

# AN ERGODIC THEOREM FOR THE QUASI-REGULAR REPRESENTATION OF THE FREE GROUP

ADRIEN BOYER AND ANTOINE PINOCHET LOBOS

ABSTRACT. In [BM11], an ergodic theorem à la Birkhoff-von Neumann for the action of the fundamental group of a compact negatively curved manifold on the boundary of its universal cover is proved. A quick corollary is the irreducibility of the associated unitary representation. These results are generalized [Boy15] to the context of convex cocompact groups of isometries of a CAT(-1) space, using Theorem 4.1.1 of [Rob03], with the hypothesis of non arithmeticity of the spectrum. We prove all the analog results in the case of the free group  $\mathbb{F}_r$  of rank  $r$  even if  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is not the fundamental group of a closed manifold, and may have an arithmetic spectrum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the action of the free group  $\mathbb{F}_r$  on its boundary  $\mathbf{B}$ , a probability space associated to the Cayley graph of  $\mathbb{F}_r$  relative to its canonical generating set. This action is known to be *ergodic* (see for example [FTP82] and [FTP83]), but since the measure is not preserved, no theorem on the convergence of means of the corresponding unitary operators had been proved. Note that a close result is proved in [FTP83, Lemma 4, Item (i)].

We formulate such a convergence theorem in Theorem 1.2. We prove it following the ideas of [BM11] and [Boy15] replacing [Rob03, Theorem 4.1.1] by Theorem 1.1.

**1.1. Geometric setting and notation.** We will denote  $\mathbb{F}_r = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$  the free group on  $r$  generators, for  $r \geq 2$ . For an element  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_r$ , there is a unique reduced word in  $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_r^{\pm 1}\}$  which represents it. This word is denoted  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k$  for some integer  $k$  which is called the *length* of  $\gamma$  and is denoted by  $|\gamma|$ . The set of all elements of length  $k$  is denoted  $S_n$  and is called the *sphere of radius  $k$* . If  $u \in \mathbb{F}_r$  and  $k \geq |u|$ , let us denote  $Pr_u(k) := \{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_r \mid |\gamma| = k, u \text{ is a prefix of } \gamma\}$ . Let  $X$  be the Cayley graph of  $\mathbb{F}_r$  with respect to the set of generators  $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_r^{\pm 1}\}$ , which is a  $2r$ -regular tree. We endow it with the (natural) distance, denoted by  $d$ , which gives length 1 to every edge ; for this distance, the natural action of  $\mathbb{F}_r$  on  $X$  is isometric and freely transitive on the vertices ; the space  $X$  is uniquely geodesic, the geodesics between vertices being finite sequences of successive edges. We denote by  $[x, y]$  the unique geodesic joining  $x$  to  $y$ .

We fix, once and for all, a vertex  $x_0$  in  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , the vertex of  $X$  which is the closest to  $x$  in  $[x_0, x]$ , is denoted by  $[x]$  ; because the action is free, we can identify  $[x]$  with the element  $\gamma$  that brings  $x_0$  on it, and this identification is an isometry.

*The Cayley tree and its boundary.* As for any other CAT(-1) space, we can construct a boundary of  $X$  and endow it with a distance and a measure. For a general construction, see [Bou95]. The construction we provide here is elementary.

Let us denote by  $\mathbf{B}$  the set of all right-infinite reduced words on the alphabet  $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_r^{\pm 1}\}$ . This set is called the **boundary** of  $X$ .

We will consider the set  $\overline{X} := X \cup \mathbf{B}$ .

For  $u = u_1 \cdots u_l \in \mathbb{F}_r \setminus \{e\}$ , we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} X_u &:= \{x \in X \mid u \text{ is a prefix of } [x]\} \\ \mathbf{B}_u &:= \{\xi \in \mathbf{B} \mid u \text{ is a prefix of } \xi\} \end{aligned}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37; Secondary 43, 47.

*Key words and phrases.* boundary representations, ergodic theorems, irreducibility, equidistribution, free groups.

Weizmann Institute of Science, aadrien.boyer@gmail.com.

