

BRANCHING RULES FOR n -FOLD COVERING GROUPS OF SL_2 OVER A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELD

CAMELIA KARIMIANPOUR

ABSTRACT. Let \widetilde{G}^1 be the n -fold covering group of the special linear group of degree two, over a non-Archimedean local field. We determine the decomposition into irreducibles of the restriction of the principal series representations of \widetilde{G}^1 to a maximal compact subgroup of \widetilde{G}^1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, covering groups, also known in the literature as metaplectic groups, are central extensions of a simply connected simple and split algebraic group, over a non-Archimedean local field \mathbb{F} , by the group of the n -th roots of unity, μ_n . The problem of determining this class of groups was studied by Steinberg [22] and Moore [15] in 1968, and further completed by Matsumoto [12] in 1969 for simply connected Chevalley groups. Around the same time, Kubota independently constructed n -fold covering groups of SL_2 [10] and GL_2 [11], by means of presenting an explicit 2-cocycle. Kubota's cocycle is expressed in terms of the n -th Hilbert symbol.

Since then, there have been a number of studies of representations of this class of groups from different perspectives, among them being the work of H. Aritürk [1], D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson [9], C. Moen [14], D. Joyner [6, 7], G. Savin [20], M. Weissman and T. Howard [5], and P. J. McNamara [13].

In this paper, we consider the principal series representations of the n -fold covering group $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. The principal series representations of $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ are those representations that are induced from the inverse image \widetilde{B}^1 of a Borel subgroup B^1 of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. The construction of those representations of \widetilde{B}^1 that are trivial on the unipotent radical of \widetilde{B}^1 brings us to the study of the irreducible representations of the metaplectic torus \widetilde{T}^1 , i.e., the inverse image of the split torus, T^1 , of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ in $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

An important feature of \widetilde{T}^1 , which differentiates the nature of its representations from those of a linear torus, is that it is not abelian. However, it is a Heisenberg group and its irreducible representations are governed by the Stone-von Neumann theorem. The Stone-von Neumann theorem characterizes irreducible representations of Heisenberg groups, according to their central characters. Indeed, given a character of the centre of a Heisenberg group that satisfies some mild conditions, the Stone-von Neumann theorem provides a recipe to construct the corresponding, unique up to isomorphism, irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group. The construction involves induction from a maximal abelian subgroup of the Heisenberg group. We only consider those characters of the centre of \widetilde{T}^1 where μ_n acts by a fixed faithful character.

Once an irreducible representation ρ_χ , with central character χ , of \widetilde{T}^1 is obtained, the principal series representation π_χ of $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})} \rho_\chi$, where ρ_χ is trivially extended on the unipotent radical subgroup of \widetilde{B}^1 . These representations admit several open questions. The question we consider, and answer, in this paper is to decompose π_χ upon the restriction to the inverse image \widetilde{K}^1 of a maximal compact subgroup

Keywords: local field, covering group, representation, Hilbert symbol, K-type
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20G05

K^1 of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. We refer to this decomposition as the K-type decomposition. We assume $n|q-1$, where q is the size of the residue field of \mathbb{F} , so that the central extension $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{F})$ splits over K^1 .

The study the decomposition of the restriction of representations to a particular subgroup is a common technique in representation theory. In the theory of real Lie groups, restriction to maximal compact subgroups retains a lot of information from the representation; in fact, such a restriction is a key step towards classifying irreducible unitary representations. In the case of reductive groups over p -adic fields, investigating the decomposition upon restriction to maximal compact subgroups reveals a finer structure of the representation, in the interests of recovering essential information about the original representation.

The K-type problem for reductive p -adic groups is visited and solved in certain cases, including the principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ [2, 3, 19], and $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ [16, 17], representations of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ [4], and supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ [18].

The main idea is to reduce the problem to calculating the dimensions of certain finite-dimensional Hecke algebras. The key calculation for determining the decomposition is the determination of certain double cosets that support intertwining operators for the restricted principal series representation (Proposition 3 and Proposition 4).

Our method is aligned with the one in [16] for the linear group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$; however, the technicalities in the covering case are much more involved than the linear case, and the results are fairly different. For instance, the K-type decomposition is no longer multiplicity-free (Corollary 2).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present Kubota's construction of the covering group of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, in Section 3 we overview the structure of this covering group and compute some subgroups of our interest. We compute the K-type decomposition for the principal series representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{F})$ in Section 4. This decomposition is completed by considering a similar problem for the n -fold covering group of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ in Section 5. Our main result, Theorem 2, is stated in Section 6.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND

Let \mathbb{F} be a non-Archimedean local field with the ring of integers \mathcal{O} and the maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O} . Let $\kappa := \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ be the residue field and $q = |\kappa|$ be its cardinality. Let \mathcal{O}^\times denote the group of units in \mathcal{O} . We fix a uniformizing element ϖ of \mathfrak{p} . For every $x \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, the valuation of x is denoted by $\mathrm{val}(x)$, and $|x| = q^{-\mathrm{val}(x)}$. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer such that $n|q-1$. Set $\underline{n} = n$ if n is odd, and $\underline{n} = \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even. We assume that \mathbb{F} contains the group μ_n of n -th roots of unity.

Set $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, and $G^1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Let B^1 (B) be the standard Borel subgroup of G^1 (G) and N^1 (N) be its unipotent radical, and let T^1 (T) be the standard torus in G^1 (G). Set $K^1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ ($K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$) to be a maximal compact subgroup of G^1 (G). By the Iwasawa decomposition, we have $G^1 = T^1 N^1 K^1$ ($G = TNK$). Our object of study is the central extension \widetilde{G}^1 of G^1 by μ_n ,

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu_n \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G}^1 \xrightarrow{p} G^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where i and p are natural injection and projection maps respectively. The group \widetilde{G}^1 , which we call the n -fold covering group of G^1 , is constructed explicitly by Kubota [10]. In order to describe Kubota's construction, we need knowledge of the n -th Hilbert symbol $(,)_n : \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^\times \rightarrow \mu_n$. Under our assumption on n , the n -th Hilbert symbol is given via $(a, b)_n = \bar{c}^{\frac{q-1}{n}}$, where $c = (-1)^{\mathrm{val}(a)\mathrm{val}(b)} \frac{a^{\mathrm{val}(b)}}{b^{\mathrm{val}(a)}}$, and \bar{c} is the image of c in κ^\times . We benefit from the properties of the n -th Hilbert symbol, which can be found in [21, Ch XIV]. In particular, we benefit extensively from the following fact: $(a, b)_n = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, if and only if $b \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}$.

Define the map $\beta : G^1 \times G^1 \rightarrow \mu_n$ by

$$(2) \quad \beta(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2) = \left(\frac{X(\mathbf{g}_1 \mathbf{g}_2)}{X(\mathbf{g}_1)}, \frac{X(\mathbf{g}_1 \mathbf{g}_2)}{X(\mathbf{g}_2)} \right)_n, \text{ where } X \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } c \neq 0 \\ d & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [10] Kubota proved that β is a non-trivial 2-cocycle in the continuous second cohomology group of G^1 with coefficients in μ_n ; whence, $\widetilde{G}^1 = G^1 \times \mu_n$ as a set, with the multiplication given via $(\mathbf{g}_1, \zeta_1)(\mathbf{g}_2, \zeta_2) = (\mathbf{g}_1 \mathbf{g}_2, \beta(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2) \zeta_1 \zeta_2)$, for all $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2 \in G^1$ and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mu_n$.

In 1969, Kubota extends the map β to a 2-cocycle β' for \widetilde{G} in [11], which defines the n -fold covering group $\widetilde{G} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \ltimes \widetilde{G}^1$ of G . The covering group \widetilde{G} fits into the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mu_n \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G} \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 0$.

For all $t, s \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, set $\text{dg}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in T^1$, $\text{dg}(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \in T$, and $\iota(t) = (\text{dg}(t), 1) \in \widetilde{T}^1$. Set $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\widetilde{w} = (w, 1) \in \widetilde{G}^1$. Moreover, for matrices X and Y , with Y invertible, let $X^Y := Y^{-1}XY$ and ${}^Y X := YXY^{-1}$ denote the conjugations of X by Y .

3. STRUCTURE THEORY

For any subgroup H of G^1 , the inverse image $\widetilde{H} := p^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of \widetilde{G}^1 . In particular, we are interested in the subgroups \widetilde{T}^1 , \widetilde{B}^1 , and \widetilde{K}^1 of \widetilde{G}^1 . We say the central extension splits over the subgroup H of G^1 , if there exists an isomorphism that yields $p(H)^{-1} \cong H \times \mu_n$.

