

A new characterization of the invertibility of polynomial maps

ELŻBIETA ADAMUS

Faculty of Applied Mathematics,
AGH University of Science and Technology
al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: esowa@agh.edu.pl

PAWEŁ BOGDAN

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Jagiellonian University
ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: pawel.bogdan@uj.edu.pl

TERESA CRESPO

Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria,
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: teresa.crespo@ub.edu

ZBIGNIEW HAJTO

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Jagiellonian University
ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: zbigniew.hajto@uj.edu.pl

Abstract

In this paper we present an equivalent statement to the Jacobian conjecture. For a polynomial map F on an affine space of dimension n , we define recursively n finite sequences of polynomials. We give an equivalent condition to the invertibility of F as well as a formula for F^{-1} in terms of these finite sequences of polynomials. Some examples illustrate the effective aspects of our approach.

1 Introduction

The Jacobian Conjecture originated in the question raised by Keller in [8] on the invertibility of polynomial maps with Jacobian determinant equal to 1. The question is still open in spite of the efforts of many mathematicians. We recall in the sequel the precise statement of the Jacobian Conjecture, some reduction theorems and other results we shall use. We refer to [5] for a detailed account of the research on the Jacobian Conjecture and related topics.

Let K be a field and $K[X] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ the polynomial ring in the variables X_1, \dots, X_n over K . A *polynomial map* is a map $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ of the form

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto (F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, F_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)),$$

where $F_i \in K[X]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The polynomial map F is *invertible* if there exists a polynomial map $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n) : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ such that $X_i = G_i(F_1, \dots, F_n)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We shall call F a *Keller map* if the Jacobian matrix

$$J = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$$

has determinant equal to 1. Clearly an invertible polynomial map F has a Jacobian matrix J with non zero determinant and may be transformed into a Keller map by composition with the linear automorphism with matrix $J(0)^{-1}$.

Jacobian Conjecture. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. A Keller map $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ is invertible.*

In the sequel, K will always denote a field of characteristic 0. For $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in K[X]^n$, we define the *degree* of F as $\deg F = \max\{\deg F_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. It is known that if F is a polynomial automorphism of K^n , then $\deg F^{-1} \leq (\deg F)^{n-1}$ (see [1] or [9]).

The Jacobian conjecture for quadratic maps was proved by Wang in [10]. We state now the reduction of the Jacobian conjecture to the case of maps of third degree (see [1], [11], [2] and [3]).

Proposition 1. a) (Bass-Connell-Wright-Yagzhev) Given a Keller map $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$, there exists a Keller map $\tilde{F} : K^N \rightarrow K^N$, $N \geq n$ of the form $\tilde{F} = Id + H$, where $H(X)$ is a cubic homogeneous map and having the following property: if \tilde{F} is invertible, then F is invertible too.

b) (Drużkowski) The cubic part H may be chosen of the form

$$\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{1j} X_j \right)^3, \dots, \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{Nj} X_j \right)^3 \right)$$

and with the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$ satisfying $A^2 = 0$.

Polynomial maps in the Drużkowski form are easier to handle than general cubic homogeneous polynomial maps. However we note the following result.

Proposition 2 ([6] Proposition 2.9). Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If the Jacobian Conjecture holds for all cubic homogeneous polynomial maps in r variables, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the Jacobian Conjecture holds for all polynomial maps of the form

$$F = X + (AX)^3$$

with $A \in M_n(K)$ and $\text{rank } A \leq r$.

In [4] Drużkowski and Rusek give the following inversion formula for cubic homogeneous polynomial maps.

Theorem 3 ([4], Theorem 2.1). Let $H : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ be a cubic homogeneous polynomial map, $F = Id - H$ and let $G = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G_j$, where $G_j : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree j , be the formal inverse of F . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= Id, \\ G_{2k+1} &= \sum_{p+q+r=k-1} \varphi_H(G_{2p+1}, G_{2q+1}, G_{2r+1}), \forall k \geq 1, \\ G_{2k} &= 0, \forall k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where φ_H denotes the unique symmetric trilinear map such that $\varphi_H(X, X, X) = H(X)$.

As a corollary, they obtain that, if for some natural number k , we have

$$G_{3^{k+2}} = \cdots = G_{3^{k+1}} = 0, \quad (1)$$

then F is a polynomial automorphism and $\deg F^{-1} \leq 3^k$. However, in [7], Gorni and Zampieri present an example of a polynomial automorphism of \mathbb{C}^4 for which condition (1) is not satisfied for any k (see example 7 below).

In this paper we present an algorithm providing a new characterization of the invertibility of polynomial maps. Given a polynomial map $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ of the form $F = Id + H$, where $H(X)$ has lower degree ≥ 2 , we define recursively, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, a sequence P_k^i of polynomials in $K[X]$ with $P_0^i = X_i$ such that F is invertible if and only if the alternating sum $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j P_j^i(X)$ satisfies a certain relation with P_m^i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where m is an integer given explicitly and depending on the degrees of the components of H . When F is invertible, its inverse F^{-1} is given in terms of these alternating sums of polynomials. In the last section, we apply the algorithm to several examples of polynomial maps, including the one of Gorni and Zampieri.

