VARIETIES OF APOLAR SUBSCHEMES OF TORIC SURFACES

MATTEO GALLET, KRISTIAN RANESTAD, AND NELLY VILLAMIZAR

Abstract. Powersum varieties, also called varieties of sums of powers, have provided examples of interesting relations between varieties since their first appearance in the 19th century. One of the most useful tools to study them is apolarity, a notion originally related to the action of differential operators on the polynomial ring. In this work we make explicit how one can see apolarity in terms of the Cox ring of a variety. In this way powersum varieties are a special case of varieties of apolar schemes; we explicitely describe examples of such varieties in the case of two toric surfaces, when the Cox ring is particularly well-behaved.

1. introduction

Powersum varieties for homogeneous forms provide examples of surprising relations between varieties, in particular between hypersurfaces and the variety of expressions of the defining form as a sum of powers of linear forms.

These varieties have been widely studied since the 19th century: Sylvester considered and solved the case of binary forms (see [20, 21]). A number of further cases have been treated more recently, see [10], [13], [14], [16], [17] and [18].

Powersum varieties are special cases of a general construction: given a projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, let $y \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general point and k be the minimal natural number such that there are k points in X whose span contains y. Thus k is the smallest integer such that the $(k-1)$-th secant variety of X fills the space $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Define $\mathrm{VPS}_X(y,k)$ to be the closure in $\mathrm{Hilb}_k(X)$ of the set of smooth subschemes of length k whose span contains y. If X is the d-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^r$, then one may interpret y as the class $[f]$ of a homogeneous form f of degree d. The k-tuples of points on X whose span contains $[f]$ represent expressions of f as a sum of d-th powers of linear forms. The closure of this set of k-tuples in the Hilbert scheme is denoted $\mathrm{VSP}(f,k)$ and coincides with the variety $\mathrm{VPS}_X(f,k)$.

The notion $\mathrm{VPS}_X(y,k)$ can be read as "Variety of aPolar Subschemes":

Date: January 6, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C99,14M99.

Key words and phrases. toric surfaces, apolarity, apolar schemes, powersum varieties.

Definition 1.1. A subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ is called apolar to $y \in \mathbb{P}^n$, if y is contained in the linear span of Z in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Note that $\mathrm{VPS}_X(y,k)$ contains only those apolar subschemes that are in the closure of the set of smooth apolar subschemes. When $\dim X > 3$, then the general point y may have singular apolar subschemes of length k that do not belong to this closure.

Apolar schemes have been studied (in the classical setting of powersum varieties) considering the ideal, in the polynomial ring, of differential operators annihilating a given homogeneous form. In this paper we generalize this approach to the general setting of the VPS, using the Cox ring of X, the graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of sections of all line bundles on X, graded by the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. When X is a toric variety, the Cox ring is a polynomial ring (see [3]).

Let S and T be two copies of the Cox ring of X, with grading given by the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. For each element $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ we let S_A be the space of linear forms on T_A. For each nonzero $f \in S_A$ we let $H_f \subseteq T_A$ be the hyperplane of sections that vanish at the point $[f] \in \mathbb{P}(S_A)$. In the classical setting of powersum varieties, H_f is a hyperplane of differential operators annihilating f.

If A is very ample on X, then its global sections T_A define the embedding $\nu_A : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_A)$. Thus T_A is the space of linear forms on $\mathbb{P}(S_A)$. For a subscheme $Z \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S_A)$ we set

$$I_{Z,A} = \big\{ g \in T_A : \ g|_Z \equiv 0 \big\}.$$

The above definition of apolar subschemes may be formulated in terms of ideals:

Definition 1.2. A subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ is called apolar to $f \in S_A$ if $I_{Z,A} \subseteq H_f$.

For each $B \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, we define

$$I_{f,B} = \begin{cases} H_f : T_{A-B} = \{ g \in T_B : \ g \cdot T_{A-B} \subseteq H_f \}, & \text{if } A - B > 0 \\ T_B, \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $A - B > 0$ if the line bundle $A - B$ has global sections, and set

$$I_f = \bigoplus_{B \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)} I_{f,B} \subseteq T.$$

Similarly, a subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ has ideal

$$I_Z := \bigoplus_{B \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)} I_{Z,B} \subseteq T; \qquad I_{Z,B} = \{ g \in T_B : \ g|_Z \equiv 0 \}.$$

The classical apolarity lemma (see [9, Lemma 1.15]) can be read as follows.

Lemma 1.3. *If Z is a subscheme of X and $f \in S_A$, then $I_Z \subseteq I_f$ if and only if $I_{Z,A} \subseteq I_{f,A} = H_f$*

Proof. It suffices to show the if-direction of the equivalence. If $B > A$ then $I_{f,B} = T_B$, so it suffices to consider $B < A$. But

$$I_{Z,B} \cdot T_{A-B} \subseteq I_{Z,A} \subseteq H_f$$

implies

$$I_{Z,B} \subseteq H_f : T_{A-B} = I_{f,B},$$

so the lemma follows. □

For each pair of classes $A, B \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and element $f \in S_A$ we define a linear map

$$\phi_{f,B} \colon T_B \to S_{A-B}; \qquad g \mapsto g(f) \tag{1}$$

such that

$$g(f)(g') = g'g(f) \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for} \quad g' \in T_{A-B}$$

i.e.,

$$H_{g(f)} := \big(H_f : \langle g \rangle\big) \subseteq T_{A-B}.$$

Note that $\ker \phi_{f,B} = I_{f,B}$.

In this paper we present three examples where we describe the $\mathrm{VPS}_X(f, r_f)$ where X is a toric surface different from the projective plane, f a general section in S_A for some $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and r_f the minimal integer r such that $\mathrm{VPS}_X(f, r)$ is not empty. The paper is structured in the following way. In Section 2 we set up the theory for apolarity in the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We prove the following two theorems in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $A = (2,2) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $f \in S_A$ be a general section. The $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4)$ is a threefold isomorphic to a smooth linear complex in the Grassmannian $G(2,4)$ blown up along a smooth rational normal quartic curve.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $A = (3,3) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $f \in S_A$ be a general section. The $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 6)$ is isomorphic to a smooth Del Pezzo surface of degree 5.*

In Section 5 we focus on the case $X = F_1$, namely the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in one point embedded as a cubic scroll in $\mathbb{P}^4$. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $X = F_1$ with hyperplane class H, let $A = 3H$ and $f \in S_A$ be a general section. The $\mathrm{VPS}_{F_1}(f, 8)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in 8 points.*

Apolar rational or elliptic curves play a crucial role in arguments, in particular in the use of the following facts. For rational curves Sylvester showed (see [20]):

Lemma 1.7. *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$ be an rational normal curve of degree $2d-1$ and y a general point in $\mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$, then there is a unique d-secant $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ to C that pass though a general point, i.e. $\mathrm{VPS}_C(y, d) \cong \{pt\}$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2d}$ be an rational normal curve of degree $2d$ and y a general point in $\mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$, then $\mathrm{VPS}_C(y, d+1) \cong C$.*

For elliptic curves, the following lemma follows from Room's description of determinantal varieties. We give a proof (Lemma 5.3) in Section 5:

Lemma 1.8. *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2d-2}$ be an elliptic normal curve of degree $2d-1$ and y a general point in $\mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$, then $\mathrm{VPS}_C(y,d) \cong C$.*