It is not difficult to see that \widetilde{T}^1 is not commutative, and hence, the central extension does not split over T^1 (and therefore neither over B^1). Additionally, it is easy to see that the commutator subgroup $[\widetilde{T}^1, \widetilde{T}^1] \cong \mu_n$ is central in (1); which implies that \widetilde{T}^1 is a two-step nilpotent group, also known as a Heisenberg group. Clearly, $\mu_n \in Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$, indeed, using the properties of the Hilbert symbol and some elementary calculation, one can show that $Z(\widetilde{T}^1) = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$.

Lemma 1. *The index of $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$ in \widetilde{T}^1 is n^2 .*

Proof. Note that $[\widetilde{T}^1 : Z(\widetilde{T}^1)] = [\mathbb{F}^\times : \mathbb{F}^{\times n}]$, which because $\mathbb{F}^\times \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$, is equal to $n[\mathcal{O}^\times : \mathcal{O}^{\times n}]$. Consider the homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times n}$. Then $\ker(\phi) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}^\times \mid x^n = 1\}$. Note that $f(x) = x^n - 1 = 0$ has $(n, q-1)$, which equals n under our assumption of $n|q-1$, solutions in the cyclic group κ^\times . By Hensel's lemma, any such root in κ^\times lifts uniquely to a root in \mathcal{O}^\times . It follows that, $|\ker(\phi)| = n$. Therefore, $[\mathcal{O}^\times : \mathcal{O}^{\times n}] = |\ker(\phi)| = n$, and the result follows. \square

In order to construct principal series representations of \widetilde{G}^1 in Section 4, we need to construct irreducible representations of the Heisenberg group \widetilde{T}^1 . To do so, we need to identify a maximal abelian subgroup of \widetilde{T}^1 . Set $A^1 = C_{\widetilde{T}^1}(\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1)$, to be the centralizer of $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$ in \widetilde{T}^1 . It is not difficult to calculate that $A^1 = \{(\text{dg}(a), \zeta) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}^\times, n|\text{val}(a), \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, and see that it is abelian. Observe that $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1 \subset A^1$ implies that A^1 is a maximal abelian subgroup. Note that $[\widetilde{T}^1 : A^1] = [\mathbb{Z} : n\mathbb{Z}] = n$.

Let N^1 be the unipotent radical of B^1 . It follows directly from the Kubota's formula for β that $\beta|_{N^1}$ is trivial, so $N^1 \times \{1\}$ is a subgroup of \widetilde{G}^1 . We identify N^1 with $N^1 \times \{1\}$. Under this identification, we have the covering analogue of the Levi decomposition: $\widetilde{B}^1 = \widetilde{T}^1 \times N^1$.

Next, we describe a family of compact open subgroups of \widetilde{G}^1 . It is proven in [11] that

$$(3) \quad \widetilde{K}^1 \rightarrow K^1 \times \mu_n, \quad (\mathbf{k}, \zeta) \mapsto (\mathbf{k}, s(\mathbf{k})\zeta), \text{ where } s \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} (c, d)_n, & 0 < \text{val}(c) < \infty \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism. The image of K^1 in \widetilde{K}^1 under the isomorphism (3) is the subgroup $\widetilde{K}_0 := \{(\mathbf{k}, s(\mathbf{k})^{-1}) \mid \mathbf{k} \in K^1\}$ of \widetilde{K}^1 . Consider the compact open congruent subgroups $K_j^1 := \{\mathbf{g} \in K^1 \mid \mathbf{g} \equiv \mathbf{I}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}\}$, for $j \geq 1$, of K^1 .

Lemma 2. *The central extension (1) splits trivially over each of the subgroups K_j^1 , $j \geq 1$, $T^1 \cap K^1$, and $B^1 \cap K^1$.*

Proof. Using the Hensel's lemma, it is easy to see that $1 + \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times n}$. Then, it follows from (3) and properties of the n -th Hilbert symbol that, for all $i \geq 1$, $s|_{K_j^1}$ is trivial. On the other hand, it follows directly from (3) that $s|_{T^1 \cap K^1}$ and $s|_{B^1 \cap K^1}$ are trivial. \square

We identify $K_j^1 \cong K_j^1 \times \{1\}$, $j \geq 1$, $B^1 \cap K^1 \cong (B^1 \cap K^1) \times \{1\}$ and $T^1 \cap K^1 \cong (T^1 \cap K^1) \times \{1\}$ as subgroups of \widetilde{K}^1 .

In a similar way, we define the subgroups \widetilde{T} , \widetilde{B} and \widetilde{K} of \widetilde{G} to be the inverse images of the standard torus, Borel, and the maximal compact $K = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{O})$ subgroups of G respectively. The central extension \widetilde{G} does not split over T . Moreover, \widetilde{T} is a Heisenberg group. It is not difficult to see that $Z(\widetilde{T}) = \{(\mathrm{dg}(s, t), \zeta) \mid s, t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, and $[\widetilde{T} : Z(\widetilde{T})] = n^4$. Moreover, set $A = C_{\widetilde{T}}(\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}) = \{(\mathrm{dg}(s, t), \zeta) \mid s, t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, n \mid \mathrm{val}(s), n \mid \mathrm{val}(t), \zeta \in \mu_n\}$. Then, A is a maximal abelian subgroup of \widetilde{T} and $[\widetilde{T} : A] = n^2$. In addition, $\beta'|_N$ is trivial, where N is the unipotent radical of B . Hence, we can identify N with $N \times \{1\}$. Under this identification, we have the Levi decomposition: $\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{T} \rtimes N$. It is shown in [11] that the central extension \widetilde{G} splits over K . For $j \geq 1$, let K_j denote the family of compact open congruent subgroups $\{\mathbf{g} \in K \mid \mathbf{g} \equiv \mathbf{I}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^j}\}$ of K . Similar to Lemma 2, one can show that \widetilde{G} splits over K_j , $T \cap K$ and $B \cap K$.

4. BRANCHING RULES FOR \widetilde{G}^1

First, we present the construction of the principal series representations of \widetilde{G}^1 following [13]. Fix a faithful character $\epsilon : \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A representation of \widetilde{G}^1 is genuine if the central subgroup μ_n acts by ϵ . Such representations do not factor through representations of G^1 . The construction of principal series representations of \widetilde{G}^1 is based on the essential fact that \widetilde{T}^1 is a Heisenberg subgroup, and hence its representations are governed by the Stone-von Neumann theorem, which we state here. See [13] for the proof.

Theorem 1 (Stone-von Neumann). *Let H be a Heisenberg group with center $Z(H)$ such that $H/Z(H)$ is finite, and let χ be a character of $Z(H)$. Suppose that $\ker(\chi) \cap [H, H] = \{1\}$. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible representation π of H with central character χ . Let \mathbf{A} be any maximal abelian subgroup of H and let χ_0 be any extension of χ to \mathbf{A} . Then $\pi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{A}}^H \chi_0$.*

Note that $[\widetilde{T}^1 : Z(\widetilde{T}^1)] = \underline{n}^2 < \infty$. Let χ be a genuine character of $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$, so that $\chi|_{\mu_n} = \epsilon$. Thus, $\ker(\chi) \cap [\widetilde{T}^1, \widetilde{T}^1]$ is trivial. Hence Theorem 1 applies: genuine irreducible smooth representations ρ of \widetilde{T}^1 are classified by genuine smooth characters of $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$. Moreover, $\dim(\rho) = [\widetilde{T}^1 : \widetilde{A}^1] = \underline{n}$.

Let χ_0 be a fixed extension of χ to A^1 ; so that $(\rho, \mathrm{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \chi_0)$ is the unique smooth genuine irreducible representation of \widetilde{T}^1 with central character χ . Let us again write ρ for the genuine smooth irreducible representation of \widetilde{T}^1 , with central character χ , extended trivially over N^1 to a representation of $\widetilde{B}^1 = \widetilde{T}^1 \rtimes N^1$. Then the genuine principal series representation of \widetilde{G}^1 associated to ρ is $\mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho$, where Ind

denotes the smooth (non-normalized) induction. In the rest of this section, we decompose $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho$ into irreducible constituents. We drop the adjective ‘‘genuine’’ for simplicity.