2 A sufficient condition for invertibility

Let us consider a polynomial map $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$. Given a polynomial $P(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in K[X] = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, we define the following sequence of polynomials in $K[X]$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ P_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= P_0(F_1, \dots, F_n) - P_0(X_1, \dots, X_n), \end{aligned}$$

and, assuming P_{k-1} is defined,

$$P_k(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_{k-1}(F_1, \dots, F_n) - P_{k-1}(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 4. *For a positive integer m , we have*

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l(F_1, \dots, F_n) + (-1)^m P_m(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

In particular, if we assume that for some integer m , $P_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l(F_1, \dots, F_n).$$

Corollary 5. *Let $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ be a polynomial map. Let us consider the polynomial sequence (P_k^i) constructed with $P = X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let us assume that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists an integer m_i such that $P_{m_i}^i = 0$. Then the inverse map G of F is given by*

$$G_i(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)^l P_l^i(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The condition $P_{m_i}^i = 0$, for some integer m_i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, is not necessary for the invertibility of F (see example 7). However we give in theorem 8 an equivalent condition to the invertibility of F using a finite number of terms of the polynomial sequences (P_k^i) . The following lemma gives a precise description of the polynomials P_k^i .

Lemma 6. *Let $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ be a polynomial map of the form*

$$\begin{cases} F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1 + H_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ F_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_n + H_n(X_1, \dots, X_n), \end{cases}$$

where $H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial in X_1, \dots, X_n of degree D_i and lower degree d_i , with $d_i \geq 2$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $d = \min d_i$, $D = \max D_i$. Then for the polynomial sequence (P_k^i) constructed with $P = X_i$ we have that P_k^i is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{k-1}D_i$ and lower degree $\geq (k-1)(d-1) + d_i$.

In particular, if each H_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d , we have

$$P_k^i = \sum_{j=1}^{(d^k-1)/(d-1)-k+1} Q_{kj},$$

where Q_{kj} is a homogeneous polynomial in X_1, \dots, X_n of degree $(k+j-1)(d-1) + 1$.

Proof. Let us consider, for a fixed i , the polynomial sequence

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= X_i, \\ P_1^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= F_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) - X_i = H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ P_2^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= H_i(F_1, \dots, F_n) - H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We write the Taylor series for the polynomial $H_i(F_1, \dots, F_n) = H_i(X_1 + H_1, \dots, X_n + H_n)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_2^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= H_i(F_1, \dots, F_n) - H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &= Q_{21}^i + Q_{22}^i + \dots + Q_{2D_i}^i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{21}^i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial X_j} H_j \\ Q_{22}^i &= \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 H_i}{\partial X_{j_1} \partial X_{j_2}} H_{j_1} H_{j_2} \\ &\vdots \\ Q_{2D_i}^i &= \frac{1}{D_i!} \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{D_i}=1}^n \frac{\partial^{D_i} H_i}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_{D_i}}} H_{j_1} \dots H_{j_{D_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial P_2^i has lower degree equal to the lower degree of Q_{21}^i , which is $\geq d + d_i - 1$, and degree equal to the degree of $Q_{2D_i}^i$, which is $\leq D \cdot D_i$. Let us prove by induction that P_k^i is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{k-1} D_i$ and lower degree $\geq (k-1)(d-1) + d_i$. We have already seen it for $k = 2$. Let us assume P_{k-1}^i is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{k-2} D_i$ and lower degree $\geq (k-2)(d-1) + d_i$. We want to prove the property for P_k^i . We have

$$P_k^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_{k-1}^i(F_1, \dots, F_n) - P_{k-1}^i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

If $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial of degree S and lower degree s ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(F_1, \dots, F_n) - Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_j} H_j + \dots + \frac{1}{S!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_S} \frac{\partial^S Q}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_S}} H_{j_1} \dots H_{j_S} \end{aligned}$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq S \cdot D$ and lower degree $\geq s - 1 + d$. Hence $P_{k-1}^i(F_1, \dots, F_n) - P_{k-1}^i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{k-1}D_i$ and lower degree $\geq (k-1)(d-1) + d_i$.

The homogeneous case is proved analogously using induction. \square

Example 7. We shall consider the polynomial automorphism of \mathbb{C}^4 given in [7] to prove that the condition $P_{m_i}^i = 0$, for some m_i , for all i , is not a necessary condition to the invertibility of F .