2. Apolarity for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Let us consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case, the Picard group of X is $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and its Cox ring is $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1][y_0, y_1]$, namely the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1]$ together with the bigrading defined by the matrix

$$\begin{matrix} x_0 & x_1 & y_0 & y_1 \end{matrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can write $S = \bigoplus_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{a,b}$, where $S_{a,b}$ is the set of bihomogeneous polynomials of bidegree (a,b). Consider the ring

$$T = \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1][u_0, u_1],$$

which is a copy of S, and the action of T on S by differentiation

$$t_i = \partial/\partial x_i \quad \text{and} \quad u_i = \partial/\partial y_i, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Definition 2.1. For a bihomogeneous form $f \in S_{a,b}$, the orthogonal $f^\perp$ of f is defined as the ideal of the elements of T annihilating f, i.e.,

$$f^\perp = \big\{ g \in T : \ g(f) = 0 \big\}.$$

Notice that for a bihomogeneous form $f \in S_{a,b}$ one has $f^\perp_{a,b} = H_f$, where H_f is the hyperplane defined in Section 1. Moreover, $f^\perp_{c,d} = f^\perp_{a,b} \colon T_{(a-c,b-d)}$ for all $c \leqslant a$ and $d \leqslant b$. This shows that $f^\perp = I_f$, where I_f is the ideal defined in Section 1. The maps $\phi_{f,(a,b)}$ from Equation (1) are in this case given by applying the elements of T as differential operators to f. It follows that $\ker \phi_{f,(a,b)}$ is the set of forms of bidegree (a,b) in $f^\perp$, namely $f^\perp_{a,b}$.

In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, every divisor of class (a,b) with $a, b > 0$ is very ample and determines the Segre-Veronese embedding

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \nu_{a,b} \colon & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b} \\ & (\ell_1, \ell_2) & \mapsto & l_1^a l_2^b, \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $l_1 \in \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$, $l_2 \in \langle y_0, y_1 \rangle$, and $\mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$ is identified with $\mathbb{P}(S_{a,b})$. In this way, we can interpret the variety of apolar schemes as a variety of sums of powers. In fact, a bihomogeneous form $f \in S$ of bidegree (a,b) can be written as a sum $f = \sum_{i=1}^r l_{1i}^a \, l_{2i}^b$, for linear forms $l_{1i} \in \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$ and $l_{2i} \in \langle y_0, y_1 \rangle$, if and only if the scheme $\Gamma = \big((l_{11}, l_{21}), \ldots, (l_{1r}, l_{2r})\big)$ lies in the span of $\nu_{a,b}\big(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\big)$. Since $T_{a,b}$ are the linear forms on $\mathbb{P}(S_{a,b})$, the

linear forms vanishing on $\nu_{a,b}(\Gamma)$ are the elements of bidegree (a,b) of the ideal I_Γ of Γ. From this we see that

$$[f] \in \operatorname{span} \nu_{a,b}(\Gamma) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad I_{\Gamma,(a,b)} \subseteq f_{a,b}^{\perp}\,.$$

By Lemma 1.3, this is equivalent to the fact that Γ is apolar to f. Hence $\mathrm{VPS}(f,r)$ can be interpreted as the Zariski closure

$$\overline{\left\{ \big([l_{11},l_{21}],\dots,[l_{1r},l_{2r}]\big) \in \operatorname{Hilb}_r \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1 :\ f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} l_{1i}^a\, l_{2i}^b \right\}}.$$

As in the standard homogeneous case we define the notion of rank of bihomogeneous polynomials in S.

Definition 2.2. For a bihomogeneous form f of bidegree (a,b), the rank of f is defined as

$$\operatorname{rank}(f) = \min\left\{ r :\ f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} l_{1i}^a\, l_{2i}^b \text{ with } l_{1i}\in\langle x_0,x_1\rangle \text{ and } l_{2i}\in\langle y_0,y_1\rangle \right\}.$$

We can give a geometric description of $\operatorname{rank}(f)$ as follows. Identifying $\mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$ with $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{a,b}\right)$, we can associate to every form $f\in S_{a,b}$ a point $[f]\in\mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$. In this way, the forms in $S_{a,b}$ of rank 1 correspond to points lying on the Segre-Veronese variety. Moreover, a form has rank r if and only if it lies in the span of exactly (not less than) r points in the Segre-Veronese.

The previous interpretation connects the rank of bihomogeneous forms with the theory of secant varieties of the Segre-Veronese. In particular, a general bihomogeneous form in $S_{a,b}$ has rank r if and only if the r-secant variety coincides with $\mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$.

The computation of the dimension of secant varieties carried by Catalisano, Geramita and Gimigliano in [2, Corollary 2.3], implies that if f is a bihomogeneous *general* form of bidegree (a,b), then

$$\operatorname{rank}(f) \;=\; \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{3} \right\rceil & \text{if } (a,b)\neq(2,2d), \\ 2d+2 & \text{if } (a,b)=(2,2d). \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

For a general form f of bidegree (a,b), the dimension of $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r)$ is determined by the rank of f as described in the following proposition — similar to the classical case, see for example [4, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f\in S_{a,b}$ be a general bihomogeneous form of rank r. Then $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r)$ is an irreducible variety of dimension*

$$\dim \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r) \;=\; \begin{cases} 3\left(\left\lceil \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{3} \right\rceil - \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{3} \right) & \text{if } (a,b)\neq(2,2d), \\ 3 & \text{if } (a,b)=(2,2d). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us denote $\operatorname{Hilb}_r\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\mathcal{H}$. We consider the incidence variety

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \big([\Gamma],[f]\big)\in\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b} :\ [\Gamma]\in\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r) \right\}.$$

Then we have the two projection maps:

$$\pi_1 \colon \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \qquad\qquad \pi_2 \colon \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$$

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the open subset of $\mathcal{H}$ parametrizing zero-dimensional schemes given by r distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then for every $[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $\Gamma = (P_1, \dots, P_r)$. Also, it is possible to restrict $\mathcal{U}$ so that the (a,b)-th powers of all linear forms associated to the points P_i's are linearly independent. In this way we can prove that π_1 is dominant. Moreover, if $[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{U}$, then the fiber of π_1 over $[\Gamma]$ is an open set of a linear space of dimension $r-1$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is irreducible and nonsingular (see [7]), then also $\mathcal{X}$ is irreducible and of dimension $3r-1$. The fiber of π_2 over $[f] \in \mathbb{P}^{ab+a+b}$ is $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r)$, so we get that for a general f, the variety $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,r)$ has dimension $3r-1-(ab+a+b)$. Using Equation (3) the statement follows. □

3. Bihomogeneous forms of bidegree $(2,2)$

The Segre-Veronese embedding from Equation (2) is in this case the $(2,2)$ embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathbb{P}^8$, denoted

$$\nu_{2,2} \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^8.$$

If f is a general bihomogeneous form in $S_{2,2}$, then by Equation (3) applied to the case $(a,b) = (2,2d)$ with $d = 1$, the rank of f is 4, hence $\dim \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) = 3$ by Proposition 2.3. In order to study the geometry of this variety we use apolarity.

Lemma 3.1. *For a general form $f \in S_{2,2}$ the orthogonal $f^\perp$ is generated by $f^\perp_{2,1}, f^\perp_{1,2}, f^\perp_{3,0}$ and $f^\perp_{0,3}$. Moreover, both $f^\perp_{2,1}$ and $f^\perp_{1,2}$ have dimension 4.*

Proof. Since $\dim T_{2,1} = 6$ and $\dim S_{0,1} = 2$, and f is general, the kernel $f^\perp_{2,1}$ of the map $\phi_{f,(2,1)}$ has dimension 4. By symmetry, also $f^\perp_{1,2}$ has dimension 4.