Universit d'Aix-Marseille, CNRS UMR7373, a.p.lobos@outlook.com.

$$C_u := X_u \cup \mathbf{B}_u$$

We can now define a natural topology on  $\overline{X}$  by choosing as a basis of neighborhoods

- (1) for  $x \in X$ , the set of all neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$
- (2) for  $\xi \in \mathbf{B}$ , the set  $\{C_u \mid u \text{ is a prefix of } \xi\}$

For this topology,  $\overline{X}$  is a compact space in which the subset  $X$  is open and dense. The induced topology on  $X$  is the one given by the distance. Every isometry of  $X$  continuously extend to a homeomorphism of  $\overline{X}$ .

*Distance and measure on the boundary.* For  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  in  $\mathbf{B}$ , we define the **Gromov product** of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  with respect to  $x_0$  by

$$(\xi_1|\xi_2)_{x_0} := \sup \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi_1 \text{ and } \xi_2 \text{ have a common prefix of length } k\}$$

and

$$d_{x_0}(\xi_1, \xi_2) := e^{-(\xi_1|\xi_2)_{x_0}}.$$

Then  $d$  defines an ultrametric distance on  $\mathbf{B}$  which induces the same topology ; precisely, if  $\xi = u_1 u_2 u_3 \dots$ , then the ball centered in  $\xi$  of radius  $e^{-k}$  is just  $\mathbf{B}_{u_1 \dots u_k}$ .

On  $\mathbf{B}$ , there is at most one Borel regular probability measure which is invariant under the isometries of  $X$  which fix  $x_0$ ; indeed, such a measure  $\mu_{x_0}$  must satisfy

$$\mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u) = \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{|u|-1}}$$

and it is straightforward to check that the  $\ln(2r-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure verifies this property.

If  $\xi = u_1 \dots u_n \dots \in \mathbf{B}$ , and  $x, y \in X$ , then  $(d(x, u_1 \dots u_n) - d(y, u_1 \dots u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is stationary. We denote this limit  $\beta_\xi(x, y)$ . The function  $\beta_\xi$  is called the **Busemann function** at  $\xi$ .

Let us denote, for  $\xi \in \mathbf{B}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_r$  the function

$$P(\gamma, \xi) := (2r-1)^{\beta_\xi(x_0, \gamma x_0)}$$

The measure  $\mu_{x_0}$  is, in addition, quasi-invariant under the action of  $\mathbb{F}_r$ . Precisely, the Radon-Nikodym derivative is given for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and for a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbf{B}$  by

$$\frac{d\gamma_*\mu_{x_0}}{d\mu_{x_0}}(\xi) = P(\gamma, \xi),$$

where  $\gamma_*\mu_{x_0}(A) = \mu_{x_0}(\gamma^{-1}A)$  for any Borel subset  $A \subset \mathbf{B}$ .

*The quasi-regular representation.* Denote the unitary representation, called the quasi-regular representation of  $\mathbb{F}_r$  on the boundary of  $X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathbb{F}_r &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbf{B})) \\ \gamma &\mapsto \pi(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

defined as

$$(\pi(\gamma)g)(\xi) := P(\gamma, \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}g(\gamma^{-1}\xi)$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_r$  and for  $g \in L^2(\mathbf{B})$ . We define the *Harish-Chandra* function

$$(1.1) \quad \Xi(\gamma) := \langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{B}} P(\gamma, \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{x_0}(\xi),$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}$  denotes the characteristic function on the boundary.

For  $f \in C(\overline{X})$ , we define the operators

$$(1.2) \quad M_n(f) : g \in L^2(\mathbf{B}) \mapsto \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} f(\gamma x_0) \frac{\pi(\gamma)g}{\Xi(\gamma)} \in L^2(\mathbf{B}).$$

We also define the operator

$$(1.3) \quad M(f) := m(f|_{\mathbf{B}})P_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}}$$

where  $m(f|_{\mathbf{B}})$  is the multiplication operator by  $f|_{\mathbf{B}}$  on  $L^2(\mathbf{B})$ , and  $P_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}}$  is the orthogonal projection on the subspace of constant functions.