Let $p := X_1X_3 + X_2X_4$ and define F by

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = X_1 + pX_4 \\ F_2 = X_2 - pX_3 \\ F_3 = X_3 + X_4^3 \\ F_4 = X_4 \end{cases}$$

Clearly $P_1^4 = 0$ and $P_2^3 = 0$. But P_j^1 and P_j^2 are not zero for any j . In order to prove that $P_j^1 \neq 0$, we shall prove by induction that the homogeneous summand of lowest degree Q_{j1}^1 of P_j^1 has the following form depending on the parity of j , for all $j \geq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2k,1}^1 &= X_1X_4^{4k} \\ Q_{2k+1,1}^1 &= X_1X_3X_4^{4k+1} + X_2X_4^{4k+2} \end{aligned}$$

By calculation we obtain $Q_{21}^1 = X_1X_4^4$, $Q_{31}^1 = X_1X_3X_4^5 + X_2X_4^6$. Now, $Q_{2k,1}^1 = X_1X_4^{4k} \Rightarrow Q_{2k+1,1}^1 = X_4^{4k}H_1 + 4kX_1X_4^{4k-1}H_4 = X_4^{4k}(X_1X_3 + X_2X_4)X_4 = X_1X_3X_4^{4k+1} + X_2X_4^{4k+2}$ and $Q_{2k+1,1}^1 = X_1X_3X_4^{4k+1} + X_2X_4^{4k+2} \Rightarrow Q_{2k+2,1}^1 = X_3X_4^{4k+1}H_1 + X_4^{4k+2}H_2 + X_1X_4^{4k+1}H_3 + ((4k+1)X_1X_3X_4^{4k} + (4k+2)X_2X_4^{4k+1})H_4 = X_3X_4^{4k+1}(X_1X_3 + X_2X_4)X_4 - X_4^{4k+2}(X_1X_3 + X_2X_4)X_3 + X_1X_4^{4k+4} = X_1X_4^{4(k+1)}$.

Analogously, in order to prove that $P_j^2 \neq 0$, we shall prove by induction that the homogeneous summand of lowest degree Q_{j1}^2 of P_j^2 has the following form depending on the parity of j , for all $j \geq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2k,1}^2 &= -2kX_1X_3X_4^{4k-1} - (2k-1)X_2X_4^{4k} \\ Q_{2k+1,1}^2 &= -X_1X_3^2X_4^{4k} - X_2X_3X_4^{4k+1} - 2kX_1X_4^{4k+2} \end{aligned}$$

By calculation we obtain $Q_{21}^2 = -2X_1X_3X_4^3 - X_2X_4^4$, $Q_{31}^1 = -X_1X_3^2X_4^4 - X_2X_3X_4^5 - 2X_1X_4^6$. Now $Q_{2k,1}^2 = -2kX_1X_3X_4^{4k-1} - (2k-1)X_2X_4^{4k} \Rightarrow Q_{2k+1,1}^2 = -2kX_3X_4^{4k-1}H_1 - (2k-1)X_4^{4k}H_2 - 2kX_1X_4^{4k-1}H_3 = -X_1X_3^2X_4^{4k} - X_2X_3X_4^{4k+1} - 2kX_1X_4^{4k+2}$ and $Q_{2k+1,1}^2 = -X_1X_3^2X_4^{4k} - X_2X_3X_4^{4k+1} - 2kX_1X_4^{4k+2}$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{2k+2,1}^2 = (-X_3^2 X_4^{4k} - 2k X_4^{4k+2}) H_1 - X_3 X_4^{4k+1} H_2 - (2X_1 X_3 X_4^{4k} + X_2 X_4^{4k+1}) H_3 = -(2k+2) X_1 X_3 X_4^{4k+3} - (2k+1) X_2 X_4^{4k+4}.$$

3 An equivalent condition to invertibility

The following theorem gives an equivalent condition to the invertibility of F using a finite number of terms in the polynomial sequences (P_k^i) .

Theorem 8. *Let $F : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ be a polynomial map of the form*

$$\begin{cases} F_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= X_1 + H_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &\vdots \\ F_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= X_n + H_n(X_1, \dots, X_n), \end{cases}$$

where $H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial in X_1, \dots, X_n of degree D_i and lower degree d_i , with $d_i \geq 2$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $d = \min d_i$, $D = \max D_i$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) F is invertible.
- 2) For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and every $m > \frac{D^{n-1} - d_i}{d-1} + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j P_j^i(X) = G_i(X) + R_m^i(X).$$

where $G_i(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{n-1}$, independent of m , and $R_m^i(X)$ is a polynomial satisfying $R_m^i(F) = (-1)^{m+1} P_m^i(X)$ (with lower degree $\geq (m-1)(d-1) + d_i > D^{n-1}$).

- 3) For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $m = \lfloor \frac{D^{n-1} - d_i}{d-1} + 1 \rfloor + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j P_j^i(X) = G_i(X) + R_m^i(X).$$

where $G_i(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{n-1}$, and $R_m^i(X)$ is a polynomial satisfying $R_m^i(F) = (-1)^{m+1} P_m^i(X)$.