Consider the vector subspace $T_{0,1} \cdot f^\perp_{2,1} \subseteq f^\perp_{2,2}$: if it is of dimension 8, it means that we do not need elements from $f^\perp_{2,2}$ to generate $f^\perp$. Suppose that $\dim T_{0,1} \cdot f^\perp_{2,1} < 8$: if $g_1, \dots, g_4$ is a basis for $f^\perp_{2,1}$, then $u_0\, g_1, \dots, u_0\, g_4$, $u_1\, g_1, \dots, u_1\, g_4$ are not linearly independent. So, for some $h_1, h_2 \in f^\perp_{2,1}$ we have $u_0\, h_1 + u_1\, h_2 = 0$. This implies that there exists a nonzero $\tilde{h} \in T_{0,2}$ such that $h_1 = u_1\, \tilde{h}$ and $-h_2 = u_0 \tilde{h}$. Hence $T_{0,1} \cdot \tilde{h} \subseteq f^\perp$, which forces $\tilde{h} \in f^\perp$. But $\tilde{h}$ has bidegree $(2,0)$, and by the generality assumption on f there is no nontrivial element in $f^\perp_{2,0}$. Hence $\dim T_{0,1} \cdot f^\perp_{2,1} = 8$, and so $T_{0,1} \cdot f^\perp_{2,1} = f^\perp_{2,2}$.

Moreover, since f is a form of bidegree $(2,2)$, then $f^\perp_{a,b} = T_{a,b}$ whenever a or b is greater than or equal to 3. Notice that $T_{3,b} = T_{3,0} \cdot T_{0,b}$, and $T_{a,3} = T_{0,3} \cdot T_{a,0}$, for every $a, b \geqslant 1$. Thus, $f^\perp_{2,1}$, $f^\perp_{1,2}$ together with $f^\perp_{3,0} = T_{3,0}$ and $f^\perp_{0,3} = T_{0,3}$ generate the ideal $f^\perp$. □

The space of sections $f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ define a linear system of $(2,1)$-curves on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and, by Lemma 3.1, a rational map $\delta_{2,1} \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ fitting in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{\eta_{2,1}}{\nearrow} & \mathbb{P}^5 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & & \downarrow \pi_{2,1} \\ & \underset{\delta_{2,1}}{\searrow} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

where $\eta_{2,1}$ is the map induced by the complete linear systems of $(2,1)$-curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\pi_{2,1}$ is a linear projection. Notice that all constructions and results from now on apply to both $f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ and $f_{1,2}^{\perp}$.

First, we prove that $\delta_{2,1}$ is a morphism. For this we analyse the projection center $L_{2,1}$ of $\pi_{2,1}$. By definition, $L_{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S_{2,1})$ is spanned by the forms annihilated by $f_{2,1}^{\perp}$, i.e. by the partials $\partial f/\partial y_0$ and $\partial f/\partial y_1$. Consider first the surface scroll $Y_{2,1} := \eta_{2,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \subseteq \mathbb{P}\,(S_{2,1}) = \mathbb{P}^5$. The $(1,0)$-curves on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are mapped to lines in $Y_{2,1}$, while the $(0,1)$-curves are mapped to conics. The planes of these conics are the planes spanned by forms $q(x_0,x_1)\cdot l(y_0,y_1) \in S_{2,1}$, where $l(y_0,y_1) \in \langle y_0, y_1\rangle$ is a fixed linear form. We let $W_{2,1}$ be the threefold union of these planes. In the following lemma we show that for a general $(2,2)$-form f, the projection center $L_{2,1}$ does not intersect $W_{2,1}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in S_{2,2}$ be a general form. Then no linear combination of its partial derivatives $\partial f/\partial y_0$ and $\partial f/\partial y_1$ is of the form $q(x_0,x_1)\cdot l(y_0,y_1)$, where q and l are respectively a quadratic and a linear form. In particular, the line $L_{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S_{2,1})$ does not intersect the threefold scroll $W_{2,1}$.*

Proof. Write f as

$$y_0^2\, Q + y_0 y_1\, Q' + y_1^2\, Q''.$$

Then, a linear combination $\lambda\, \partial f/\partial y_0 + \mu\, \partial f/\partial y_1$ is of the form $q\cdot l$ if and only if $\lambda Q + \mu Q'$ is proportional to $\lambda Q' + \mu Q''$. Since f is general, we can suppose that Q, Q' and Q'' are linearly independent. Then the two pencil of quadrics $\lambda Q + \mu Q'$ and $\lambda Q' + \mu Q''$ have at most one point in common, which hence must coincide with Q'. But

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda Q + \mu Q' = Q' \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \lambda = 0, \\ \lambda Q' + \mu Q'' = Q' \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. □

Notice also that Lemma 3.2 holds for any $(2,2)$-form f for which the quadratic forms Q, Q' and Q'' are linearly independent.

Consider the diagram (4) above:

Corollary 3.3. *The map $\delta_{2,1}$ defined by $f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ is a morphism. Moreover, all lines in $Z_{2,1} := \delta_{2,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$ are linear projections of lines in $Y_{2,1} = \eta_{2,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$. In particular, no conic in $Y_{2,1}$ is mapped to a line by $\pi_{2,1}$. The analogous result holds for the $(1,2)$ case.*

Proof. Since the projection center $L_{2,1}$ does not intersect $W_{2,1} \supseteq Y_{2,1}$, the projection $\pi_{2,1}$ restricted to $Y_{2,1}$ and hence also $\delta_{2,1}$ is a morphism, and no conic in $Y_{2,1}$ is mapped to a line in $Z_{2,1} := \delta_{2,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$. Furthermore, $f_{1,1}^{\perp} = \{0\}$, so $L_{2,1}$ does not lie in the span of any $(1,1)$-curve in $Y_{2,1}$, therefore every line in $Z_{2,1}$ is the linear projection of a $(1,0)$ curve, i.e. a line in $Y_{2,1}$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f \in S_{2,2}$ be a general form and suppose that $g_1, g_2 \in f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ span a linear space of dimension 2. Then either the ideal (g_1, g_2) defines a scheme of length 4 in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or the pencil of $(2,1)$ curves defined by g_1 and g_2 has a common component, a $(1,0)$ curve.*

Proof. We need to exclude that the pencil of $(2,1)$ curves defined by g_1 and g_2 has a fixed component that is a $(2,0)$ curve, a $(1,1)$ curve or a $(0,1)$ curve. We treat these cases one by one.

If there is a common $(2,0)$ curve, then all curves in the pencil split into it and a $(0,1)$ line. Therefore there exists a $q \in \mathbb{C}[u_0, u_1]_2$ such that

$$(\alpha\, t_0 + \beta\, t_1)\, q \cdot f \;=\; 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C},$$

hence $q \in f_{0,2}^{\perp}$, but this contradicts Lemma 3.1. Similarly, if we assume that there is a common $(1,1)$ curve, then $f_{1,1}^{\perp}$ would be non-trivial and this again contradicts Lemma 3.1.