Define the character

$$(4) \quad \vartheta : \mathbb{F}^\times \rightarrow \mu_n, \quad a \mapsto (\varpi, a)_n.$$

Observe that ϑ is ramified of degree one. Set $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{O}^\times} := \vartheta|_{\mathcal{O}^\times}$. Observe that a typical element of A^1 can be written as $(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \zeta)$, and a typical element of $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$ can be written as $(\text{dg}(a), \zeta)$, where $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\zeta \in \mu_n$.

Lemma 3. *Let ρ be the unique irreducible representation of \widetilde{T}^1 with central character χ . Then $\text{Res}_{A^1} \rho \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \chi_i$, where the χ_i are \underline{n} distinct characters of A^1 defined by*

$$\chi_i(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{nr}), \zeta) = \chi_0(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{nr}), \vartheta^{2i}(a)\zeta),$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\zeta \in \mu_n$, and $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $\rho \cong \text{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \chi_0$. By Mackey’s theory, $\text{Res}_{A^1} \text{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \chi_0 = \bigoplus_{s \in S_{\underline{n}}} \text{Ind}_{A^1 \cap sA^1}^{A^1} \chi_0^s$, where $S_{\underline{n}}$ is a complete set of coset representatives for $A^1 \backslash \widetilde{T}^1 / A^1$. It is not difficult to see that we can choose $S_{\underline{n}} = \{(\text{dg}(\varpi^i), 1) \mid 0 \leq i < \underline{n}\}$. Since A^1 is stable under conjugation by $S_{\underline{n}}$, $\text{Ind}_{A^1 \cap sA^1}^{A^1} \chi_0^s = \chi_0^s$. Let $(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \zeta) \in A^1$, and $s = (\text{dg}(\varpi^i), 1) \in S_{\underline{n}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-1}(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \zeta) s &= (\text{dg}(\varpi^{-i}), (\varpi^i, \varpi^i)_n) (\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \zeta) (\text{dg}(\varpi^i), 1) \\ &= (\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn-i}), (a\varpi^{rn}, \varpi^{-i})_n (\varpi^i, \varpi^i)_n \zeta) (\text{dg}(\varpi^i), 1) = (\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), (\varpi^i, a\varpi^{rn-i})_n (a\varpi^{rn}, \varpi^{-i})_n (\varpi^i, \varpi^i)_n \zeta) \\ &= (\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), (\varpi, a)_n^{2i} \zeta) = (\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \vartheta^{2i}(a)\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\chi_0^s((\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \zeta)) = \chi_0((\text{dg}(a\varpi^{rn}), \vartheta^{2i}(a)\zeta))$. Denote this character χ_i . To show that the χ_i , $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, are distinct, it is enough to show that $\vartheta^{2i}|_{\mathcal{O}^\times} = 1$ if and only if $i = 0$. Observe that $\vartheta^{2i}(a) = \frac{(q-1)^{2i}}{a^{-1-n}}$, which is equal to 1 for all $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ if and only if $n|2i$. The result follows. \square

The characters χ_i defined in Lemma 3 are clearly distinct when restricted to $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$ and, again writing χ_i for these restrictions,

$$(5) \quad \text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \rho = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \chi_i.$$

Proposition 1. *Let χ_i , $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, denote also the trivial extension of the characters in (5) to $\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$. Then*

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i.$$

Proof. By Mackey’s theorem, we have $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 x^{-1} \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{B}^1 x^{-1} \widetilde{K}^1} \rho^x$, where X is a complete set of double coset representatives of \widetilde{K}^1 and \widetilde{B}^1 in \widetilde{G}^1 . The Iwasawa decomposition $\widetilde{K}^1 \widetilde{B}^1 = \widetilde{G}^1$ implies that $X = \{(I_2, 1)\}$ and hence $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho = \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \rho$. The result follows from (5). \square

Hence, in order to calculate the K-types, it is enough to decompose each $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$, $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, into irreducible representations. Note that the induction space $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$ is smooth and admissible. Fix

$i \in \{0, \dots, \underline{n} - 1\}$. The smoothness of $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$ implies that $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i = \bigcup_{l \geq 1} (\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1}$. Note that, by admissibility, $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1}$ is finite-dimensional for every $l \geq 1$ and since K_l^1 is normal in \widetilde{K}^1 , it is \widetilde{K}^1 -invariant. Hence, to decompose $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$ into irreducible constituents, it is enough to decompose each $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1}$ into irreducible constituents.

For any character γ of any subgroup D of \widetilde{T}^1 , we say γ is primitive mod m if m is the smallest strictly positive integer for which $\text{Res}_{D \cap K_m^1} \gamma = 1$. From now on, let $m \geq 1$ be a positive integer such that χ is primitive mod m . Because $1 + \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{F}^{\times n}$, $Z(\widetilde{T}^1) \cap K_m^1 = \widetilde{T}^1 \cap K_m^1$, for all $m \geq 1$. Note that since $\chi_i|_{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)} = \chi$, $\chi_i|_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap K_m^1} = \chi|_{Z(\widetilde{T}^1) \cap K_m^1}$. Hence, χ is primitive mod m if and only if the χ_i for $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ are primitive mod m . Set $\widetilde{B}^1_l := (\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1) K_l^1$.

Lemma 4. *For every $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$,*

$$(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1} = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & 0 < l < m \\ \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $0 < l < m$, and that f is a vector in $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1}$. Because $\chi_i|_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap K_l^1} \neq 1$ for $l < m$, we can choose $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{B}^1 \cap K_l^1$ such that $\chi_i(\mathfrak{b}) \neq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \widetilde{K}^1$. Note that K_l^1 is normal in \widetilde{K}^1 and hence $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \in K_l^1$. On the one hand, $f(\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g}) = \chi_i(\mathfrak{b}) f(\mathfrak{g})$; on the other hand, $f(\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g}) = f(\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g}) \cdot f(\mathfrak{g}) = f(\mathfrak{g})$, since f is fixed by K_l^1 . It follows that $\chi_i(\mathfrak{b}) f(\mathfrak{g}) = f(\mathfrak{g})$. Our choice of \mathfrak{b} implies that $f(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and because \mathfrak{g} is arbitrary, $f = 0$. However, if $l \geq m$ then $\chi_i|_{K_l^1} = 0$ and because K_l^1 is normal in \widetilde{K}^1 , it is not difficult to see that every K_l^1 -fixed vector f translates on the left by \widetilde{B}^1_l and vice-versa. Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 4 tells us that, in order to decompose $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1}$ into irreducible constituents, it is enough to decompose $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$. Hence, we are interested in counting the dimension of $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)$. By Frobenius reciprocity, this latter space is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}(\text{Res}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, \chi_i)$. It follows from Mackey's theory that

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i \cong \bigoplus_{x \in S} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l \cap \widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{B}^1_l} \chi_i^x,$$

where S is a set of double coset representatives of $\widetilde{B}^1_l \backslash \widetilde{K}^1 / \widetilde{B}^1_l$. The set S is a lift to the covering group \widetilde{K}^1 of a similar set of double coset representatives calculated in [16]. Using the latter set, and because $\mu_n \subset \widetilde{B}^1_l$, it is easy to see that

$$(6) \quad S = \{(I_2, 1), \tilde{w}, \tilde{\text{lt}}(x \varpi^r) \mid x \in \{1, \varepsilon\}, 1 \leq r < l\},$$

where ε is a fixed non-square. For $0 \leq i, j < \underline{n}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ be the Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_{i,j} := \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{B}^1_l \backslash \widetilde{K}^1 / \widetilde{B}^1_l, \chi_i, \chi_j) = \{f : \widetilde{K}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(lgh) = \chi_i(l) f(g) \chi_j(h), l, h \in \widetilde{B}^1_l, g \in \widetilde{K}^1\}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let $0 \leq i, j < \underline{n}$. Then $\dim \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_j) = \dim \mathcal{H}_{i,j}$.*

Proof. On the one hand, observe that $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_j) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1 \cap \widetilde{B}_l^1}^{\widetilde{B}_l^1} \chi_i^{\mathbf{x}}, \chi_j)$, which by Frobenius reciprocity is equal to $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1 \cap \widetilde{B}_l^1}(\chi_i^{\mathbf{x}}, \chi_j)$. Let $S_{i,j}$ be the set of all $\mathbf{x} \in S$ such that $\chi_i(g) = \chi_j(h)$, whenever $h, g \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$ and $\mathbf{x}g\mathbf{x}^{-1} = h$. Then $\dim \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_j) = |S_{i,j}|$. On the other hand, observe that for every $\mathbf{x} \in S$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ with support on the double coset represented by \mathbf{x} if and only if $h = \mathbf{x}g\mathbf{x}^{-1}$ implies $\chi_i(g) = \chi_j(h)$ for all $h, g \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$. Moreover, the basis of $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$ is parametrized by such double coset representatives. Hence, $\dim \mathcal{H}_{i,j} = |S_{i,j}|$. \square

Hence, in order to decompose $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K^1}$, we are interested in counting the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}$. Set $(T^1 \cap K^1)^2 := \{\text{dg}(t^2) \mid t \in \mathcal{O}^\times\}$, $T_l^1 := \{\iota(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)\}$, and $(T_l^1)^2 := \{\iota(t^2) \mid t \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)\}$. It is not difficult to see that T_l^1 and $(T_l^1)^2$ are subgroups of $(\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1)K_l^1$.