Moreover the inverse G of F is given by

$$G_i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l \tilde{P}_l^i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where \tilde{P}_l^i is the sum of homogeneous summands of P_l^i of degree $\leq D^{n-1}$ and m is an integer $> \frac{D^{n-1}-d_i}{d-1} + 1$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2): If F is invertible, then $G = F^{-1}$ has degree $\leq D^{n-1}$. Applying lemma 4, we obtain, for any positive integer m ,

$$X_i = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l(F_1, \dots, F_n) + (-1)^m P_m(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Since $X_i = G_i(F_1, \dots, F_n)$, we obtain the following equality of polynomials in the variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n .

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^m P_m(G_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \dots, G_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) &= G_i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\ &\quad - (-1)^m P_m(G_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \dots, G_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)), \end{aligned}$$

Hence, writing

$$R_m^i(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) := -(-1)^m P_m(G_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \dots, G_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)),$$

we obtain 2). Now, G_i is a polynomial of degree at most D^{n-1} in Y_1, \dots, Y_n . For an integer m such that $m > \frac{D^{n-1}-d_i}{d-1} + 1$, P_m is a polynomial in the variables X_1, \dots, X_n of lower degree bigger than D^{n-1} , hence the lower degree of $P_m(G_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \dots, G_n(Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$ in the variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n is bigger than D^{n-1} . Therefore, the sum of homogeneous summands of degrees not bigger than D^{n-1} in the righthand side of the equality above is precisely $\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l \tilde{P}_l^i(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$.

2) \Rightarrow 3) is obvious.

3) \Rightarrow 1): Let us assume that for $m = \lfloor \frac{D^{n-1}-d_i}{d-1} + 1 \rfloor + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j P_j^i(X) = G_i(X) + R_m^i(X),$$

where $G_i(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq D^{n-1}$ and $R_m^i(X)$ is a polynomial satisfying $R_m^i(F) = (-1)^{m+1} P_m^i(X)$. By lemma 4, we have

$$X_i = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (-1)^l P_l^i(F_1, \dots, F_n) + (-1)^m P_m^i(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

We obtain then

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= G_i(F) + R_m^i(F) + (-1)^m P_m^i(X) \\ &= G_i(F) + (-1)^{m+1} P_m^i(X) + (-1)^m P_m^i(X) \\ &= G_i(F). \end{aligned}$$

Hence F is invertible with inverse $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n)$. \square

4 Examples

4.1

We consider the following nonhomogeneous Keller map in dimension 2.

$$\begin{cases} F_1 &= X_1 + (X_2 + X_1^3)^2 \\ F_2 &= X_2 + X_1^3 \end{cases}$$

Let us write $H_1 := (X_2 + X_1^3)^2$, $H_2 := X_1^3$. With the notations in theorem 8, we have $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 3$, $d = 2$, $D = 6$ and we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^5 (-1)^i P_i^1(X) = X_1 - X_2^2 + R_6^1(X),$$

where $R_6^1(X)$ is a polynomial of degree 6^5 and lower degree 9 satisfying $R_6^1(F) = -P_6^1(X)$, and

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 (-1)^i P_i^2(X) = X_2 - X_1^3 + 3X_1^2 X_2^2 - 3X_1 X_2^4 + X_2^6 + R_5^2(X),$$

where $R_5^2(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $3 \cdot 6^3$ and lower degree 8 satisfying $R_5^2(F) = P_5^2(X)$. Hence the inverse of F is given by

$$\begin{cases} G_1 &= X_1 - X_2^2 \\ G_2 &= X_2 - X_1^3 + 3X_1^2 X_2^2 - 3X_1 X_2^4 + X_2^6 \end{cases}$$

4.2

We consider the following Keller map F in dimension 5.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_1 = X_1 + a_1 X_4^3 + a_2 x_4^2 X_5 + a_3 X_4 X_5^2 + a_4 x_5^3 + \frac{a_2 c_5 X_2 X_4^2}{c_2} + \frac{2a_3 c_5 X_2 X_4 X_5}{c_2} \\ \quad + \frac{3a_4 c_5 X_2 X_5^2}{c_2} - \frac{a_2 e_2 X_3 X_4^2}{c_2} - \frac{2a_3 e_2 X_3 X_4 X_5}{c_2} - \frac{3a_4 e_2 X_3 X_5^2}{c_2} \\ \quad + \frac{a_3 c_5^2 X_2^2 X_4}{c_2^2} + \frac{3a_4 c_5^2 X_2^2 X_5}{c_2^2} - \frac{2a_3 c_5 e_2 X_2 X_3 X_4}{c_2^2} - \frac{6a_4 c_5 e_2 X_2 X_3 X_5}{c_2^2} \\ \quad + \frac{a_3 e_2^2 X_3^2 X_4}{c_2^2} + \frac{3a_4 e_2^2 X_3^3 X_5}{c_2^2} + \frac{a_4 e_5^3 X_2^3}{c_2^3} - \frac{3a_4 c_5^2 e_2 X_2^2 X_3}{c_2^3} \\ \quad + \frac{3a_4 c_5 e_2^2 X_2 X_3^2}{c_2^3} - \frac{a_4 e_2^3 X_3^3}{c_2^3} \\ F_2 = X_2 + b_1 X_4^3 \\ F_3 = X_3 + c_5 X_2 X_4^2 + c_1 X_4^3 + c_2 X_4^4 X_5 - e_2 X_3 X_4^2 \\ F_4 = X_4 \\ F_5 = X_5 + e_2 X_4^2 X_5 + \frac{c_5 e_2 X_2 X_4^2}{c_2} - \frac{e_2^2 X_3 X_4^2}{c_2} - \frac{(b_1 c_5 - c_1 e_2) X_4^3}{c_2} \end{array} \right.$$