We are left with the case when the pencil $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ has a $(0,1)$ curve ℓ in its base locus. Consider the maps in the diagram (4), with $L_{2,1}$ the center of the projection $\pi_{2,1}$. Then ℓ is sent to a conic by $\eta_{2,1}$. Hence there is a pencil of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^5$ passing through $L_{2,1}$ and having a conic in its base locus. Since the base locus of a pencil of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is a 3-dimensional linear space, both the conic and the line $L_{2,1}$ lie in a $\mathbb{P}^3$. The latter happens if and only if $L_{2,1}$ intersects the plane spanned by such a conic, but this is not possible by Corollary 3.3. □

The image $Z_{2,1}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the map $\delta_{2,1}$ is a rational scroll, since it is rational and covered by the images of the lines in the scroll $\eta_{2,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$. In the following we describe its singular locus.

Lemma 3.5. *$Z_{2,1}$ is a quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ that has double points along a twisted cubic curve and no triple points.*

Proof. A scheme z of length 3 in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is contained in a $(1,1)$-curve C, so if z is mapped to a point by $\delta_{2,1} = \pi_{2,1} \circ \eta_{2,1}$ the projection center $L_{2,1}$ is contained in the span of $z \subseteq Y_{2,1}$, and hence in the span of the image of C in $Y_{2,1}$. But then C is mapped to a line in $Z_{2,1}$, excluded by Corollary 3.3. Therefore $Z_{2,1}$ has no triple points. A general plane section of $Z_{2,1}$ is the image of a smooth rational quartic curve in $Y_{2,1}$. Therefore a general plane section of Z is a rational quartic curve, and therefore has 3 singular points that span a plane, hence $\mathrm{Sing}\,(Z_{2,1})$ spans $\mathbb{P}^3$ and is a cubic curve. From the double point formula (see [8, Theorem 9.3]) we see that the double point

locus of the restriction $\pi|_{Y_{2,1}}$ is a curve on $Y_{2,1}$ of degree 6 because it is linearly equivalent to $-K_{Y_{2,1}}$ (the anticanonical divisor of $Y_{2,1}$). If $\mathrm{Sing}\,(Z_{2,1})$ is not a twisted cubic, then it has to contain a line. The preimage under π of such a line is then either a conic C or two skew lines E_1 and E_2. In the first case the center $L_{2,1}$ of $\pi_{2,1}$ intersects $\mathrm{span}(C)$, but this is not possible because of Lemma 3.2. In the second case we have $L_{2,1} \subseteq \mathrm{span}(E_1 \cup E_2)$. Since $\mathrm{span}(E_1 \cup E_2) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, there is a pencil of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^5$ containing it; each of them intersects X in $E_1 \cup E_2$ and in a residual conic D. Hence we obtain a pencil of conics D such that $\mathrm{span}(D)$ intersects $\mathrm{span}(E_1 \cup E_2)$ in a line F. In this way we get a pencil of lines F in $\mathrm{span}(E_1 \cup E_2)$; such pencil fills a quadric in $\mathrm{span}(E_1 \cup E_2)$, and therefore the center L intersects this quadric in 2 points; this situation is again ruled out by Lemma 3.2. Therefore the only possibility left is that $\mathrm{Sing}\,(Z_{2,1})$ is a twisted cubic. □

Remark 3.6. Consider a smooth scheme $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ apolar to f, namely $I_\Gamma \subseteq f^\perp$. Notice that the dimension of $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$ equals the number of linearly independent planes in $\mathbb{P}^3$ passing through $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$. Moreover $2 \leqslant \dim I_{\Gamma,(2,1)} \leqslant 3$, where the latter inequality follows since $\delta_{2,1}$ is defined on the whole $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. However, Lemma 3.5 excludes that the dimension of $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$ is 3, since in that case we would have that $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ is a point. Therefore $\dim I_{\Gamma,(2,1)} = 2$ and $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ spans a line.

Remark 3.6 yields a rational map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi_{2,1}\colon & \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) & \dashrightarrow & G\left(2, f_{2,1}^\perp\right) \\ & [\Gamma] & \mapsto & I_{\Gamma,(2,1)} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

Consider the rational scroll $Z_{2,1} = \delta_{2,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$ and the rational curve

$$D_{2,1} \;=\; \left\{ [l] \in G\left(2, f_{2,1}^\perp\right) \;:\; \ell \subseteq Z_{2,1} \right\}. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3.7. *For a general bihomogeneous form f of bidegree $(2,2)$, the rational map $\Phi_{2,1}$ in Equation (5) extends to a morphism on the whole $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$. Moreover, the fiber over a point p under $\Phi_{2,1}$ is a smooth rational curve if $p \in D_{2,1}$ and it is at most one point when $p \notin D_{2,1}$. In particular, $\Phi_{2,1}$ is birational.*

Proof. Since being collinear (see Remark 3.6) is a closed property, $\Phi_{2,1}$ extends to the closure of smooth apolar schemes, namely to $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$.

Let $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ and let ℓ_Γ be the line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$. If $[\ell_\Gamma] \notin D_{2,1}$, then $\ell_\Gamma \cap Z_{2,1}$ is a scheme of length 4, namely it is $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$. Hence the fiber over ℓ_Γ is exactly $[\Gamma]$. Therefore, if $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is any line not contained in $Z_{2,1}$, then either $[\ell]$ is not in the image of $\Phi_{2,1}$, or it is the image of exactly one scheme in $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$.

Let $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ be a line contained in $Z_{2,1}$. Denote by ℓ' the $(1,0)$ line in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\delta_{2,1}(\ell') = \ell$. Since the preimage of $\mathrm{Sing}(Z_{2,1})$ under the projection is linearly equivalent to the anticanonical divisor of Y, and

so every line in $Y_{2,1}$ intersects it in two points, then ℓ intersects $\mathrm{Sing}(Z_{2,1})$ in 2 points. In fact, by Corollary 3.3, every line in $Z_{2,1}$ is a projection of a line in Y. We know that the preimage of $\ell \cap \mathrm{Sing}(Z_{2,1})$ under $\delta_{2,1}$ consists of a scheme of length 4 that intersects ℓ' in a subscheme of length 2. Summing up, $\delta_{2,1}^{-1}(\ell) = \ell' \cup \{z_\ell\}$, where z_ℓ is a scheme of length 2 that is mapped to ℓ by $\delta_{2,1}$.

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be an apolar scheme such that $\delta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ is contained in ℓ. Since Γ has length 4 and $\Gamma \subseteq \delta_{2,1}^{-1}(\ell)$, then the span of $\eta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ must contain ℓ'. On the other hand, since Γ is apolar to f, it is also apolar to both $\partial f/\partial y_0$ and $\partial f/\partial y_1$. Therefore the span of $\eta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ contains the line that is the center of the projection $\pi_{2,1}$ in Equation (4). This rules out immediately the case $\operatorname{span}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\operatorname{span}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, since in both cases the center of the projection would intersect $Y_{2,1}$, contradicting Corollary 3.3. Hence $\eta_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ spans a $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and therefore there is a length 2 subscheme of Γ that is not contained in ℓ'. Clairly, this subscheme has to coincide with z_ℓ.