**Results.** The analog of Roblin’s equidistribution theorem for the free group is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *We have, in  $C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})^*$ , the weak- $*$  convergence*

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} D_{\gamma x_0} \otimes D_{\gamma^{-1} x_0} \rightharpoonup \mu_{x_0} \otimes \mu_{x_0}$$

where  $D_x$  denotes the Dirac measure on a point  $x$ .

**Remark 1.** *It is then straightforward to deduce the weak- $*$  convergence*

$$\|m_\Gamma\| e^{-\delta n} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n} D_{\gamma x_0} \otimes D_{\gamma^{-1} x_0} \rightharpoonup \mu_{x_0} \otimes \mu_{x_0}$$

$m_\Gamma$  denoting the Bowen-Margulis-Sullivan measure on the geodesic flow of  $SX/\Gamma$  (where  $SX$  is the “unit tangent bundle”) and  $\delta$  denoting  $\ln(2r - 1)$ , the Hausdorff measure of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

- (1) Notice that in our case, the spectrum is  $\mathbb{Z}$  so the geodesic flow is not topologically mixing, according to [Dal99] or directly by [CT01, Ex 1.3].
- (2) Notice also that our multiplicative term is different of that of [Rob03, Theorem 4.1.1], which shows that the hypothesis of non-arithmeticity of the spectrum cannot be removed.

We use the above theorem to prove the following convergence of operators.

**Theorem 1.2.** *We have, for all  $f$  in  $C(\overline{X})$ , the weak operator convergence*

$$M_n(f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(f).$$

In other words, we have, for all  $f$  in  $C(\overline{X})$  and for all  $g, h$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{B})$ , the convergence

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} f(\gamma x_0) \frac{\langle \pi(\gamma)g, h \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle M(f)g, h \rangle.$$

We deduce the irreducibility of  $\pi$ , and give an alternative proof of this well known result (see [FTP82, Theorem 5]).

**Corollary 1.3.** *The representation  $\pi$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 1.2 to  $f = \mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}}$  shows that the orthogonal projection onto the space of constant functions is in the von Neumann algebra associated with  $\pi$ . Then applying Theorem 1.2 to  $g = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}$  shows that the vector  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}$  is cyclic. Then, the classical argument of [Gar14, Lemma 6.1] concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , let us denote by  $W_\alpha$  the wedge of two circles, one of length 1 and the other of length  $\alpha$ . Let  $p : T_\alpha \rightarrow W_\alpha$  the universal cover, with  $T_\alpha$  endowed with the distance making  $p$  a local isometry. Then  $\mathbb{F}_2 \simeq \pi_1(W_\alpha)$  acts freely properly discontinuously and cocompactly on the 4-regular tree  $T_\alpha$  (which is a CAT(-1) space) by isometries. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , the analog of Theorem 1.2 for the quasi-regular representation  $\pi_\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  on  $L^2(\partial T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)$  for a Patterson-Sullivan measure associated to a Bourdon distance is known to hold ([Boy15]) because [Rob03, Theorem 4.1.1] is true in this setting. Now if  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are such that  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2^{\pm 1}$ , then the representations  $\pi_\alpha$  are not unitarily equivalent ([Gar14, Theorem 7.5]). For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^* \setminus \{1\}$ , it would be interesting to formulate and prove an equidistribution result like Theorem 1.1 in order to prove Theorem 1.2 for  $\pi_\alpha$ .

## 2. PROOFS

**2.1. Proof of the equidistribution theorem.** For the proof of Theorem 1.1, let us denote

$$E := \left\{ f : C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X}) \mid \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} f(\gamma x_0, \gamma^{-1} x_0) \rightarrow \int_{\overline{X} \times \overline{X}} f d(\mu_{x_0} \otimes \mu_{x_0}) \right\}$$

The subspace  $E$  is clearly closed in  $C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})$ ; it remains only to show that it contains a dense subspace of it.