with parameters $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, c_1, c_2, c_5, e_2$. By applying the algorithm we obtain $P_2^i = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, 5$, hence F is a quasi-translation, i.e. $F^{-1} = 2Id - F$.

4.3

We consider the following Keller map F in dimension 6

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_1 = X_1 + a_5 e_1 (X_1 + X_2)^3 / a_4 + a_4 X_2 X_4 X_6 + a_5 X_4 X_5 X_6 \\ F_2 = X_2 - a_5 e_1 (X_1 + X_2)^3 / a_4 \\ F_3 = X_3 + c_1 X_1^3 + c_2 (X_1 + X_5)^3 + c_3 (X_1 + X_2)^3 + c_4 (X_1 + X_4)^3 + c_5 X_6^3 \\ F_4 = X_4 + d_4 X_2 X_6^2 + a_5 d_4 X_5 X_6^2 / a_4 \\ F_5 = X_5 + e_1 (X_1 + X_2)^3 \\ F_6 = X_6 \end{array} \right.$$

with parameters $a_4, a_5, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, d_4, e_1$. Denoting $G = F^{-1}$ and taking variables (Y_1, \dots, Y_6) for G , we obtain $P_8^1 = 0, P_9^2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 = & -(20a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^9 d_4^3 Y_2^3 Y_5^3 a_4^3 - 6a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_4 Y_5^3 d_4 Y_2 a_4^2 + a_5 e_1 a_4^6 Y_6^9 Y_2^6 d_4^3 + 3Y_6^3 a_5 e_1 Y_2^4 d_4 a_4^4 \\ & + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^6 Y_2^5 d_4^2 - a_5 e_1 a_4^6 Y_2^3 Y_4^3 Y_6^3 + a_5^2 Y_2 Y_4 Y_6 - Y_6^3 a_4^5 d_4 Y_2^2 + a_5^7 e_1 Y_6^9 d_4^3 Y_5^6 \\ & + a_5 e_1 a_4^3 Y_1^3 + a_5 e_1 a_4^3 Y_2^3 - Y_1 a_4^4 - 3a_5^2 e_1 a_4^5 Y_2^2 Y_4^3 Y_6^3 Y_5 - 6a_5 e_1 Y_1 Y_2^2 Y_4 Y_6 a_4^4 \\ & + 3a_5^5 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_1 d_4^2 Y_5^4 a_4 + 12a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_2^2 d_4^2 Y_5^3 a_4^2 - 6a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_1 Y_4 d_4 Y_5^3 a_4^2 + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^3 Y_1 Y_2^2 \\ & - 6a_5 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^4 Y_1 Y_2^3 Y_4 d_4 + 3Y_6^3 a_5 e_1 Y_1^2 Y_2^2 d_4 a_4^4 + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^5 Y_1 Y_2^2 Y_4^2 Y_6^2 - 30a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^7 Y_4 d_4^2 Y_2^3 Y_5^2 a_4^4 \\ & + 6Y_6^3 a_5 e_1 Y_1 Y_2^3 d_4 a_4^4 + 15a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^9 d_4^3 Y_2^4 Y_5^2 a_4^4 + 6a_5^2 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^9 Y_2^5 d_4^3 Y_5 - 18a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_2^3 Y_4 d_4 Y_5 a_4^4 \\ & + 12a_5^2 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^5 Y_2^3 Y_4^2 d_4 Y_5 - 15a_5^2 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^7 