Proposition 3. *Let $l \geq m$ and $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$. Then $\dim \mathcal{H}_{i,i} = \begin{cases} 1 + 2(l - m), & \text{if } \chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} \neq 1; \\ 2l, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

Proof. Assume $l \geq m$. Note that $f(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}') = \chi_i(\mathbf{b})f(\mathbf{k})\chi_i(\mathbf{b}')$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{i,i}$, $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{K}^1$. Hence, for every double coset representative \mathbf{x} in (6), there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{i,i}$, with support on the double coset represented by \mathbf{x} if and only if $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{x}$ implies that $\chi_i(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}') = 1$ for all $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$. The set of such double cosets parameterizes a basis for $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}$. We now determine these double cosets. Let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}, \zeta) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} t & s \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \zeta\right)$, and $\mathbf{b}' = (\mathbf{b}', \zeta') = \left(\begin{pmatrix} t' & s' \\ 0 & t'^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \zeta'\right)$, where $t, t' \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)$, $s, s' \in \mathfrak{p}^l$ and $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mu_n$ denote arbitrary elements of \widetilde{B}_l^1 .

The identity coset \widetilde{B}_l^1 : A function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{i,i}$ has support on \widetilde{B}_l^1 if and only if $f(\mathbf{b}) = \chi_i(\mathbf{b}), \forall \mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$.

So there is always a function with support on the identity coset, namely $f = \chi_i$.

The coset of \widetilde{w} : For \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' in \widetilde{B}_l^1 , $\mathbf{b}\widetilde{w}\mathbf{b}' = \widetilde{w}$ implies, via a quick calculation, that $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}' = \text{dg}(t)$, for some $t \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)$ and $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$. Therefore, $\chi_i(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}') = \chi_i((\text{dg}(t), \zeta)(\text{dg}(t), \zeta^{-1})) = \chi_i(\text{dg}(t^2), (t, t)_n) = \chi_i(\text{dg}(t^2), 1)$. So, $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}$ contains a function with support on this coset if and only if $\chi_i(\iota(t^2)) = 1$ for all $t \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)$; that is if and only if $\chi_i|_{(T_l^1)^2} = 1$. Observe that for $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, $\chi_i|_{(T_l^1)^2} = 1$, where $l \geq m$, if and only if $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = 1$. Suppose $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = 1$, for some $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$. We show that in this case, $m = 1$. Suppose $\alpha \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$, consider $f(X) = X^2 - \alpha$. Observe that $f(1) = 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $f'(1) = 2(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By Hensel's lemma, $f(X)$ has a root in \mathcal{O} ; that is $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^{\times 2}$. Therefore $1 + \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\times 2}$, which implies $\chi_i|_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} = 1$, so $m = 1$.

The coset of $\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$: For \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' in \widetilde{B}_l^1 , $\mathbf{b}\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)\mathbf{b}' = \widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$ implies that $tt' \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^r$ and $\zeta = \zeta'^{-1}$. Therefore, $\chi_i(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}') = \chi_i(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}', 1) = \chi_i\left(\begin{pmatrix} tt' & ts' + st'^{-1} \\ 0 & t^{-1}t'^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} tt' & ts' + st'^{-1} \\ 0 & t^{-1}t'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{B}^1 \cap K_r^1$.

Hence, $\chi_i(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}') = 1$ if and only if $\widetilde{B}^1 \cap K_r^1 \subseteq \ker(\chi_i)$. The latter holds if and only if $r \geq m$, since χ_i is primitive mod m .

Now, let us summarize our result. There is always one function with support on the identity coset, and $2(l - m)$ functions on cosets represented by $\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$, $x \in \{1, \varepsilon\}$, $m \leq r < l$. If $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} \neq 1$, no function in $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}$ has support on the double coset represented by \widetilde{w} , otherwise, there exists an additional function in $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}$ with support on the double coset represented by \widetilde{w} . \square

Next two lemmas elaborate on the condition $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = 1$ that appears in Proposition 3.

Lemma 5. *For each $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = 1$ if and only if $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$.*

Proof. Let $\iota(s) \in (T^1 \cap K^1)^2$, so $s \in \mathcal{O}^{\times 2}$. By Lemma 3, $\chi_i(\iota(s)) = \chi_0(\text{dg}(s), \vartheta(s)^{2i}) = \chi_0(\iota(s))\epsilon(\vartheta(s)^{2i})$, which is equal to 1 if and only if $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$. \square

Lemma 6. *If $4 \nmid n$ then the characters $\vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$, $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ are distinct. Otherwise, the $\vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$, $0 \leq i < \frac{n}{4}$, are distinct; for $\frac{n}{4} \leq i < \frac{n}{2}$, $\vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i} = \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2(i-\frac{n}{4})}$.*

Proof. By definition of ϑ in (4), $\vartheta^{-2i}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathcal{O}^{\times 2}$ if and only if $t^{\frac{(q-1)2i}{n}} = 1$ for all $t \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$, or equivalently when $n|4i$. Therefore, the equality holds only for $i = 0$ unless $4|n$, in which case the equality holds for both $i = 0$ and $i = \frac{n}{4}$. \square

For $l > m$, let $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}$ denote the l -level representations $\widetilde{W}_{i,l} := (\text{Ind}_{B^1 \cap K^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_l^1} / (\text{Ind}_{B^1 \cap K^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_{l-1}^1}$. Moreover, for $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, set $\widetilde{V}_i := \text{Ind}_{B^1 \cap K^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i$.

Corollary 1. *Assume $l \geq m$. We can decompose $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{B^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho$ as follows:*

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{B^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \left(\widetilde{V}_i^{K_m^1} \oplus \bigoplus_{l>m} \left(\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^+ \oplus \widetilde{W}_{i,l}^- \right) \right),$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^+ \oplus \widetilde{W}_{i,l}^- \cong \widetilde{W}_{i,l}$. All the pieces are irreducible, except when $m = 1$ and $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$ for some $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, in which case, we are in one of the following situations:

- (1) If $4 \nmid n$ then there is exactly one $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ for which $\widetilde{V}_i^{K_1^1}$ decomposes into two irreducible constituents. All other constituents are irreducible.
- (2) If $4|n$ then there are exactly two $0 \leq i, k < \underline{n}$, $|i - k| = \frac{n}{4}$ for which $\widetilde{V}_i^{K_1^1}$ decomposes into two irreducible constituents. All other constituents are irreducible.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 and Proposition 3 that for $l > m$, $\dim \text{Hom}(\widetilde{W}_{i,l}, \widetilde{W}_{i,l}) = 2$. Hence, $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}$ decomposes into two inequivalent irreducible subrepresentations. Moreover,

$$(7) \quad \dim \text{Hom}(\widetilde{V}_i^{K_m^1}, \widetilde{V}_i^{K_m^1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} \neq 1 \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5, $\chi_i|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = 1$ is equivalent to $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$, which also implies that $m = 1$. Hence, $\widetilde{V}_i^{K_m^1}$ is irreducible except when $m = 1$ and $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$, where it decomposes into two irreducible constituents. If the latter is the case, by Lemma 6, there is exactly one $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ satisfying $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$ if $4 \nmid n$, and there are exactly two $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ satisfying $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-2i}$ if $4|n$. \square

Next we determine the multiplicity of each constituent in the decomposition in Corollary 1. To do so, we count the dimension of $\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{B^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k, \text{Ind}_{B^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i \right)$, which is equal to the dimension of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{k,i} = \mathcal{H}(B^1 \setminus \widetilde{K}^1 / B^1, \chi_k, \chi_i)$.