Let us define a modified version of certain characteristic functions : for  $u \in \mathbb{F}_r$  we define

$$\chi_u(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{1 - d_X(x, C_u), 0\} & \text{if } x \in X \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbf{B}_u \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_u \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the function  $\chi_u$  is a continuous function which coincides with  $\chi_{C_u}$  on  $\mathbb{F}_r x_0$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ .

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u \in \mathbb{F}_r$  and  $k \geq |u|$ , then  $\chi_u - \sum_{\gamma \in Pr_u(k)} \chi_\gamma$  has compact support included in  $X$ .*

**Proposition 2.2.** *The set  $\chi := \{\chi_u \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_r \setminus \{e\}\}$  separates points of  $\mathbf{B}$ , and the product of two such functions of  $\chi$  is either in  $\chi$ , the sum of a function in  $\chi$  and of a function with compact support contained in  $X$ , or zero.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\chi$  separates points. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\chi_u \chi_v = \chi_v$  if  $u$  is a proper prefix of  $v$ , that  $\chi_u^2 - \chi_u$  has compact support in  $X$ , and that  $\chi_u \chi_v = 0$  if none of  $u$  and  $v$  is a proper prefix of the other.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The subspace  $E$  contains all functions of the form  $\chi_u \otimes \chi_v$ .*

*Proof.* We make the useful observation that

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (\chi_u \otimes \chi_v)(\gamma x_0, \gamma^{-1} x_0) = \frac{|S_n^{u,v}|}{|S_n|}$$

where  $S_n^{u,v}$  is the set of reduced words of length  $n$  with  $u$  as a prefix and  $v^{-1}$  as a suffix. We easily see that this set is in bijection with the set of all reduced words of length  $n - (|u| + |v|)$  that do not begin by the inverse of the last letter of  $u$ , and that do not end by the inverse of the first letter of  $v^{-1}$ . So we have to compute, for  $s, t \in \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_r^{\pm 1}\}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the cardinal of the set  $S_m(s, t)$  of reduced words of length  $m$  that do not start by  $s$  and do not finish by  $t$ .

Now we have

$$S_m = S_m(s, t) \cup \{x \mid |x| = m \text{ and starts by } s\} \cup \{x \mid |x| = m \text{ and ends by } t\}.$$

Note that the intersection of the two last sets is the set of words both starting by  $s$  and ending by  $t$ , which is in bijection with  $S_{m-2}(s^{-1}, t^{-1})$ .

We have then the recurrence relation :

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m(s, t)| &= 2r(2r-1)^{m-1} - 2(2r-1)^{m-1} + |S_{m-2}(s^{-1}, t^{-1})| \\ &= 2(r-1)(2r-1)^{m-1} + 2(r-1)(2r-1)^{m-3} + |S_{m-4}(s, t)| \\ &= (2r-1)^m \frac{2(r-1)((2r-1)^2 + 1)}{(2r-1)^3} + |S_{m-4}(s, t)| \end{aligned}$$

We set  $C := \frac{2(r-1)((2r-1)^2 + 1)}{(2r-1)^3}$ ,  $n = 4k + j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq 3$  and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{4k+j}^{s,t}| &= C(2r-1)^{4k+j} + |S_{4(k-1)+j}^{s,t}| \\ &= C(2r-1)^{4k+j} + C(2r-1)^{4(k-1)+j} + |S_{4(k-2)+j}^{s,t}| \\ &= C \sum_{i=1}^k (2r-1)^{4i+j} + |S_j^{s,t}| \\ &= C(2r-1)^{4+j} \frac{(2r-1)^{4k} - 1}{(2r-1)^4 - 1} + |S_j(s, t)| \\ &= (2r-1)^{1+j} \frac{(2r-1)^{4k} - 1}{2r} + |S_j(s, t)| \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{|S_{4k+j}^{u,v}|}{|S_{4k+j}|} &= \frac{|S_{4k+j-(|u|+|v|)}(u_{|u|}, v_{|v|}^{-1})|}{|S_{4k+j}|} \\
 &= \frac{(2r-1)^{1+j} \frac{(2r-1)^{4k-(|u|+|v|)} - 1}{2r} + |S_j(u_{|u|}, v_{|v|}^{-1})|}{2r(2r-1)^{4k+j-1}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{|u|-1}} \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{|v|-1}} + o(1) \\
 &= \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v) + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and this proves the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The subspace  $E$  is dense in  $C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider  $E'$ , the subspace generated by the constant functions, the functions which can be written as  $f \otimes g$  where  $f, g$  are continuous functions on  $\overline{X}$  and such that one of them has compact support included in  $X$ , and the functions of the form  $\chi_u \otimes \chi_v$ . By Proposition 2.2, it is a subalgebra of  $C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})$  containing the constants and separating points, so by the Stone-Weierstraß theorem,  $E'$  is dense in  $C(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})$ . Now, by Proposition 2.3, we have that  $E' \subseteq E$ , so  $E$  is dense as well.  $\square$