Y_2^4 Y_4 d_4^2 Y_5 + 12a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_1 Y_2^3 d_4^2 Y_5 a_4^4 - 18a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_1 Y_2^2 Y_4 d_4 Y_5 a_4^4 \\ & - 3a_5 e_1 a_4^6 Y_6^7 Y_2^5 Y_4 d_4^2 + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^3 Y_2^2 Y_2 + 18a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^5 Y_2^4 d_4 Y_2^2 Y_5^2 a_4^4 + 12a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_2^4 d_4^2 Y_5 a_4^4 \\ & + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^5 Y_6^6 Y_1 Y_2^4 d_4^2 + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^6 Y_1^5 Y_2^4 Y_4^2 d_4 - 6a_5 e_1 Y_6^4 a_4^5 Y_2^4 Y_4 d_4 + a_5 Y_4 Y_5 Y_6 a_4^4 \\ & + 15a_5^5 e_1 Y_6^9 d_4^3 Y_2^5 a_4^4 + 6a_5^6 e_1 Y_6^9 Y_2 d_4^3 Y_5^5 a_4 - 18a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_2^2 Y_4 d_4 Y_5^2 a_4^3 + 18a_5^2 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_1 d_4^2 Y_2^2 Y_5^3 a_4^3 \\ & - 3a_5^6 e_1 Y_6^7 Y_4 Y_5^5 d_4^2 a_4 - 18a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^4 Y_1 Y_4 d_4 Y_2 Y_5^2 a_4^3 + 6a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^3 Y_1 d_4 Y_5^2 Y_2 a_4^2 - 30a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^7 Y_4 d_4^2 Y_2^2 Y_5^3 a_4^3 \\ & + 12a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^5 Y_2 Y_4^2 d_4 Y_5^3 a_4^3 + 12a_5^4 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_1 d_4^2 Y_2 Y_5^3 a_4^2 + 3a_5^5 e_1 Y_6^6 d_4^2 Y_5^4 Y_2 a_4 + 3a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^3 Y_1^2 d_4 Y_5^2 a_4^2 \\ & + 18a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^6 Y_2^3 d_4^2 Y_5^2 a_4^3 + 6a_5^2 e_1 Y_1 Y_2 Y_4^2 Y_6^2 Y_5 a_4^4 - 3a_5 e_1 Y_2^3 Y_4 Y_6 a_4^4 - 2Y_6^3 Y_2 a_5 d_4 Y_5 a_4^4 \\ & + 3a_5^5 e_1 Y_6^5 Y_2^2 Y_5^4 d_4 a_4^4 - 3a_5^3 e_1 Y_2 Y_3 Y_6^3 Y_5^2 a_4^4 - 3a_5 e_1 Y_1^2 Y_2 Y_4 Y_6 a_4^4 + 3a_5 e_1 a_4^5 Y_2^3 Y_4^2 Y_6^2 \\ & + 6a_5^2 e_1 Y_2^2 Y_4^2 Y_6^2 Y_5 a_4^4 - 3a_5^2 e_1 Y_4 Y_5 Y_6 Y_2^2 a_4^3 - a_5^2 Y_6^3 d_4 Y_5^2 a_4^3 - 3a_5^2 e_1 Y_1^2 Y_4 Y_5 Y_6 a_4^3 \\ & + 3a_5^3 e_1 Y_4^2 Y_5^2 Y_6^2 Y_2 a_4^3 + 6Y_6^3 a_5^2 e_1 Y_1^2 Y_2 d_4 Y_5 a_4^3 - 15a_5^5 e_1 Y_6^7 Y_2 Y_4 d_4^2 Y_5^4 a_4^2 + 12Y_6^3 a_5^2 e_1 Y_1 Y_2^2 d_4 Y_5 a_4^3 \\ & + 6Y_6^3 a_5^2 e_1 Y_2^3 d_4 Y_5 a_4^3 + 3a_5^3 e_1 Y_6^3 d_4 Y_5^2 Y_2^2 a_4^3 + 3a_5^3 e_1 Y_1 Y_4^2 Y_5^2 Y_6^2 a_4^3 - 6a_5^2 e_1 Y_1 Y_4 Y_5 Y_6 Y_2 a_4^3 \\ & - a_5^4 e_1 Y_4^3 Y_5^3 Y_6^3 a_4^3) / a_4^4; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_2 = & (20a_5^4e_1Y_6^9d_4^3Y_2^3Y_5^3a_4^3 - 6a_5^4e_1Y_6^4Y_4Y_5^3d_4Y_2a_4^2 + a_5e_1a_4^6Y_6^9Y_2^6d_4^3 + 3Y_6^3a_5e_1Y_2^4d_4a_4^4 \\