Proposition 4. *Let $l \geq m$, $0 \leq k, i < \underline{n}$, and $i \neq k$. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{k,i} = \begin{cases} 2l - 1, & \text{if } \chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^{\times 2}}^{-(k+i)} \\ 2(l - m), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3, we determine which double cosets in $\widetilde{B}_l \backslash \widetilde{K}^1 / \widetilde{B}_l$ support a function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$. For every double coset representative \mathbf{x} in Lemma (6), there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ with support on the double coset represented by \mathbf{x} if and only if $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}' \in \widetilde{B}_l$, implies that $\chi_k(\mathbf{b})\chi_i(\mathbf{b}') = 1$. Let $t, t' \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)$, $s, s' \in \mathfrak{p}^l$ and $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mu_n$, so that $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}, \zeta) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} t & s \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \zeta\right)$ and $\mathbf{b}' = (\mathbf{b}', \zeta') = \left(\begin{pmatrix} t' & s' \\ 0 & t'^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \zeta'\right)$ are arbitrary elements of \widetilde{B}_l .

Because $\chi_k \neq \chi_i$, there is no function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ with support on the identity double coset.

For the double coset of \widetilde{w} , $\mathbf{b}\widetilde{w}\mathbf{b}' = \widetilde{w}$ implies that $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}' = \text{dg}(t)$, for some $t \in \mathcal{O}^\times(1 + \mathfrak{p}^l)$ and $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$. Therefore, $\chi_k(\mathbf{b})\chi_i(\mathbf{b}') = \chi_k(\text{dg}(t), \zeta)\chi_i(\text{dg}(t), \zeta^{-1})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0\left(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{2k}\zeta\right)\chi_0\left(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{2i}\zeta^{-1}\right) &= \chi_0\left(\text{dg}(t^2), \vartheta(t)^{2(k+i)}\right) = \chi_0\left(\iota(t^2)(I_2, \vartheta(t^2)^{k+i})\right) \\ &= \chi_0(\iota(t^2))\epsilon\left(\vartheta(t^2)^{k+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, because $l \geq m$, $\chi_k(\mathbf{b})\chi_i(\mathbf{b}') = 1$ if and only if $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^\times}^{-(k+i)}$. In this case, $m = 1$ and \widetilde{w} supports a function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$.

Finally, for the double cosets represented by $\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$, $x \in \{1, \varepsilon\}$, $1 \leq r < l$, $\mathbf{b}\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)\mathbf{b}' = \widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$ implies that $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$, and $t + s\varpi^r = t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^l}$, or equivalently, $t = t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^r}$, and $t^{-1}\varpi^r = \varpi^r t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^l}$, or equivalently $t^{-1} = t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{l-r}}$. Observe that, in general, $\chi_k(\mathbf{b})\chi_i(\mathbf{b}')$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \chi_k(\text{dg}(t), \zeta)\chi_i(\text{dg}(t'), \zeta') &= \chi_0\left(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{2k}\zeta\right)\chi_0\left(\text{dg}(t'), \vartheta(t')^{2i}\zeta'\right) = \chi_0\left(\text{dg}(tt'), \vartheta(t)^{2k}\vartheta(t')^{2i}\zeta\zeta'\right) \\ &= \chi_0(\iota(tt'))\epsilon\left(\vartheta(t)^{2k}\vartheta(t')^{2i}\zeta\zeta'\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that ϑ is primitive mod one. Observe that $r \geq 1$ and $l - r \geq 1$. Therefore, $t = t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $t = t' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, which implies that $t = t' = \alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $\alpha \in \{\pm 1\}$. Hence, $\vartheta(t)^2 = \vartheta(t')^2 = 1$, and (8) simplifies to $\chi_0(\iota(tt'))\epsilon(\zeta\zeta')$. We are in one of the following situations:

Case 1: Suppose $r \geq m$. Then we have $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$, and $t = t'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}$; that is $tt' \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^m$. Hence, $\chi_0(\iota(tt'))\epsilon(\zeta\zeta') = \chi_0(tt') = 1$, because χ_0 is primitive mod m . Therefore, in this case, there is always a function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ with support on these double cosets.

Case 2: Suppose $r < m$. Then $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$, so $\chi_0(\iota(tt'))\epsilon(\zeta\zeta') = \chi_0(tt')$, which equals one if and only if $tt' \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^m$, which is not the case in general. Hence, in this case, there is no function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ with support on these double cosets.

To summarize the result, the coset represented by \widetilde{w} supports a function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ if and only if $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^\times}^{-(k+i)}$. If $r \geq m$ then the cosets represented by $\widetilde{\text{lt}}(x\varpi^r)$ support a function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$; otherwise, there is no function in $\mathcal{H}_{k,i}$ with support on these double cosets. \square

Corollary 2. *In the decomposition of $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{G}_1^1} \rho$ given in Corollary 1,*

- (1) *For each $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$ and $l > m$, there exists a way of decomposing $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}$ as $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^+ \oplus \widetilde{W}_{i,l}^-$ such that for $l > m$, $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^+ \cong \widetilde{W}_{j,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^- \cong \widetilde{W}_{j,l}^-$ for all $0 \leq i, j < \underline{n}$.*
- (2) *For $l = m$, $\{(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_i)^{K_m^1} \mid 0 \leq i < \underline{n}\}$ consists of mutually inequivalent representations, except when $m = 1$ and $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^\times}^{-j}$, for some $0 \leq j < \underline{n}$, where $\widetilde{V}_i^{K_1^1} \cong \widetilde{V}_k^{K_1^1}$, exactly when $i + k \equiv j \pmod{\underline{n}}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4 that for $l > m$, $\dim \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\widetilde{W}_{i,l}, \widetilde{W}_{k,l}) = 2$, and when $i + k \equiv j \pmod{\underline{n}}$

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}^1}(\widetilde{V}_i^{K_m^1}, \widetilde{V}_k^{K_m^1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)^2} = \epsilon \circ \vartheta_{\mathcal{O}^\times}^{-j} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and hence the result. \square

In order to further investigate the irreducible spaces $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}^-$, we will show that $\widetilde{W}_{i,l}$, $0 \leq i < \underline{n}$, is the restriction to \widetilde{K}^1 of an irreducible representation of the maximal compact subgroup \widetilde{K} of the covering group \widetilde{G} of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

5. BRANCHING RULES FOR \widetilde{G}

We define the genuine principal series representations of \widetilde{G} similarly by starting with a genuine smooth irreducible representation ρ' of \widetilde{T} with the central character χ' , which is constructed via the Stone-von Neumann theorem. Observe that $\dim \rho' = [\widetilde{T} : A] = n^2$. Then, after extending ρ' trivially over N , the genuine principal series representation π' of \widetilde{G} is $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho'$. Applying a similar machinery as in Section 4, we obtain the K-type decomposition for $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}} \pi'$. Since the argument in Section 4 goes through almost exactly, here we only overview the main steps and point out the differences. For detailed calculations, see [8].

Similar to Lemma 3, it follows that $\text{Res}_A \rho' \cong \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \chi'_{i,j}$, where the $\chi'_{i,j}$ denote n^2 distinct characters of A , defined by $\chi'_{i,j}(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{un}, b\varpi^{vn}), \zeta) = \chi'_0(\text{dg}(a\varpi^{un}, b\varpi^{vn}), \vartheta(a)^{-j} \vartheta(b)^{-i} \zeta)$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\zeta \in \mu_n$ and $\vartheta(a) = (\varpi, a)_n$ was defined in (4), and χ'_0 is a fixed extension of χ' to A . The $\chi'_{i,j}$ remain distinct when restricted to $\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}$, and again writing $\chi'_{i,j}$ for there restrictions, $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}} \rho' \cong \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \chi'_{i,j}$. Then similar to Proposition 1, we have $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho') \cong \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}$. This latter isomorphism reduces the problem of decomposing the K-type to the one of decomposing each $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}$, which, by smoothness, can be written as the union of its K_l , $l \geq 1$, fixed points.

