p-3}f(x)(\sigma(x))^\Delta\\&\geqslant(p-1)f(x)\left[f^{p-3}(x)f^\Delta(x)-(p-2)\left(f^{\sigma^2}(x)\right)^{p-3}\left(\sigma^2(x)-a\right)^{p-3}\sigma^\Delta(x)\right]\geqslant 0\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $H_1(x)$ is an increasing function. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}H_1(x)&\geqslant H_1(a)=f^{p-1}(a)-(p-1)(g^\sigma(a))^{p-2}\\&=(f(a))^{p-2}[f(a)-(p-1)\mu^{p-2}(a)]\end{aligned}$$

which means that $(H(x)-g(x)H_1(a))^\Delta\geqslant 0$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $a,b\in\mathbb{T}$ and $p\geqslant 1$. Assume $f,\sigma:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$ be delta differentiable at $t\in\mathbb{T}^\kappa$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a,b]_\mathbb{T}$ and satisfies*

$$(f^\sigma(x))^\Delta\geqslant p\sigma^\Delta(x), \tag{3.8}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_a^b(f^\sigma(x))^{p+2}\Delta x-\frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(\int_a^b f^\rho(x)\Delta x\right)^{p+1}\\&\geqslant\left[(f^\sigma(a))^{p+1}-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(f^\rho(a)\mu(a))^p\right]\int_a^b f(\rho(x))\Delta x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Define

$$W(x)=\int_a^x(f^\sigma(t))^p\Delta t-\left(\int_a^x f^\rho(t)\Delta t\right)^{p-1}$$

and $g(x)=\int_a^x f^\rho(t)\Delta t$. Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}W^\Delta(x)&\geqslant(f^\sigma(x))^{p+2}-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(g^\sigma(x))^p g^\Delta(x)\\&\geqslant f^\sigma(x)\left[f^\sigma(x))^{p+1}-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(g^\sigma(x))^p\right]\\&\geqslant f(\rho(x))W_1(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $W_1(x)=(f^\sigma(x))^{p+1}-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(g^\sigma(x))^p$.

Using Lemma 2.1 again, we have

$$W_1^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant (p+1)\left[\Big(f^{\sigma}(x)\Big)^{p}\Big(f^{\sigma}(x)\Big)^{\Delta} - \frac{p}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\Big(g^{\sigma^2}(x)\Big)^{p-1} f(x)(\sigma(x))^{\Delta}\right]$$

Since f is a non-negative and increasing function, then

$$g^{\sigma^2}(x) = \int_a^{\sigma^2(x)} f^{\rho}(t)\Delta t \leqslant f^{\rho\sigma^2}(x)(\sigma^2(x)-a) \leqslant f^{\sigma}(x)(b-a). \tag{3.10}$$

Hence,

$$W_1^{\Delta}(x) \geqslant (p+1)\Big(f^{\sigma}(x)\Big)^{p}\left[\Big(f^{\sigma}(x)\Big)^{\Delta} - p(\sigma(x))^{\Delta}\right].$$

By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $W_1(x)$ is an increasing function. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &W_1(x) \geqslant W_1(a) \\ &= (f^{\sigma}(a))^{p+1} - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(g^{\sigma}(a))^{p} \\ &= (f^{\sigma}(a))^{p+1} - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(f^{\rho}(a)\mu(a))^{p} \end{aligned}$$

which means that $(W(x) - g(x)W_1(a))^{\Delta} \geqslant 0$. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $p \geqslant 3$. Assume $f, \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies*

$$\Big(f^{\sigma}(x)\Big)^{\Delta} \geqslant (p-2)\Big(\sigma^2(x)-a\Big)^{p-3}\sigma^{\Delta}(x) \tag{3.11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b f^{\sigma}(x))^{p}\Delta x - \left(\int_a^b f^{\rho}(x)\Delta x\right)^{p-1} \\ &\geqslant (f^{\sigma}(a))^{p-2}\Big[f^{\sigma}(a) - (p-1)\mu^{p-2}(a)\Big]\int_a^b f(\rho(x))\Delta x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Define

$$Q(x) = \int_a^x (f^{\sigma}(t))^{p}\Delta t - \left(\int_a^x f^{\rho}(t)\Delta t\right)^{p-1}$$

and $g(x) = \int_a^x f^{\rho}(t)\Delta t$. Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &Q^{\Delta}(x) = (f^{\sigma}(x))^{p} - (g^{p-1}(x))^{\Delta} \\ &\geqslant (f^{\sigma}(x))^{p} - (p-1)(g^{\sigma}(x))^{p-2}g^{\Delta}(x) \\ &\geqslant f(\rho(x))Q_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_1(x) = (f^{\sigma}(x))^{p-1} - (p-1)(g^{\sigma}(x))^{p-2}$.