Let us consider a plane in $\mathbb{P}^3$ through ℓ. By construction of the map ℓ, such plane defines (up to scalars) a form $g \in f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ that factors as $g = l\,\tilde{g}$, where l is a $(1,0)$ form whose vanishing locus in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is ℓ'. If g vanishes on a scheme Γ of length 4, that is apolar to f and is mapped to ℓ by $\delta_{2,1}$, then $z_\ell \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\tilde{g}$ must vanish on the length 2 subscheme z_ℓ. In fact there is a pencil of $(1,1)$-forms vanishing on z_ℓ that together with l vanish on Γ. Therefore every subscheme in the fiber over a $\Phi_{2,1}(\Gamma)$ contains z_ℓ and is contained in the reducible curve $\ell' \cup Z(\tilde{g})$.

The set of apolar subschemes of length 4 in $\ell' \cup Z(\tilde{g})$ are described in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $g \in f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ such that $g = l\,\tilde{g}$ for forms l and $\tilde{g}$ of bidegree $(1,0)$ and $(1,1)$ respectively. Then the zero locus C_g of g supports two pencils of length 4 apolar schemes. One pencil has a common subscheme of length 2 on $Z(\tilde{g})$ and a moving subscheme of length 2 on $Z(l)$, while the subschemes of the other pencil has a unique common point on $Z(l)$, and a moving subscheme of length 3 on $Z(\tilde{g})$.*

Proof. The fact that g is apolar to f means that the point $[f]$ is contained in the span of the $(2,2)$ embedding of C_g, also denoted C_g. By construction, C_g splits as $C_g = C_1 \cup C_2$ where C_1 is a conic and C_2 is a quartic rational normal curve. Notice that $\operatorname{span} C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\operatorname{span} C_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^4$. Consider the projection from $[f]$, denoted by $\rho\colon \operatorname{span} C_g \cong \mathbb{P}^6 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$. Notice that $\rho|_{\operatorname{span} C_1}$ and $\rho|_{\operatorname{span} C_2}$ are isomorphisms, because otherwise C_1 or C_2 will be apolar to f which contradicts Lemma 3.1. Set P to be the preimage under ρ of the line $\rho\big(\operatorname{span} C_1\big) \cap \rho\big(\operatorname{span} C_2\big)$, and define the lines $L_1 = P \cap \operatorname{span} C_1$ and $L_2 = P \cap \operatorname{span} C_2$.

Let us focus on the line L_1: by construction, it passes through $Q = C_1 \cap C_2$, the only singularity of C_g, and then intersects the conic C_1 in another point T. The line through $[f]$ and T is contained in the plane P, hence intersects L_2 in a point $\tilde{T}$. Since C_2 is a smooth rational quartic, the

set

$$\big\{\text{trisecant planes of } C_2 \text{ passing through } \tilde{T}\big\}.$$

corresponds to the variety of sum of powers of a quartic bivariate form decomposed into three summands, and by Sylvester's result it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. If we pick the three points of intersection of such a trisecant plane with C_2 and we add the point T, we obtain four points whose span contains $[f]$. Thus, we have constructed a $\mathbb{P}^1$ of schemes of length 4 apolar to f constituted of 3 points lying on C_2, and one common point lying on C_1.

Consider now the line L_2: by construction, it passes through the singularity Q, and it intersects the secant variety of C_2 (a cubic threefold in $\operatorname{span} C_2$) in another point R. The line through $[f]$ and R is also contained in the plane P, hence intersects L_1 in a point $\tilde{R}$. Since R is in the secant variety of C_2, there exist two points in C_2 whose span contains R. Moreover, there is a pencil of lines in $\operatorname{span} C_1$ passing through $\tilde{R}$, that defines a pencil of length 2 schemes. In this way we produce a pencil of length 4 schemes apolar to f, all of them having a length 2 scheme on C_2 in common. □

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.7 we apply Lemma 3.8 to $\ell' \cup Z(\tilde{g})$. Only the pencil of apolar subschemes with a fixed subscheme of length 2 on $Z(\tilde{g})$ is mapped to ℓ by $\delta_{2,1}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $g \in f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ such that $g = l_1\, l_2\, \tilde{l}$ for forms l_i of bidegree $(1,0)$ and $\tilde{l}$ of bidegree $(0,1)$. Then the zero locus C_g of g supports three pencils of length 4 apolar schemes. Two of the three pencils are fibers of $\Phi_{2,1}$, while the third one is mapped isomorphically to a line in $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$.*

Proof. The first part of the proof follows a similar argument as that in Lemma 3.8, so we only provide a sketch. The $(2,2)$ embedding of C_g splits into three conics C_1, C_2 and $\tilde{C}$, such that $C_1 \cap \tilde{C} = \{Q_1\}$, $C_2 \cap \tilde{C} = \{Q_2\}$ and $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$.

By projecting from $[f]$ one can prove that there exists a plane P such that $P \cap \operatorname{span} C_1 = \ell_1$, $P \cap \operatorname{span}(\tilde{C} \cup C_2) = \ell_2$ where ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are lines, and P contains the line through $[f]$ and Q_1. The line ℓ_1 meets C_1 in Q and in another point R_1. The line through R_1 and $[f]$ meets ℓ_2 in a point T. By a similar argument but projecting from T, we obtain a point $R_2 \in C_2$ and a pencil of pairs of points $R_3, R_4 \in \tilde{C}$ such that T is in $\operatorname{span}(\{R_2, R_3, R_4\})$. It follows that $[f]$ belongs to $\operatorname{span}(\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\})$. In this way we find a pencil of apolar schemes with R_1 and R_2 as fixed points, one on each of the curves C_1 and C_2, and a moving part of length on $\tilde{C}$. On $Z_{2,1}$, the curves C_1 and C_2 are mapped to lines by $\delta_{2,1}$, while $\tilde{C}$ is mapped to a conic. Their union is a plane section $Z_{2,1}$, and the apolar schemes are all collinear. Since R_1 and R_2 clearly are mapped to the same point, this pencil of apolar schemes must be the pencil of lines through the image of R_1 and R_2. Therefore the image of this pencil in $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$ is a line. Two other pencils of such schemes with mobile parts supported on C_1 and C_2 can be

constructed similarly. Each of the latter two pencils are mapped to a line in $Z_{2,1}$, namely the images of C_1 and C_2, and are therefore, by Lemma 3.7, the fiber of the morphism $\Phi_{2,1}$ over a point in $D_{1,2}$. This concludes the proof. □

Proposition 3.10. *For a general bihomogeneous form f of bidegree $(2,2)$ the image of the map $\Phi_{2,1}$ from Equation (5) is a smooth linear section of the Grassmannian $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$.*

Proof. Since $\dim \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) = 3$, $\dim G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp}) = 4$ and $\Phi_{2,1}$ is birational onto its image, the image is a hypersurface U in $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$.

The degree 3 component of the Chow group of $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$ is generated freely by one Schubert class Σ_1, so $[U] = d\,\Sigma_1$ for some d. The intersection of an α-plane Σ_2 with Σ_1 gives the only class Σ_3 in degree 1 in the Chow group. Hence $[U]\cdot\Sigma_2 = d\,\Sigma_3$. We prove that $d = 1$.

Let us consider the intersection of U with an α-plane Σ_2. Every α-plane in $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$ is of the form

$$\Sigma_2(g) = \{\langle g_1, g_2\rangle \subseteq f_{2,1}^{\perp} \colon g \in \langle g_1, g_2\rangle\},$$

for a $g \in f_{2,1}^{\perp}$. On the other hand such a form g defines a rational curve C_g, and its $(2,2)$ embedding in $\mathbb{P}^8$ (also denoted by C_g) has degree 6. Therefore, the intersection of U with $\Sigma_2(g)$ has preimage under $\Phi_{2,1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{2,1}^{-1}\big(U\cap\Sigma_2(g)\big) &= \big\{[\Gamma]\in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) \,:\, g\in I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}\big\}\\ &= \big\{[\Gamma]\in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) \,:\, \Gamma\subseteq C_g\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

If C_g is smooth, then from Sylvester's result on general sextic binary forms (see for example [5, Theorem 1.5.3]) we derive that $\Phi_{2,1}^{-1}\big(U\cap\Sigma_2(g)\big)\cong\mathbb{P}^1$.