& + 3a_5e_1a_4^5Y_6^6Y_2^5d_4^2 - a_5e_1a_4^6Y_2^3Y_4^3Y_6^3 + a_5^7e_1Y_6^9d_4^3Y_5^6 + a_5e_1a_4^3Y_1^3 \\
& + a_5e_1a_4^3Y_2^3 - 3a_5^2e_1a_4^5Y_2^2Y_4^3Y_6^3Y_5 - 6a_5e_1Y_1Y_2^2Y_4Y_6a_4^4 + 3a_5^2e_1Y_6^6Y_1d_4^2Y_5^4a_4 \\
& + 12a_5^4e_1Y_6^6Y_2^2d_4^2Y_5^3a_4^2 - 6a_5^4e_1Y_6^4Y_1Y_4d_4Y_5^3a_4^2 + 3a_5e_1a_4^3Y_1Y_2^2 - 6a_5e_1a_4^5Y_6^4Y_1Y_2^3Y_4d_4 \\
& + 3Y_6^3a_5e_1Y_1^2Y_2^2d_4a_4^4 + 3a_5e_1a_4^5Y_1Y_2^2Y_4^2Y_6^2 - 30a_5^3e_1Y_6^7Y_4d_4^2Y_2^3Y_5^2a_4^4 + 6Y_6^3a_5e_1Y_1Y_2^3d_4a_4^4 \\
& + 15a_5^3e_1Y_6^9d_4^2Y_2^4Y_5^2a_4^4 + 6a_5^2e_1a_4^5Y_6^9Y_2^5d_4^3Y_5 - 18a_5^2e_1Y_6^4Y_2^3Y_4d_4Y_5a_4^4 + 12a_5^2e_1a_4^5Y_6^5Y_2^3Y_4^2d_4Y_5 \\
& - 15a_5^2e_1a_4^5Y_6^7Y_2^4Y_4d_4^2Y_5 + 12a_5^2e_1Y_6^6Y_1Y_2^3d_4^2Y_5a_4^4 - 18a_5^2e_1Y_6^4Y_1Y_2^2Y_4d_4Y_5a_4^4 - 3a_5e_1a_4^6Y_6^7Y_2^5Y_4d_4^2 \\
& + 3a_5e_1a_4^3Y_1^2Y_2 + 18a_5^2e_1Y_6^5Y_2^4d_4Y_2^2Y_5^2a_4^4 + 12a_5^2e_1Y_6^6Y_2^4d_4^2Y_5a_4^4 + 3a_5e_1a_4^5Y_6^6Y_1Y_2^4d_4^2 \\
& + 3a_5e_1a_4^6Y_6^5Y_2^4Y_4d_4 - 6a_5e_1Y_6^4a_4^5Y_4^4Y_4d_4 + 15a_5^2e_1Y_6^9d_4^3Y_2^2Y_5^4a_4^2 + 6a_5^6e_1Y_6^9Y_2d_4^3Y_5^5a_4 \\
& - 18a_5^3e_1Y_6^4Y_2^2Y_4d_4Y_5^2a_4^3 + 18a_5^3e_1Y_6^6Y_1d_4^2Y_2^2Y_5^2a_4^3 - 3a_5^6e_1Y_6^7Y_4Y_5^5d_4^2a_4 - 18a_5^3e_1Y_6^4Y_1Y_4d_4Y_2Y_5^2a_4^3 \\
& + 6a_5^3e_1Y_6^3Y_1d_4Y_2^2Y_2a_4^2 - 30a_5^4e_1Y_6^7Y_4d_4^2Y_2^2Y_5^3a_4^3 + 12a_5^4e_1Y_6^6Y_2Y_4^2d_4Y_5^3a_4^3 + 12a_5^4e_1Y_6^6Y_1d_4^2Y_2Y_5^3a_4^2 \\
& + 3a_5^5e_1Y_6^6d_4^2Y_5^4Y_2a_4 + 3a_5^3e_1Y_6^3Y_1^2d_4Y_2^2a_4^2 + 18a_5^3e_1Y_6^6Y_2^2d_4^2Y_5^2a_4^3 + 6a_5^2e_1Y_1Y_2Y_4^2Y_2^2Y_5a_4^4 \\
& - 3a_5e_1Y_2^3Y_4Y_6a_4^4 + 3a_5^5e_1Y_6^5Y_4^2Y_5^4d_4a_4^2 - 3a_5^3e_1Y_2Y_4^3Y_6^3Y_5^2a_4^4 - 3a_5e_1Y_1^2Y_2Y_4Y_6a_4^4 \\
& + 3a_5e_1a_4^5Y_2^3Y_4^2Y_6^2 + 6a_5^2e_1Y_2^2Y_4^2Y_6^2Y_5a_4^4 - 3a_5^2e_1Y_4Y_5Y_6Y_2^2a_4^3 - 3a_5^2e_1Y_1^2Y_4Y_5Y_6a_4^3 \\
& + 3a_5^3e_1Y_4^2Y_5^2Y_6^2Y_2a_4^3 + 6Y_6^3a_5^2e_1Y_1^2Y_2d_4Y_5a_4^3 - 15a_5^5e_1Y_6^7Y_2Y_4d_4^2Y_5^4a_4^2 + 12Y_6^3a_5^2e_1Y_1Y_2^2d_4Y_5a_4^3 \\
& + 6Y_6^3a_5^2e_1Y_2^3d_4Y_5a_4^3 + 3a_5^3e_1Y_6^3d_4Y_5^2Y_2^2a_4^2 + 3a_5^3e_1Y_1Y_4^2Y_5^2Y_6^2a_4^3 - 6a_5^2e_1Y_1Y_4Y_5Y_6Y_2a_4^3 \\
& - a_5^4e_1Y_4^3Y_5^3Y_6^3a_4^3 + Y_2a_4^4)/a_4^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_6 &= Y_6 \\
G_5 &= Y_5 - e_1(G_1 + G_2)^3 \\
G_4 &= Y_4 - d_4G_2G_6^2 - a_5d_4G_5G_6^2/a_4 \\
G_3 &= Y_3 - c_1G_1^3 - c_2(G_1 + G_5)^3 - c_3(G_1 + G_2)^3 - c_4(G_1 + G_4)^3 + c_5G_6^3.
\end{aligned}$$