Suppose χ' is primitive mod m . It follows that the $\chi'_{i,j}$ are also primitive mod m . Set $\widetilde{B}_l = (\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K})K_l$. It can be seen that each level l representation $(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_l} = \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}$ if $l \geq m$, and is zero if $l < m$. Similar to Proposition 2, one can see that $\dim \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{K}}(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}) = \dim \mathcal{H}'_{i,j}(\widetilde{B}_l \backslash \widetilde{K} / \widetilde{B}_l, \chi'_{i,j}, \chi'_{i,j})$. We count the dimension of $\mathcal{H}'_{i,j}$ using a method similar to the one we used in Proposition 3. To do so, we need to calculate a set of double coset representatives of \widetilde{B}_l in \widetilde{K} .

Lemma 7. *A complete set of double coset representatives of \widetilde{B}_l in \widetilde{K} is given by $\{(I_2, 1), \widetilde{w}, \text{lt}(\varpi^r) \mid 1 \leq r < l\}$.*

Proof. Note that this set is a subset of the set S in (6). Observe that under the isomorphism

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{F}^\times \times \widetilde{G}^1 \cong \widetilde{G}, \quad (y, (\mathbf{g}, \zeta)) \mapsto (\text{dg}(1, y)\mathbf{g}, \zeta),$$

$\mathcal{O}^\times \times \widetilde{K}^1$ maps to \widetilde{K} and $\mathcal{O}^\times \times \widetilde{B}_l^1$ maps to \widetilde{B}_l . For every $\mathbf{k}' \in \widetilde{K}$, let (y, \mathbf{k}) be the inverse image of \mathbf{k}' under the isomorphism (9), and let $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \widetilde{B}_l^1$ be such that $\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{k}$, for some $\mathbf{x} \in S$. Let \mathbf{b}'_1 and \mathbf{b}'_2 be the image of (y, \mathbf{b}_1) and (y, \mathbf{b}_2) under (9) respectively. It follows from the multiplication of $\mathbb{F}^\times \times \widetilde{G}^1$ and the isomorphism map (9), that $\mathbf{b}'_1 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}'_2 = \mathbf{k}'$. Thus, $\widetilde{K} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \widetilde{B}_l \mathbf{x} \widetilde{B}_l$. A short calculation shows that

$$(\text{dg}(\varepsilon^{-1}, 1), 1) \text{lt}(\varpi^r) (\text{dg}(\varepsilon, 1), 1) = (\text{lt}(\varepsilon \varpi^r), (\varpi^r, \varepsilon)_n (\varepsilon, \varpi^r)_n) = \text{lt}(\varepsilon \varpi^r),$$

where ε is a fixed non-square and $1 \leq r < l$. It is not difficult to see that other cosets of S remain distinct in \tilde{K} . \square

The following proposition can be proved similar to Proposition 3.

Proposition 5. *Let $l \geq m$. Then $\dim \mathcal{H}'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 + (l - m), & \text{if } \chi'_{i,j}|_{T \cap K} \neq 1; \\ 2 + (l - m), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

Lemma 8. *For $0 \leq i, j < n$, $\chi'_{i,j}|_{T \cap K} = 1$ if and only if $\chi'_{0,0}|_{T \cap K} = \varepsilon \circ \vartheta^{j-i}$.*

Proof. Note that $\chi'_{i,j}(\iota(a)) = \chi'_{0,0}(\text{dg}(a), \vartheta^{i-j}(a))$, which is equal to 1 if and only if $\chi'_{0,0}|_{T \cap K} = \varepsilon \circ \vartheta^{j-i}$. \square

For $l > m$, let $\widetilde{W}'_{i,j,l}$ denote the l -level quotient representation $(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{K}}^{\tilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_l} / (\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{K}}^{\tilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_{l-1}}$. The K -type decomposition $\text{Res}_{\tilde{K}}(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho')$ is given in the following Corollary.

Corollary 3. *We can decompose $\text{Res}_{\tilde{K}}(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho')$ as follows:*

$$(10) \quad \text{Res}_{\tilde{K}}(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho') \simeq \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \left((\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{K}}^{\tilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_m} \oplus \bigoplus_{l>m} \widetilde{W}'_{i,j,l} \right).$$

If $\chi'_{0,0}|_{T \cap K} \neq \vartheta^k|_{\mathcal{O}^\times}$, for all $0 \leq k < n$, then all the pieces are irreducible. Otherwise, there are exactly n pairs (i, j) , $0 \leq i, j < n$, such that $j - i \equiv k \pmod{n}$, and $(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{K}}^{\tilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_m}$ decomposes into two irreducible constituents. The rest of the constituents are irreducible.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5 that for $0 \leq i, j < n$, $(\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{K}}^{\tilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j})^{K_m}$ is irreducible if $\chi'_{0,0}|_{T \cap K} \neq \vartheta^k|_{\mathcal{O}^\times}$, and decomposes into two inequivalent constituents otherwise. Moreover, for $l > m$, the quotients $\widetilde{W}'_{i,j,l}$ are irreducible. Note that the map $(i, j) \rightarrow j - i \pmod{n}$ has a kernel of size n . Hence, if there exists a pair such that $\chi'_{0,0}|_{T \cap K} = \vartheta^k|_{\mathcal{O}^\times}$, then there are exactly n distinct such pairs. \square

5.1. Restriction of $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho'$ to \tilde{K}^1 . Fix a genuine irreducible representation ρ of \tilde{T}^1 with central character χ , where χ is primitive mod m . Let $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}$, $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$, and $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^-$ be the representations of \tilde{K}^1 that appear in the K -type decomposition of $\text{Res}_{\tilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}^1}^{\tilde{G}^1} \rho$ in Corollary 1. In this section, we show that, for each $0 \leq k < n$, $\widetilde{W}_{k,l} \cong \text{Res}_{\tilde{K}^1} W'$, where W' is some irreducible representation of \tilde{K} . We deduce that $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^-$ have the same dimension.

Let ρ' be a genuine irreducible representation of \tilde{T} with central character χ' , such that depth of χ' is equal to depth of χ , and that ρ appears in $\text{Res}_{\tilde{T}^1} \rho'$. Let $\chi'_{i,j}$, $0 \leq i, j < n$ be all possible extensions of χ' to A . To find W' , we consider the restriction of the principal series representation $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho'$ to \tilde{K}^1 . Because the structure of the \tilde{T}^1 depends on the parity of n , we consider the cases for even and odd n separately.

5.1.1. n odd. Recall that for odd n we have $Z(\tilde{T}^1) = \{(\text{dg}(a), \zeta) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $A^1 = \{(\text{dg}(a), \zeta) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \zeta \in \mu_n, n \mid \text{val}(a)\}$, $\tilde{T}^1 \cap \tilde{K}^1 = \{(\text{dg}(a), \zeta) \mid a \in \mathcal{O}^\times, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $Z(\tilde{T}) = \{(\text{dg}(a, b), \zeta) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $A = \{(\text{dg}(a, b), \zeta) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \zeta \in \mu_n, n \mid \text{val}(a), n \mid \text{val}(b)\}$, $\tilde{T} \cap \tilde{K} = \{(\text{dg}(a, b), \zeta) \mid a, b \in \mathcal{O}^\times, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$. Observe that $Z(\tilde{T}) \cap \tilde{T}^1 = Z(\tilde{T}^1)$ and $A \cap \tilde{T}^1 = A^1$.

We compute $\text{Res}_{\tilde{K}^1} \text{Res}_{\tilde{K}} \text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho'$, where the decomposition of $\text{Res}_{\tilde{K}} \text{Ind}_{\tilde{B}}^{\tilde{G}} \rho'$ is given in Corollary 3. The assumption ρ appears in $\text{Res}_{\tilde{T}^1} \rho'$ implies $\chi'|_{Z(\tilde{T}^1)} = \chi$. We further assume that the choice of χ_0 is such

that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{A}}\chi'_0 = \chi_0$. In order to study the restriction of each piece in (10), we need to restrict the characters $\chi'_{i,j}$ to $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$.

Lemma 9. *Assume n is odd. For $0 \leq i, j < n$, let k be the integer in $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $k \equiv \frac{i-j}{2} \pmod{n}$. Then $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j} = \chi_k$.*

Proof. Let $(\text{dg}(u), \zeta) \in \widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$. Then $\chi'_{i,j}(\text{dg}(u), \zeta) = \chi'_0(\text{dg}(u), \vartheta(u)^{i-j}\zeta)$, which by Lemma 3, and because $\chi'_0|_{A^1} = \chi_0$, is equal to $\chi_0(\text{dg}(u), \vartheta(u)^{2k}\zeta) = \chi_k(\text{dg}(u), \zeta)$. \square

The cardinality of the kernel of the map $(i, j) \rightarrow k \pmod{n}$, in Lemma 9, is n ; that is for each k , there are exactly n distinct characters $\chi'_{i,j}$ of $\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K}$ that restrict to χ_k on $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$.