Consider now the case when C_g is not smooth. If $C_g\subseteq\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ splits into the union of a line and a smooth conic (intersecting in a point), then $C_g\subseteq\mathbb{P}^8$ splits into a conic C_1 and a quartic C_2, both rational and smooth. In this case, $\Phi_{2,1}^{-1}\big(U\cap\Sigma_2(g)\big)$ has two irreducible components, both rational and smooth, by Lemma 3.8. We claim that these are the only two components of maximal dimension of the scheme $\Phi_{2,1}^{-1}\big(U\cap\Sigma_2(g)\big)$. In fact, if there were $[\Gamma]\in\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ such that $\Gamma\subseteq C_g$ and $\Gamma\subseteq C_1$, then the conic C_1 would be apolar to f. This would imply that there is a non-zero element in $f_{1,0}^{\perp}$, and this is not possible by Lemma 3.1. An analogous argument excludes the possibility that $\Gamma\subseteq C_2$. On the other hand, there is at most one scheme Γ formed by three points on C_1 and one point B on C_2. In fact, by construction $[f]\in\operatorname{span}\Gamma$, thus the line through $[f]$ and B intersects $\mathbb{P}^2=\operatorname{span}C_1$, so it is contained in the plane P. Since $P\cap C_2$ is the singular point Q, such line coincides with the line through $[f]$ and Q, and that means that we have at most one scheme Γ of this kind.

If $C_g\subseteq\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ splits into the union of three lines, then $C_g\subseteq\mathbb{P}^8$ splits into three conics C_1, C_2 and $\tilde{C}$. In this case, $\Phi_{2,1}^{-1}\big(U\cap\Sigma_2(g)\big)$ has three

irreducible components, all rational and smooth, but, by Lemma 3.9, only one of them is not contracted by $\Phi_{2,1}$.

Therefore, as the α-planes vary, we obtain a family of smooth and rational curves. Hence the only possibility is that U is a linear complex, i.e., a hyperplane section of $G(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp})$.

Let $X_{2,1}$ be the image of $\Phi_{2,1}$, we now show that $X_{2,1}$ is smooth. For this, assume for contradiction that $X_{2,1}$ is singular. Then it contains two families of planes. In particular, it contains a family of α-planes as planes in $G\left(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp}\right)$. But any α-plane in $G\left(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp}\right)$ is of the form $\Sigma(g)$ and intersects $X_{2,1}$ in a curve, so cannot be contained in $X_{2,1}$. This is a contradiction that proves that $X_{2,1}$ is smooth. □

Proposition 3.11. *Every* $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4)$ *is apolar to* f.

Proof. Let $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4)$, we have to show that $I_\Gamma \subseteq f^{\perp}$. By Lemma 3.7, both $I_\Gamma \cap f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ and $I_\Gamma \cap f_{1,2}^{\perp}$ are two-dimensional. If both $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$ and $I_{\Gamma,(1,2)}$ are two-dimensional, then $I_\Gamma \subseteq f^{\perp}$. Suppose now that $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$ has dimension 3: then, by Lemma 3.4, the system of $(2,1)$-curves in $I_\Gamma \cap f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ must have a common component, a $(1,0)$ line ℓ. Suppose first that ℓ is a common component of the whole system $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$. Then the residual 3-dimensional family of $(1,1)$ curves can have at most one point in common. Therefore the line ℓ contains a length 3 subscheme of Γ. The image of ℓ under $\delta_{1,2}$ is a conic, but this contradicts the fact that $\delta_{1,2}(\Gamma)$ is collinear. Suppose next that ℓ is not a common component of the linear system $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}$. Then $I_{\Gamma,(2,1)} = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3\rangle$ with $g_3 \notin I_\Gamma \cap f_{2,1}^{\perp}$ and ℓ and the zero locus of g_3 intersect in a point. Let $g_1 = l\,\tilde{g}_1$ and $g_2 = l\,\tilde{g}_2$, where l is the linear factor corresponding to ℓ. Then a subscheme of length 3 of Γ is contained in the zero-locus of $\tilde{g}_1$ and $\tilde{g}_2$. This forces $\tilde{g}_1$ and $\tilde{g}_2$ to have a common component $\tilde{\ell}$, because otherwise their zero locus (being the intersection of two $(1,1)$ curves) have length at most 2. Then $\tilde{\ell}$ is either a $(1,0)$ or a $(0,1)$ line. In the first case, we can repeat the previous argument and apply $\delta_{1,2}$ to Γ, obtaining a contradiction; in the second case we use $\delta_{2,1}$. The case with $I_{\Gamma,(1,2)}$ is analogous. □

Corollary 3.12. *For a general bihomogeneous form* f *of bidegree* $(2,2)$ *the variety* $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4)$ *is a smooth* 3*-fold.*

Proof. First of all, the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_4(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ itself is smooth (see [7]). Consider next, in $\mathrm{Hilb}_4(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, the open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of schemes Γ whose ideal $I_\Gamma \subseteq T$ has codimension 4 in bidegree $(2,2)$.

Over $\mathcal{U}$ we consider the rank 5 vector bundle $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ with fibre over a scheme $[\Gamma]$ the dual of the space $I_{\Gamma,(2,2)} \subseteq T_{2,2}$ of $(2,2)$ forms in the ideal of Γ. The linear form

$$\phi_{f,(2,2)} \colon T_{2,2} \to \mathbb{C}$$

defines a section on $E_{\mathcal{U}}$. If $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f, 4)$ is the 0-locus, by Proposition 3.11, of a this section.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $f \in S_{2,2}$ be general, then* $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$, the space $I_{\Gamma,(2,2)}$ has dimension 5, or equivalently, the image $\nu_{2,2}(\Gamma)$ spans a $\mathbb{P}^3$. For this, assume that $\nu_{2,2}(\Gamma)$ spans a plane P_Γ. If the intersection $P_\Gamma \cap \nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ is finite, Γ is either curvilinear or it contains the neighbourhood of a point. In the latter case, P_Γ must be a tangent plane to $\nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$, but a tangent plane intersects $\nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ only in a scheme of length 3, so this is impossible. If Γ is curvilinear it is contained in a smooth hyperplane section of $\nu_{2,2}(\Gamma)$, an elliptic normal curve of degree 8. But on any such curve any subscheme of length 4 spans a $\mathbb{P}^3$, again a contradiction. Finally, if $P_\Gamma \cap \nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ is infinite, it contains a curve. But the only plane curves on $\nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ are conics, and they are the intersection of their span with $\nu_{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$. So in this case Γ is contained in a $(0,1)$ curve or a $(1,0)$ curve. If Γ is apolar to f, this is impossible, so the lemma follows. □

The space of forms $\phi_{f,(2,2)}$ form a linear space ($= S_{2,2}$) of sections of $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ without basepoints on $\mathcal{U}$, so for a general f the 0-locus $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ is smooth. This finishes the proof of Corollary 3.12. □

Theorem 1.4 is now equivalent to the following:

Theorem 3.14. *For a general bihomogeneous form f of bidegree $(2,2)$, the variety* $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ *is isomorphic to the graph of the birational automorphism on a smooth quadric threefold Q defined by the linear system of quadrics in the ideal of a rational normal quartic curve $C \subseteq Q$.*

Proof. We first show that the natural map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Xi\colon & \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4) & \longrightarrow & G\left(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp}\right) \times G\left(2, f_{1,2}^{\perp}\right) \\ & [\Gamma] & \mapsto & I_{\Gamma,(2,1)}\,,\, I_{\Gamma,(1,2)} \end{array}$$

is an injective morphism.