Using Lemma 2.1 and (3.10) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_1^{\Delta}(x)\\
&\geqslant (p-1)[(f^{\sigma}(x))^{p-2}(f^{\sigma}(x))^{\Delta}-(p-2)(g^{\sigma^2}(x))^{p-3}(g^{\sigma}(x))^{\Delta}]\\
&\geqslant (p-1)\left(f^{\sigma}(x)\right)^{p-2}\left[(f^{\sigma}(x))^{\Delta}-(p-2)\left(\sigma^2(x)-a\right)^{p-3}\sigma^{\Delta}(x)\right].\\
&\geqslant 0
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $Q_1(x)$ is an increasing function. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(x)&\geqslant Q_1(a)=(f^{\sigma}(a))^{p-1}-(p-1)(g^{\sigma}(a))^{p-2}\\
&\geqslant (f^{\sigma}(a))^{p-2}\left(f^{\sigma}(a)-(p-1)\mu^{p-2}(a)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\left(Q(x)-g(x)(f^{\sigma}(a))^{p-2}\left(f^{\sigma}(a)-(p-1)\mu^{p-2}(a)\right)\right)^{\Delta}\geqslant 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Next, we generalized Feng Qi type inequalities related to nabla derivative.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $a,b\in\mathbb{T}$ and $t\geqslant 3$. Assume $f:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$ be nabla differentiable at $t\in\mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies*

$$\left(f^{\rho}(x)\right)^{t-2}f^{\nabla}(x)\geqslant (t-2)(f(x))^{t-2}(x-a)^{t-3}. \tag{3.13}$$

Then

$$\int_a^b f^t(x)\nabla x-\left(\int_a^b f(x)\nabla x\right)^{t-1}\geqslant f^{t-1}(a)\int_a^b f(x)\nabla x. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Define

$$F(x)=\int_a^x f^t(u)\nabla u-\left(\int_a^x f(u)\nabla u\right)^{t-1}$$

and $g(x)=\int_a^x f(t)\nabla t$. It is easy to see $g^{\nabla}(x)=f(x)$. Using Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&F^{\nabla}(x)\\
&\geqslant f^t(x)-(t-1)(g(x))^{t-2}g^{\nabla}(x)\\
&=f(x)F_1(x)
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(x)=f^{t-1}(x)-(t-1)(g(x))^{t-2}$.

Using Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$F_1^{\nabla}(x)\geqslant (t-1)(f^{\rho}(x))^{t-2}(x)f^{\nabla}(x)-(t-1)(t-2)(g(x))^{t-3}f(x).$$

Since f is a non-negative and increasing function, then

$$g(x)=\int_a^x f(t)\nabla t\leqslant f(x)(x-a). \tag{3.15}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &F_1^{\nabla}(x) \\ &\geqslant (t-1)\Big[(f^{\rho}(x))^{t-2}f^{\nabla}(x)-(t-2)(f(x))^{t-2}(x-a)^{t-3}\Big] \\ &\geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $F_1(x)$ is an increasing function. Hence,

$$F_1(x)\geqslant F_1(a)$$

which means that

$$F^{\nabla}(x)\geqslant F_1(a)f(x).$$

It follows that

$$\Big(F(x)-F_1(a)g(x)\Big)^{\nabla}\geqslant 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$F(b)-f^{t-1}(a)g(b)\geqslant F(a)-f^{t-1}(a)g(a)=0.$$

This finish the proof. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $a,b\in\mathbb{T}$ and $p\geqslant 1$. Assume $f:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$ be nabla differentiable at $t\in\mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$. If f is a non-negative, increasing function on $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies*

$$(f^{\rho}(x))^{p}f^{\nabla}(x)\geqslant\frac{p}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(f^{p}(x))(x-a)^{p-1}. \tag{3.16}$$

then

$$\int_a^b f^{p+2}(x)\nabla x-\frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(\int_a^b f(x)\nabla x\right)^{p+1}\geqslant f^{p+1}(a)\int_a^b f(x)\nabla x. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Define

$$G(x)=\int_a^x f^{p+2}(t)\nabla t-\frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\left(\int_a^x f(t)\nabla t\right)^{p+1}$$

and $g(x)=\int_a^x f(t)\nabla t$. Using Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\nabla}(x)&=f^{p+2}(x)-\frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}(g^{p+1}(x))^{\nabla} \\ &\geqslant f^{p+2}(x)-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}g^{p}(x)g^{\nabla}(x) \\ &\geqslant f(x)\left[f^{p+1}(x)-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}g^{p}(x)\right] \\ &=f(x)G_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $G_1(x)=f^{p+1}(x)-\frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}g^{p}(x)$.