Lemma 10. *Assume n is odd. Let i, j and k be in $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$, such that $\chi'_{i,j}|_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} = \chi_k$. Then, for all $l \geq m$, $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j} \right)^{K_l} \cong \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k \right)^{K_l}$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j} \cong \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k$. Note that $\widetilde{K}^1 \backslash \widetilde{K} / \widetilde{B}_l$ is trivial and $\widetilde{B}_l \cap \widetilde{K}^1 = \widetilde{B}_l$. So by Mackey's theory, we have $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j} \cong \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j}$, which is equal to $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}_l}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k$ by choice of i, j and k . \square

5.1.2. *n even.* Recall that for even n , $Z(\widetilde{T}^1) = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n/2}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $A^1 = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \frac{n}{2} \mid \text{val}(t), \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1 = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $Z(\widetilde{T}) = \{(\text{dg}(t, s), \zeta) \mid t, s \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $A = \{(\text{dg}(t, s), \zeta) \mid t, s \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, n \mid \text{val}(t), n \mid \text{val}(s), \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, $\widetilde{T} \cap \widetilde{K} = \{(\text{dg}(t, s), \zeta) \mid t, s \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, and therefore, $Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1 = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times n}, \zeta \in \mu_n\}$, and $A \cap \widetilde{T}^1 = \{(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, n \mid \text{val}(t), \zeta \in \mu_n\}$.

Unlike the case for odd n , the centre $Z(\widetilde{T})$ and the maximal abelian subgroup A of \widetilde{T} do not restrict to those of \widetilde{T}^1 upon restriction to \widetilde{T}^1 . Observe that $[Z(\widetilde{T}^1) : Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1] = 4$, $[A^1 : A \cap \widetilde{T}^1] = 2$. This mismatch makes the computation of $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho'$ more delicate. Indeed, our assumption that ρ appears in $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho'$ does not imply that ρ' is ρ isotypic, upon restriction to \widetilde{T}^1 . We show that ρ is one of the four distinct irreducible representations of \widetilde{T}^1 that appear in $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho'$.

Set $\underline{\chi} := \text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1} \chi'$. Note that $|\underline{n}\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathcal{O}^{\times n}/\mathcal{O}^{\times n}| = 2$. We denote the coset representatives of the former by $\{e, o\}$. Let L denote the set of coset representatives for $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)/(Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1)$, so $|L| = 4$. The representation $\text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)} \underline{\chi}$ decomposes into 4 distinct characters $\ell\chi$:

$$(11) \quad \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)} \underline{\chi} = \bigoplus_{\ell \in L} \ell\chi.$$

We denote the irreducible genuine representation of \widetilde{T}^1 with central character $\ell\chi$ by ρ_ℓ .

Proposition 6. *Assume n is even. Let $\ell\chi$, $\ell \in L$ be as in (11). Then $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho' = \bigoplus_{\ell \in L} [(\rho_\ell)^{\oplus n/2}]$, where ρ_ℓ are mutually inequivalent and $\rho \cong \rho_\ell$ for some $\ell \in L$.*

Proof. Note that $X = \{(\text{dg}(1, \varpi^j), 1) \mid 0 \leq j < n\}$ is a system of coset representatives for $\widetilde{T}^1 \backslash \widetilde{T} / A$, and that A is stable under conjugation by $\mathbf{x} \in X$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that for $\mathbf{x} = (\text{dg}(1, \varpi^j), 1)$,

$\chi_0^x = \chi'_{0,j}$. Therefore, by Mackey's theory,

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho' = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \left(\text{Ind}_{(\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A^x)}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \chi_0^x \right) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j} \right).$$

Observe that $[A^1 : \widetilde{T}^1 \cap A] = 2$, with coset representatives $\{e, o\}$. Therefore, for every $0 \leq j < n$, $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j}$ is a 2-dimensional representation of the abelian group A^1 and hence decomposes into direct sum of two characters: $e\chi'_j \oplus o\chi'_j$.

Next, we show that the elements of the set $\{e\chi'_j, o\chi'_j \mid 0 \leq j < n\}$ are distinct. Note that for $0 \leq j < n$, $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j} \cong \chi'_{0,j} \oplus \chi'_{0,j}$. Suppose $0 \leq i, j < n$, by Frobenius reciprocity

$$\text{Hom}_{A^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j}, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,i} \right) = \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A} \left(\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j}, \chi'_{0,i} \right) = \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A} \left(\chi'_{0,j} \oplus \chi'_{0,j}, \chi'_{0,i} \right).$$

We can easily see that $\chi'_{0,j}$ and $\chi'_{0,i}$ coincide on $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A$ if and only if $i = j$. Whence,

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{A^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,j}, \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap A}^{A^1} \chi'_{0,i} \right) = \begin{cases} 2, & i = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the elements of $\{e\chi'_j, o\chi'_j \mid 0 \leq j < n\}$ are $2n$ distinct characters of A , which because $[A : Z(\widetilde{T}^1)] = n/2$, implies that they restrict to, at least 4, distinct characters upon restriction to $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$. Moreover, because ρ appears in $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho'$, at least one of these 4 central characters is χ . Observe that, for $0 \leq j < n$, and $\alpha \in \{e, o\}$, $\text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1} \alpha \chi'_j = \chi$.

Consider $\text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{A^1} \chi = \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)}^{A^1} \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)} \chi = \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)}^{A^1} \bigoplus_{\ell \in L} \ell \chi = \bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < n/2} \ell \chi_k$. Observe that, the $\ell \chi_k$, are $2n$ distinct characters that restrict to χ on $Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1$, and exhaust every such character. Hence, the sets $\{e\chi'_{0,j}, o\chi'_{0,j} \mid 0 \leq j < n\}$ and $\{\ell \chi_k \mid \ell \in L, 0 \leq k < n/2\}$ are equal. In particular, $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho' \cong \text{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < n} e\chi'_j \oplus o\chi'_j = \text{Ind}_{A^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1} \left(\bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < n/2} \ell \chi_k \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in L} \rho_\ell^{\oplus \frac{n}{2}}$. The last equality is because the $\ell \chi_k$ extend $\ell \chi$. Moreover, ρ_ℓ are mutually inequivalent because $\ell \chi$ are mutually inequivalent. Finally, because $\chi = \ell \chi$ for some $\ell \in L$, $\rho \cong \rho_\ell$ for some $\ell \in L$. \square

We compute $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}}^{\widetilde{G}} \rho'$. First, we need to study $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}$.

Lemma 11. *Let $\chi'_{i,j}$, $0 \leq i, j < n$ be as in (10). Then $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) = \chi'_{0,0}(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{i-j} \zeta)$, for all $(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \in \widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$.*

Proof. Let $(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) \in \widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$. Then

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}(\text{dg}(t), \zeta) = \chi'_{0,0}(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{-j} \vartheta(t)^i \zeta) = \chi'_{0,0}(\text{dg}(t), \vartheta(t)^{i-j} \zeta).$$

\square

Therefore, $\{\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j} \mid 0 \leq i, j < n\}$ consists of n distinct characters of $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$. In the next lemma and proposition, we realize these characters as characters of $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$ that come from central characters $\ell \chi$, $\ell \in L$, of $Z(\widetilde{T}^1)$.

Lemma 12. *Each $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}$ appears exactly twice in $\bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}} \ell \chi_k$.*

Proof. Note that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{A^1} \underline{\chi} = \text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \left(\bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}} \ell \chi_k \right)$. Consider

$$(12) \quad \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}, \text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1}^{A^1} \underline{\chi} \right).$$

Observe that $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1 \backslash A^1 / Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{T}^1 \cong \underline{n}\mathbb{Z} / n\mathbb{Z}$. So, by Mackey's theory and Frobenius reciprocity (12) is

$$\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}, \left(\text{Ind}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \underline{\chi} \right)^{\oplus 2} \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}, \text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \underline{\chi}^{\oplus 2} \right).$$

Because $\text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}^1)} \chi' = \underline{\chi}$, for all $0 \leq i, j < n$, $\text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j} = \text{Res}_{Z(\widetilde{T}) \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \underline{\chi}$, and hence, (12) is 2-dimensional, which shows that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{i,j}$ appears exactly twice in $\bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < \underline{n}} \ell \chi_k$. \square

Note that the map $(i, j) \rightarrow i - j \pmod n$, which appears in Lemma 11, has a kernel of size n . Therefore, it is easy to see that $\{\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{0,j} \mid 0 \leq j < n\}$ consists of n distinct characters of $\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1$, each appearing exactly twice in $\bigoplus_{\ell \in L, 0 \leq k < \underline{n}} \ell \chi_k$ by Lemma 12. By a simple counting argument, we deduce that for every $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$ and $\ell \in L$, there exists a $0 \leq j < n$, such that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{0,j} = \ell \chi_k$. Similar to Lemma 10, we see that, for n even, if $0 \leq j < n$, $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$ and $\ell \in L$ are such that $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1} \chi'_{0,j} = \ell \chi_k$, then, for all $l \geq m$, $\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \ell \chi_k \right)^{K_l^1} \cong \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_l}$.