From Proposition 3.11 all schemes $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ are apolar to f, so $I_\Gamma \subseteq f^\perp$. By Remark 3.6, both images of Γ under $\delta_{2,1}$ and $\delta_{1,2}$ lie exactly on one line, so

$$\dim I_{\Gamma,(1,2)} \,=\, \dim I_{\Gamma,(1,2)} \,=\, 2.$$

Hence Ξ is a morphism.

We now show the injectivity of Ξ. From Lemma 3.7 and the fact that Γ is apolar to f we have that the only points where Ξ^{-1} is possibly not defined are the schemes Γ that contains a subscheme of length 2 on a $(1,0)$-line, and a subscheme of length 2 on a $(0,1)$-line. If these two subschemes of Γ do not intersect, then the union of the two lines is defined by a $(1,1)$ form that must be apolar to f, contradicting the generality assumption on f (see Lemma 3.1). If the two subschemes intersect, the scheme Γ is mapped to a line in both $Z_{2,1}$ and $Z_{1,2}$. In this case Γ has a subscheme of length 3 contained in the union of a $(0,1)$-line and a $(1,0)$-line and a residual point that lies in the double curve and thus is mapped to the singular curve in

both $Z_{2,1}$ and $Z_{1,2}$. Assume that Γ and Γ' are two apolar schemes of length 4 and that

$$\Xi(\Gamma) = \Xi(\Gamma').$$

Then both Γ and Γ' have a subscheme of length 3 contained in a pair of lines $L \cup L'$ that together form a $(1,1)$- curve, and they each have a residual point that is mapped to a singular point in both $Z_{2,1}$ and $Z_{1,2}$. Then for each line L and L' the subschemes of Γ and Γ' residual to the line must coincide. But both schemes must also contain the point of intersection of L and L', so the two schemes coincide. Hence Ξ is injective.

Since $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ is smooth, it suffices to complete the proof to identify the image of Ξ and show that the image is smooth.

Now, the collection of lines $D_{2,1} \subseteq X_{2,1}$ as defined in Equation (6) is a smooth rational quartic curve. It is normal, otherwise it would span a $\mathbb{P}^3$ and therefore be contained in a special linear complex, i.e. all lines in $Z_{2,1}$ would intersect some fixed line, which is ruled out by Corollary 3.3 above. In the planes spanned by two intersecting lines in $Z_{2,1}$ the pencil of lines through the intersection point is a line in $X_{2,1}$. For each double point on $Z_{2,1}$ we obtain such a line, so they form a surface scroll $V_{2,1} \subseteq X_{2,1}$, and by construction, $D_{2,1}$ is contained in this scroll, intersecting the general line in the scroll in two points. So $V_{2,1}$ is also contained in the secant variety of $D_{2,1}$, a cubic hypersurface $\mathrm{SD}_{2,1}$. Therefore the scroll has degree at most 6 and is contained in the complete intersection $\mathrm{SD}_{2,1} \cap X_{2,1}$. To see that $V_{2,1} = \mathrm{SD}_{2,1} \cap X_{2,1}$ we compute its degree. This is computed from the bidegree (d_1, d_2) in the Grassmannian. Notice that $Z_{2,1}$ parametrizes the lines in $X_{2,1}$ that pass through a singular point in $Z_{2,1}$, and that the lines in $X_{2,1}$ that pass through a singular point in $Z_{2,1}$ all lie in a plane spanned by the two lines in $Z_{2,1}$ that pass through the singular point. The number d_1 counts the number of lines in a general plane that belong to $V_{2,1}$. A general plane contains three singular points, and one line through each of these lie in the plane, so $d_1 = 3$. The number d_2 counts the number of lines through a general point that belong to $V_{2,1}$. A general point lies in three planes that intersect $Z_{2,1}$ in a conic sections, hence also in two lines, so also $d_2 = 3$. We conclude that $V_{2,1}$ has degree 6, and therefore that $V_{2,1}$ is a complete intersection. Consider now a Veronese surface $V \subseteq G\left(2, f_{2,1}^{\perp}\right)$ that contains $D_{2,1}$. The Cremona transformation on $\mathbb{P}^5$ defined by the quadrics in the ideal of V contracts the secant variety of V to a Veronese surface V', while the strict transform of V is mapped to the secant variety of V'. The Cremona transformation restricts to a birational map on

$$\gamma_{2,1} \colon X_{2,1} \dashrightarrow X' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$$

where $X' \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric 3-fold. In fact, the restriction is defined by the quadrics in the ideal of $D_{2,1}$ in $X_{2,1}$. This space of quadrics is 5-dimensional, and the image is a hyperplane section X' of the Plücker quadric, defined by the quadratic relation between the quadrics in the ideal of $D_{2,1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Consider the closure of the graph $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq X_{2,1} \times X'$ of the rational

map $\gamma_{2,1}$. The strict transform of $D_{2,1}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ is mapped to a scroll T' in X', the intersection of the secant variety of a Veronese surface V' with the quadric threefold X'. The strict transform in $\mathcal{Y}$ of $V_{2,1}$ is mapped to a rational normal quartic curve C' . We now compare the map $\gamma_{2,1}$ with the rational map $\rho\colon X_{2,1} \dashrightarrow X_{1,2}$. Since $\Phi_{2,1}$ is bijective outside the preimage of the curve $D_{2,1}$, the rational map ρ is defined outside $D_{2,1}$. On the other hand ρ is not defined anywhere on $D_{2,1}$. The Picard group of $X_{2,1}$ is generated by the hyperplane bundle, so the map ρ must be defined by a 5-dimensional space of sections in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{D_{2,1}}(d))$ for some d, where $\mathcal{I}_{D_{2,1}}$ is the sheaf of ideals of $D_{2,1}$ on the quadric 3-fold $X_{2,1}$. To find the degree d we consider a general curve C defined by a section in $f_{2,1}^{\perp}$. On the surface $Z_{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ the curve C is mapped to a plane quartic curve with a linear pencil of lines that cut the curve in the image of schemes of length 4 that are apolar to f. This pencil form a line in $X_{2,1}$ that does not intersect $D_{2,1}$. Now, the image $\overline{C}$ of the curve C on $Z_{1,2}$ has degree 5. The pencil of apolar schemes of length 4 on C are mapped to schemes that are collinear also in $Z_{1,2}$, so they define on $\overline{C}$ a pencil of 4-secant lines. Assuming C is smooth, any two of these four- secant lines are disjoint, otherwise $\overline{C}$ would have a plane section of length 7 or 8, impossible. Therefore the pencil of 4- secant lines are the lines of one family of lines in a smooth quadric surface. This means that the image of this pencil of lines in $X_{1,2}$ is a conic, and hence the degree d is 2. Since $\dim H^0(\mathcal{I}_{D_{2,1}}(2)) = 5$ we may conclude that the map ρ coincides with $\gamma_{2,1}$. Clearly $\gamma_{1,2}$ is the inverse of $\gamma_{2,1}$, and the graph $\mathcal{Y}$ of ρ is the blowup of $X_{2,1}$ along the smooth curve $D_{2,1}$, so $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth. There is a map from the graph of $\gamma_{1,2}$ to $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ which sends a graph point to the ideal generated by the two pencils, one in each Grassmannian. Therefore the graph $\mathcal{Y}$ is identified with the image $\Xi\big(\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)\big)$. The graph is smooth, so $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,4)$ and the graph $\mathcal{Y}$ are isomorphic. □

4. Bihomogeneous forms of bidegree $(3,3)$

Let f be a bihomogeneous form in S of bidegree $(3,3)$. The Segre-Veronese embedding from Equation (2) in this case is given by

$$\nu_{3,3}\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{15}.$$

By Equation (3), we have $\mathrm{rank}(f) = 6$ and $\dim \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6) = 2$.