**2.2. Proof of the ergodic theorem.** The proof of Theorem 1.2 consists in two steps:

**Step 1:** Prove that the sequence  $M_n$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}(C(\overline{X}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbf{B})))$ .

**Step 2:** Prove that the sequence converges on a dense subset.

**2.2.1. Boundedness.** In the following  $\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}}$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\overline{X}$ . Define

$$F_n := [M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})] \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}.$$

We denote by  $\Xi(n)$  the common value of  $\Xi$  on elements of length  $n$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *The function  $\xi \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is constant equal to  $|S_n| \times \Xi(n)$ .*

*Proof.* This function is constant on orbits of the action of the group of automorphisms of  $X$  fixing  $x_0$ . Since it is transitive on  $\mathbf{B}$ , the function is constant. By integrating, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{x_0}(\xi) \\
 &= \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \int_{\mathbf{B}} (P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{x_0}(\xi) \\
 &= \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \Xi(n) \\
 &= |S_n| \Xi(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *The function  $F_n$  is constant, equal to  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $\Xi$  depends only on the length, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \frac{(P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Xi(\gamma)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|S_n| \Xi(n)} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (P(\gamma, \xi))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is done.  $\square$

It is easy to see that  $M_n(f)$  induces continuous linear transformations of  $L^1$  and  $L^\infty$ , which we also denote by  $M_n(f)$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *The operator  $M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})$ , as an element of  $\mathcal{L}(L^\infty, L^\infty)$ , has norm 1; as an element of  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbf{B}))$ , it is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Let  $h \in L^\infty(\mathbf{B})$ . Since  $M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})$  is positive, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})]h\|_\infty &\leq \|[M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})]\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}\|_\infty \|h\|_\infty \\ &= \|F_n\|_\infty \|h\|_\infty \\ &= \|h\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\|M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^\infty, L^\infty)} \leq 1$ .

The self-adjointness follows from the fact that  $\pi(\gamma)^* = \pi(\gamma^{-1})$  and that the set of summation is symmetric.  $\square$

Let us briefly recall one useful corollary of Riesz-Thorin's theorem :

Let  $(Z, \mu)$  be a probability space.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $T$  be a continuous operator of  $L^1(Z)$  to itself such that the restriction  $T_2$  to  $L^2(Z)$  (resp.  $T_\infty$  to  $L^\infty(Z)$ ) induces a continuous operator of  $L^2(Z)$  to itself (resp.  $L^\infty(Z)$  to itself).*

*Suppose also that  $T_2$  is self-adjoint, and assume that  $\|T_\infty\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^\infty(Z), L^\infty(Z))} \leq 1$ .*

*Then  $\|T_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(Z), L^2(Z))} \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the adjoint operator  $T^*$  of  $(L^1)^* = L^\infty$  to itself. We have that

$$\|T^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^\infty, L^\infty)} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^1(Z), L^1(Z))}.$$

Now because  $T_2$  is self-adjoint, it is easy to see that  $T^* = T_\infty$ . This implies

$$1 \geq \|T^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^\infty, L^\infty)} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^1(Z), L^1(Z))}.$$