Using Lemma 2.4 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G_1^{\nabla}(x) \\ &\geqslant (p+1)\left[(f^{\rho}(x))^{p}f^{\nabla}(x)-\frac{p}{(b-a)^{p-1}}f^{p}(x)(x-a)^{p-1}\right]. \\ &\geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$G^{\nabla}(x) \geq f(x)G_1(a)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow (G(x) - g(x)G_1(a))^{\nabla} \geqslant 0$$

which implies

$$G(x) - g(x)G_1(a) \geqslant G(a) - g(a)G_1(a) = 0.$$

The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.5. Similar Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we easily obtain similar Feng Qi type inequalities related to the nabla derivative. We omit the details for the sake of simplicity.

References

[1] M. Akkouchi: *Some integral inequalities*, Divulgaciones Math., **11** (2003), No. 2, 121-125. 1
[2] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman: *Inequalities*, Springer, Berlin, 1983. 1
[3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson: *Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications*, Birkhauser, Boston, (2001). 3, 4
[4] L. Bougoffa: *An integral inequality similar to Qi's inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **6** (2005), No. 1, Art. 27.
[5] L. Bougoffa: *Note on an open problem*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math.,**8** (2007), No. 2, Art. 58.
[6] L. Bougoffa: *Note on Qi type integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **9** (2008), No. 2, Art. 43.
[7] K. Boukerrioua and A. G. Lakoud: *On an open question regarding an integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **8** (2007), No. 3, Art. 77. 1
[8] K. Brahim, N. Bettabi and M. Sellemi: *On some Feng-Qi type q-integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **4** (2003), No. 4, Art. 77. 1
[9] Y. Chen and J. Kimball: *Note on an open problem of Feng Qi*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **7** (2007), No. 1, Art. 4. 1
[10] B. Gavrea: *On some integral inequalities*, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, **157** Birkhäuser Basel (2009), No. 2, 91-95.
[11] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Poyla: *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952. 1
[12] V. Krasniqi: *Some generalizations of Feng Qi type integral inequalities*, Octogon Math. Mag., **20** (2012), No. 2, 464-467. 1, 5, 6
[13] V. Krasniqi and A. Sh. Shabani: *On some Feng Qi type h-integral inequalities*, Int. J. Open Probl. Comput. Sci. Math., **2** (2009), No. 4, 516-521. 1
[14] W. J. Liu, Q. A. Ngô and V. N. Huy: *Several interesting integral inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal., **3** (2009), No. 2, 201-212. 1
[15] S. Mazouzi and F. Qi: *On an open problem regarding an integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **4** (2003), No. 2, Art. 31. 1
[16] D. S. Mitrinović: *Analytic inequalities*, Springer-verlag, New York, 1970.
[17] F. Qi: *Several integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **1** (2000), No. 2, Art. 19. 1
[18] M. R. S. Rahmat: *On some (q,h)-analogues of integral inequalities on discrete time scales,* Comp. Math. Appl., **62**(2012), No. 4, 1790-1797. 1, 5, 6
[19] S. Saiton, V. K: Tuán and M. Yamamoto: *Reverse convolution inequalities and applications to inverse heat source problems*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math., **3**(2002), No. 5, Art. 80. 1
[20] L. Yin: *On Several new Qi's inequalities*, Crea. Math. Inform., **20**(2011), No. 1, 90-95. 1
[21] L. Yin, Q. M. Luo and F. Qi: *Several integral inequalities on time scales*, J. Math. Inequal., **6**(2012), No. 3, 419-429. 1, 4

(L. Yin) Department of Mathematics, Binzhou University, Binzhou City, Shandong Province, 256603, China
E-mail address: yinli_79@163.com

(V. Krasniqi) Department of Mathematics,University of Prishtinë, Prishtinë, 10000, Republic of Kosova
E-mail address: vail.99@hotmail.com