The following proposition sums up the result in this section.

Proposition 7. *Let ρ and ρ' be irreducible representations of \widetilde{T}^1 and \widetilde{T} with central characters χ and χ' , primitive mod m , respectively, such that ρ appears in $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{T}^1} \rho'$. For $l > m$, $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, $0 \leq i, j < n$, let $\widetilde{W}_{k,l} = \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^- \oplus \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}'_{i,j,l}$ be the quotient spaces that appear in the decompositions in Corollary 1 and Corollary 3 respectively. Then, for each $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, $l > m$, $\widetilde{W}_{k,l} = \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \widetilde{W}'_{i,j,l}$, for some $0 \leq i, j < n$.*

Proof. If n is odd, it follows from Lemma 10 that for a given k and l there exists $0 \leq i, j < n$ such that $\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k \right)^{K_l^1} \cong \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{i,j} \right)^{K_l}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i = 0$. For n even, it follows from Proposition 6 that $\chi = \ell \chi$ for some $\ell \in L$, where $\ell \chi$ are defined in (11). It is a consequence of Lemma 12 that, for a given k and l there exists $0 \leq j < n$ such that $\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \ell \chi_k \right)^{K_l^1} \cong \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_l}$. Consider $\widetilde{W}'_{0,j,l} = \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_l} / \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_{l-1}}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \widetilde{W}'_{0,j,l} &= \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left[\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_l} \right] / \text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \left[\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{K}}^{\widetilde{K}} \chi'_{0,j} \right)^{K_{l-1}} \right] \\ &= \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k \right)^{K_l} / \left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k \right)^{K_{l-1}} = \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^- \oplus \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 4. *The inequivalent irreducible representations $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^-$ and $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$, $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, $l > m$, that appear in the K-type decomposition $\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho$ in Corollary 1 are of the same dimension.*

Proof. By Proposition 7, for any $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, $l > m$, $\widetilde{W}_{k,l} = \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^- \oplus \widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$, is restriction of some irreducible representation $\widetilde{W}'_{i,j}$ of \widetilde{K} , for some $0 \leq i, j < n$. Hence, there exists an element of $\widetilde{K} \setminus \widetilde{K}^1$ that maps $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^-$ to $\widetilde{W}_{k,l}^+$ bijectively. \square

6. MAIN RESULT

Finally, we put all of our results together to make the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *Let ρ be a genuine irreducible representation of \widetilde{T}^1 with central character χ , primitive mod m , and let χ_k , $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, be all the possible extensions of χ to A^1 . Then*

$$\text{Res}_{\widetilde{K}^1} \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1}^{\widetilde{G}^1} \rho \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\underline{n}-1} \left(\widetilde{V}_k^{K^1 m} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{l > m} \left(\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^+ \oplus \widetilde{W}_{0,l}^- \right)^{\oplus \underline{n}},$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^-$ are two inequivalent irreducible representations of \widetilde{K}^1 with the same dimension, and $\left(\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^+ \oplus \widetilde{W}_{0,l}^- \right) \cong (\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_0)^{K^1} / (\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_0)^{K^1 - 1}$, and $\widetilde{V}_k = \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{B}^1 \cap \widetilde{K}^1}^{\widetilde{K}^1} \chi_k$.

We consider $T^1 \cap K^1$ as a subgroup of \widetilde{T}^1 . The m -level representations $\widetilde{V}_k^{K^1 m}$, where $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, are irreducible and mutually inequivalent, except when $m = 1$, and for some $0 \leq k < \underline{n}$, $\chi_k|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)}$ is a quadratic character. In this case, up to relabelling, we can assume that $\chi_0|_{(T^1 \cap K^1)}$ is a quadratic character, and we are in one of the following situations:

- (1) If $4 \nmid n$ then $\widetilde{V}_k^{K^1}$ is reducible if and only if $k = 0$, in which case it decomposes into two irreducible constituents. Moreover, $\widetilde{V}_i^{K^1} \cong \widetilde{V}_k^{K^1}$, exactly when $i + k = \underline{n}$.
- (2) If $4 | n$ then $\widetilde{V}_k^{K^1}$ is reducible if and only if $k = 0$ or $k = \frac{n}{4}$. In which case, it decomposes into two irreducible constituents. Moreover, $\widetilde{V}_i^{K^1} \cong \widetilde{V}_k^{K^1}$, exactly when $i + k = \underline{n}$.

Proof. The decomposition and irreducibility results follow from Corollary 1. The multiplicity results are shown in Corollary 2, and the fact that $\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^+$ and $\widetilde{W}_{0,l}^-$ have the same degree follows from Corollary 4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aritürk. On the composition series of principal series representations of a three-fold covering group of $SL(2, K)$. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 77:177–196, 1980.
- [2] P. S. Campbell and M. Nevins. Branching rules for unramified principal series representations of $GL(3)$ over a p -adic field. *J. Algebra*, 321(9):2422–2444, 2009.
- [3] P. S. Campbell and M. Nevins. Branching rules for ramified principal series representations of $GL(3)$ over a p -adic field. *Canad. J. Math.*, 62(1):34–51, 2010.
- [4] W. Casselman. The restriction of a representation of $GL_2(k)$ to $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$. *Math. Ann.*, 206:311–318, 1973.
- [5] T. K. Howard and M. H. Weissman. Depth-zero representations of nonlinear covers of p -adic groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (21):3979–3995, 2009.
- [6] D. Joyner. A correspondence for the generalized Hecke algebra of the metaplectic cover $\overline{SL}(2, F)$, F p -adic. *New York J. Math.*, 4:223–235 (electronic), 1998.
- [7] D. Joyner. Invariant distributions on the n -fold metaplectic covers of $GL(r, F)$, F p -adic. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 7(4):343–358, 2001.
- [8] C. Karimianpour. The stone-von neumann construction in branching rules and minimal degree problems, 2015. PhD Thesis.
- [9] D. A. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson. Metaplectic forms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (59):35–142, 1984.
- [10] T. Kubota. Topological covering of $SL(2)$ over a local field. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19:114–121, 1967.
- [11] T. Kubota. *On automorphic functions and the reciprocity law in a number field*. Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 2. Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1969.
- [12] H. Matsumoto. Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 2:1–62, 1969.
- [13] P. J. McNamara. Principal series representations of metaplectic groups over local fields. In *Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms*, volume 300 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 299–327. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.
- [14] C. Moen. Irreducibility of unitary principal series for covering groups of $SL(2, k)$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 135(1):89–110, 1988.

- [15] C. C. Moore. Group extensions of p -adic and adelic linear groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (35):157–222, 1968.
- [16] M. Nevins. Branching rules for principal series representations of $SL(2)$ over a p -adic field. *Canad. J. Math.*, 57(3):648–672, 2005.
- [17] M. Nevins. Patterns in branching rules for irreducible representations of $SL_2(k)$, for k a p -adic field. In *Harmonic analysis on reductive, p -adic groups*, volume 543 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 185–199. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [18] M. Nevins. Branching rules for supercuspidal representations of $SL_2(k)$, for k a p -adic field. *J. Algebra*, 377:204–231, 2013.
- [19] U. Onn and P. Singla. On the unramified principal series of $GL(3)$ over non-Archimedean local fields. *J. Algebra*, 397:1–17, 2014.
- [20] G. Savin. On unramified representations of covering groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 566:111–134, 2004.
- [21] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [22] R. Steinberg. *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson.

CAMELIA KARIMIANPOUR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, 585 KING EDWARD, OTTAWA, ON K1N 6N5, CANADA.

E-mail address: ckari099@uottawa.ca