Hence the Riesz-Thorin's theorem gives us the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *The sequence  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{L}(C(\overline{X}), \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbf{B})))$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $M_n(f)$  is positive in  $f$ , we have, for every positive  $g \in L^2(\mathbf{B})$ , the inequality

$$-\|f\|_\infty [M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})]g \leq [M_n(f)]g \leq \|f\|_\infty [M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})]g$$

from which we deduce, for every  $g \in L^2(\mathbf{B})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|[M_n(f)]g\|_{L^2} &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|[M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})]g\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \|g\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to conclude that

$$\|M_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq \|M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \|f\|_\infty.$$

This proves that  $\|M_n\|_{\mathcal{L}(C(\overline{X}), \mathcal{B}(L^2))} \leq \|M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}})\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)}$ .

Now, it follows from Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8 that the sequence  $(M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded by 1 in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**2.2.2. Estimates for the Harish-Chandra function.** The values of the Harish-Chandra are known (see for example [FTP82, Theorem 2, Item (iii)]). We provide here the simple computations we need.

We will calculate the value of

$$\langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_u} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{B}_u} P(\gamma, \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{x_0}(\xi).$$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\gamma = s_1 \cdots s_n \in \mathbb{F}_r$ . Let  $l \in \{1, \dots, |\gamma|\}$ , and  $u = s_1 \cdots s_{l-1} t_l t_{l+1} \cdots t_{l+k}^1$ , with  $t_l \neq s_l$  and  $k \geq 0$ , be a reduced word. Then*

$$\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_u} \rangle = \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{\frac{|\gamma|}{2}+k}}$$

and

$$\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_\gamma} \rangle = \frac{2r-1}{2r(2r-1)^{\frac{|\gamma|}{2}}}$$

*Proof.* The function  $\xi \mapsto \beta_\xi(x_0, \gamma x_0)$  is constant on  $\mathbf{B}_u$  equal to  $2(l-1) - |\gamma|$ .

So  $\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_u} \rangle$  is the integral of a constant function:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{B}_u} P(\gamma, \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{x_0}(\xi) &= \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u) e^{\log(2r-1)\left((l-1) - \frac{|\gamma|}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{\frac{|\gamma|}{2}+k}}. \end{aligned}$$

The value of  $\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_\gamma} \rangle$  is computed in the same way. □

**Lemma 2.11.** *(The Harish-Chandra function)*

*Let  $\gamma = s_1 \cdots s_n$  in  $S_n$  written as a reduced word. We have that*

$$\Xi(\gamma) = \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{r} |\gamma|\right) (2r-1)^{-\frac{|\gamma|}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* We decompose  $\mathbf{B}$  into the following partition:

$$\mathbf{B} = \bigsqcup_{u_1 \neq s_1} \mathbf{B}_{u_1} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{l=2}^{|\gamma|} \bigsqcup_{\substack{u=s_1 \cdots s_{l-1} t_l \\ t_l \notin \{s_l, (s_{l-1})^{-1}\}}} \mathbf{B}_u \right) \sqcup \mathbf{B}_\gamma$$

and Lemma 2.10 provides us the value of the integral on the subsets forming this partition. A simple calculation yields the announced formula. □

The proof of the following lemma is then obvious :

**Lemma 2.12.** *If  $\gamma, w \in \mathbb{F}_r$  are such that  $w$  is not a prefix of  $\gamma$ , then there is a constant  $C_w$  not depending on  $\gamma$  such that*

$$\frac{\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \leq \frac{C_w}{|\gamma|}.$$

**2.2.3. Analysis of matrix coefficients.** The goal of this section is to compute the limit of the matrix coefficients  $\langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $u, w \in \mathbb{F}_r$  such that none of them is a prefix of the other (i.e.  $\mathbf{B}_u \cap \mathbf{B}_w = \emptyset$ ). Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle = 0$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.12, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \chi_u(\gamma x_0) \frac{\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in C_u \cap S_n} \frac{\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in C_u \cap S_n} \frac{C_w}{|\gamma|} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>For  $l = 1$ ,  $s_1 \cdots s_{l-1}$  is  $e$  by convention.