4.4

Let us consider again the polynomial automorphism of \mathbb{C}^4 given in example 7. We have $p := X_1X_3 + X_2X_4$ and F defined by

$$\begin{cases} F_1 = X_1 + pX_4 \\ F_2 = X_2 - pX_3 \\ F_3 = X_3 + X_4^3 \\ F_4 = X_4 \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{13} (-1)^j P_j^1(X) = X_1 - X_1X_3X_4 - X_2X_4^2 + X_1X_4^4 + R_{14}^1(X),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{14}^1(X) = & -35X_1X_3X_4^{33} - 10X_1X_3X_4^{45} - X_1X_3X_4^{49} - 36X_1X_3X_4^{41} - 6X_1X_3X_4^{29} \\
& -56X_1X_3X_4^{37} - X_1X_4^{28} - 6X_2X_4^{30} - 15X_1X_4^{32} - 35X_2X_4^{34} \\
& -35X_1X_4^{36} - 56X_2X_4^{38} - 28X_1X_4^{40} - 36X_2X_4^{42} - 9X_1X_4^{44} \\
& -10X_2X_4^{46} - X_1X_4^{48} - X_2X_4^{50}
\end{aligned}$$

satisfies $R_{14}^1(F) + P_{14}^1(X) = 0$. And

$$\sum_{j=0}^{13} (-1)^j P_j^2(X) = X_2 - 2X_1X_3X_4^3 + X_2X_3X_4 - X_2X_4^4 + X_1X_4^6 + X_1X_3^2 + R_{14}^2(X),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{14}^2(X) = & 14X_1X_3X_4^{27} + 6X_2X_3X_4^{29} + 282X_1X_3X_4^{31} + 6X_1X_3^2X_4^{28} + 35X_2X_3X_4^{33} \\
& + 910X_1X_3X_4^{35} + 35X_1X_3^2X_4^{32} + 56X_2X_3X_4^{37} + 1064X_1X_3X_4^{39} + 56X_1X_3^2X_4^{36} \\
& + 36X_2X_3X_4^{41} + 558X_1X_3X_4^{43} + 36X_1X_3^2X_4^{40} + 12X_1X_3X_4^{51} + 13X_2X_4^{28} \\
& + 77X_1X_4^{30} + 267X_2X_4^{32} + 440X_1X_4^{34} + 875X_2X_4^{36} + 693X_1X_4^{38} \\
& + 1036X_2X_4^{40} + 440X_1X_4^{42} + 549X_2X_4^{44} + 121X_1X_4^{46} + 133X_2X_4^{48} \\
& + 12X_1X_4^{50} + 12X_2X_4^{52} + 10X_1X_3^2X_4^{44} + 134X_1X_3X_4^{47} + 10X_2X_3X_4^{45} \\
& + X_1X_3^2X_4^{48} + X_2X_3X_4^{49}
\end{aligned}$$

satisfies $R_{14}^2(F) + P_{14}^2(X) = 0$. Hence $G = F^{-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{cases}
G_1 = X_1 - X_1X_3X_4 - X_2X_4^2 + X_1X_4^4 \\
G_2 = X_2 - 2X_1X_3X_4^3 + X_2X_3X_4 - X_2X_4^4 + X_1X_4^6 + X_1X_3^2 \\
G_3 = X_3 - X_4^3 \\
G_4 = X_4
\end{cases}$$

Acknowledgments. E. Adamus acknowledges support of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education. T. Crespo and Z. Hajto acknowledge support of grant MTM2012-33830, Spanish Science Ministry.

References

- [1] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian Conjecture: Reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 287-330.
- [2] L. Drużkowski, *An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian conjecture*, Math. Ann. 264 (1983), 303-313.
- [3] L. Drużkowski, *New reduction in the Jacobian conjecture*, Univ. Iagell. Acta Math. No. 39 (2001), 203-206.
- [4] L. Drużkowski, K. Rusek, *The formal inverse and the Jacobian conjecture*, Ann. Polon. Math. 46 (1985), 85-90.
- [5] A. van der Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2000.
- [6] A. van der Essen, *Seven lectures on polynomial automorphisms*, Automorphisms of affine spaces (Curaçao, 1994), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995, 3-39.
- [7] G. Gorni, G. Zampieri, *Yagzhev polynomial mappings: on the structure of the Taylor expansion of their local inverse*, Ann. Polon. Math. 64 (1996), 285-290.
- [8] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 47 (1939), 299-306.
- [9] K. Rusek and T. Winiarski, *Polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n* , Univ. Iagell. Acta Math. 24 (1984), 143-149.
- [10] S. Wang, *A Jacobian criterion for separability*, J. Algebra 65 (1980), 453-494.
- [11] A. V. Yagzhev, *On a problem of O.H. Keller*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 21 (1980), 747-754.