□

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_r$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}} \rangle \leq \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}} \rangle &= \langle M_n(\chi_u)^* \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \chi_u(\gamma^{-1} x_0) \frac{\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v} \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \chi_u(\gamma^{-1} x_0) \chi_v(\gamma x_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in S_n \\ \gamma \notin C_v}} \chi_u(\gamma^{-1} x_0) \frac{\langle \pi(\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v} \rangle}{\Xi(\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} \chi_u(\gamma^{-1} x_0) \chi_v(\gamma x_0) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by taking the lim sup and using Theorem I, we obtain the desired inequality. □

**Proposition 2.15.** *For all  $u, v, w \in \mathbb{F}_r$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle = \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u \cap \mathbf{B}_w) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v)$$

*Proof.* We first show the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \leq \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u \cap \mathbf{B}_w) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v).$$

If none of  $u$  and  $w$  is a prefix of the other, we have nothing to do according to Lemma 2.13. Let us assume that  $u$  is a prefix of  $w$  (the other case can be treated analogously). We have, by Lemma 2.14, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_w) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v) &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_w) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}} \rangle \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_w) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \\ &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_w) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle + \sum_{\gamma \in Pr_u(|w|) \setminus \{w\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_\gamma) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the expected limit. Let us define

$$S_{u,v,w} := \{(u', v', w') \in \mathbb{F}_r \mid |u| = |u'|, |v| = |v'|, |w| = |w'|\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\mathbf{1}_{\overline{X}}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}} \rangle \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle + \sum_{(u', v', w') \in S_{u,v,w} \setminus \{u,v,w\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_{u'}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_{v'}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_{w'}} \rangle \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle + \sum_{(u', v', w') \in S_{u,v,w} \setminus \{u,v,w\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_{u'}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_{v'}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_{w'}} \rangle \\ &\leq \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u \cap \mathbf{B}_w) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v) + \sum_{(u', v', w') \in S_{u,v,w} \setminus \{u,v,w\}} \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_{u'} \cap \mathbf{B}_{w'}) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_{v'}) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that all the inequalities above are in fact equalities, and moreover proves that the inequalities

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_n(\chi_u) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_v}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_w} \rangle \leq \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_u \cap \mathbf{B}_w) \mu_{x_0}(\mathbf{B}_v)$$



are in fact equalities. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Because of the boundedness of the sequence  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  proved in Proposition 2.9, it is enough to prove the convergence for all  $(f, h_1, h_2)$  in a dense subset of  $C(\overline{X}) \times L^2 \times L^2$ , which is what Proposition 2.15 asserts. □

#### REFERENCES

- [BM11] U. Bader and R. Muchnik. Boundary unitary representations - irreducibility and rigidity. *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, 5(1):49–69, 2011.
- [Bou95] M. Bourdon. Structure conforme au bord et flot géodésique d'un CAT(-1)-espace. *Enseign. Math.*, 2(2):63–102, 1995.
- [Boy15] A. Boyer. Equidistribution, ergodicity and irreducibility in CAT(-1) spaces. *arXiv:1412.8229v2*, 2015.
- [CT01] C. Charitos and G. Tsapogas. Topological mixing in CAT(-1)-spaces. *Trans. of the American Math. Society*, 354(1):235–264, 2001.
- [Dal99] F. Dal'bo. Remarques sur le spectre des longueurs d'une surface et comptages. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Math.*, 30(2):199–221, 1999.
- [FTP82] A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello. Spherical functions and harmonic analysis on free groups. *J. Functional Anal.*, 47:281–304, 1982.
- [FTP83] A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello. Harmonic analysis on free groups. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 87, 1983.
- [Gar14] L. Garncarek. Boundary representations of hyperbolic groups. *arXiv:1404.0903*, 2014.
- [Rob03] T. Roblin. *Ergodicité et Equidistribution en courbure négative*. Mémoires de la SMF 95, 2003.