We identify $\mathbb{P}(S_{3,3})$ with a linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^{15} \subseteq \mathbb{P}\big(\mathbb{C}[z_0,z_1,z_2,z_3]_3\big) = \mathbb{P}^{19}$, where $z_0 = x_0y_0$, $z_1 = x_0y_1$, $z_2 = x_1y_0$, and $z_3 = x_1y_1$. Thus, we can see $[f] \in \mathbb{P}^{15}$ as a cubic form in four variables $[F] \in \mathbb{P}^{19}$. More precisely, cubics $F \in \mathbb{C}[z_0,z_1,z_2,z_3]_3$ coming from forms $f \in S_{3,3}$ are characterized by the property that the coefficient of $z_0^2\, z_3$ equals the coefficient of $z_0\, z_1\, z_2$, and similarly for the coefficients of the pairs of monomials $(z_0\, z_1\, z_3, z_1^2\, z_2)$, $(z_0\, z_2\, z_3, z_1\, z_2^2)$ and $(z_0\, z_3^2, z_1\, z_2\, z_3)$.

Remark 4.1. Given $f \in S_{3,3}$ we can associate to it two orthogonal ideals: first of all we have the orthogonal $f^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{C}[t_0,t_1][u_0,u_1]$ that we introduced

and used in the previous sections; moreover, once we interpret f as a cubic form F, we have also $F^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{C}[v_0, \dots, v_3]$, a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring in 4 variables, that act on $\mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3]$ by differentiation,

$$v_i(f) = \partial/\partial z_j(f) \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3].$$

There is a close relation between $f^\perp$ and $F^\perp$, namely there is a correspondence between bihomogenous polynomials in $f^\perp$ and homogeneous polynomials in $F^\perp$ that satisfy the analogous constraints on the coefficients that we remarked above.

Lemma 4.2. *For a general bihomogeneous form $f \in S_{3,3}$, the orthogonal ideal $f^\perp$ is generated by 5 bihomogeneous forms of bidegree $(2,2)$ in T, together with $f^\perp_{3,1}, f^\perp_{1,3}, f^\perp_{4,0}$ and $f^\perp_{0,4}$.*

Proof. Let us consider the maps $\phi_{f,(a,b)}$ as we did in Section 3. The kernels of these maps are the bihomogeneous components of the orthogonal ideal of f. Since f is a general form, we may assume that the maps $\phi_{f,(a,b)}$ have maximal rank, i.e. are either injective or surjective. Thus we may assume they are injective when

$$(a,b) \in \big\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,2),(2,1),(0,3),(3,0)\big\}.$$

The map $\phi_{f,(2,2)}$ is then surjective, since $\dim T_{2,2} = 9$ and $\dim S_{1,1} = 4$, so $\dim f^\perp_{2,2} = 5$. Similarly, we also have

$$\dim f^\perp_{3,1} \;=\; \dim f^\perp_{1,3} \;=\; \dim f^\perp_{4,0} \;=\; \dim f^\perp_{0,4} \;=\; 5.$$

$$\dim f^\perp_{2,3} \;=\; \dim f^\perp_{3,2} \;=\; 10, \quad \dim f^\perp_{3,3} = 15.$$

By an analogous procedure to that in the proof of Lemma 3.1, it follows that $f^\perp_{2,3} = T_{0,1} \cdot f^\perp_{2,2}$ and $f^\perp_{3,2} = T_{1,0} \cdot f^\perp_{2,2}$, and that $f^\perp$ is generated by $f^\perp_{a,b}$ for $a, b \leqslant 3$ together with $T_{4,0}$ and $T_{0,4}$.

We are left to prove that $f^\perp_{3,3}$ is generated by $f^\perp_{2,2}, f^\perp_{3,1}$, and $f^\perp_{1,3}$. If not, then in particular the multiplication map $f^\perp_{1,3} \otimes T_{2,0} \to f^\perp_{3,3}$, is not onto. But then there is a relation $gq - g'q' = 0$, where say $g, g' \in f^\perp_{1,3}$, while $q, q' \in T_{2,0}$. By unique factorization, q and q' must have a common factor, so $gl = g'l'$ for some $l, l' \in T_{1,0}$. By assumption, g, g' are independent, so l, l' generate $T_{1,0}$ and $g = g_0 l'$ and $g' = g_0 l$. This is possible only if $g_0 \in f^\perp_{0,3}$, against our assumption. □

Let f be a general bihomogeneous form of bidegree $(3,3)$ and let $F \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3]$ be the cubic associated to f. If F is not a cone, the orthogonal $F^\perp$ is generated by 6 quadrics. By Sylvester's Pentahedral Theorem (see [21] and for example [15, Theorem 3.9] and [12, Example 12.4.2.3]) the powersum variety $\mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(F, 5)$ is just a point corresponding to a scheme $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ given by a set of 5 points. The ideal of Γ_0 is generated by 5 quadrics, so a general quadric apolar to F does not intersect Γ_0. In fact we may assume that $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is defined by a general quadric polynomial

orthogonal to F, and hence that

$$\Gamma_0 \cap \nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \emptyset.$$

We consider the closure $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ in the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubic curves, of the set of curves that contain Γ_0.

Proposition 4.3. *(Kapranov)*[11, Theorem 4.3.3] $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ *is isomorphic to a smooth Del Pezzo surface of degree* 5*, i.e. isomorphic to the blowup of* $\mathbb{P}^2$ *in* 4 *points.*

Let f be a general bihomogeneous form of bidegree $(3,3)$, and let Γ_0 be the unique set of 5 points in $\mathbb{P}^3$ that is apolar to the cubic form F corresponding to f.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* f *be a general bihomogeneous form of bidegree* $(3,3)$*, and let* Γ_0 *be the unique set of* 5 *points in* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *that is apolar to the cubic form* F *corresponding to* f*. Then for every smooth* $\Gamma \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$*, there exists a (possibly reducible) twisted cubic curve* C_Γ *passing through* Γ_0 *and* Γ*, in particular* $[C_\Gamma] \in H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$*.*

Proof. Consider 6 general points on $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$. They are the intersection of $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ with a twisted cubic curve. This is a particular case of a classical result on $n+3$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^n$, attributed to Veronese in [1], but often called Castelnuovo's lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *Through* $n+3$ *points in* $\mathbb{P}^n$ *no* n *of which lie in a* $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$*, there is a unique reduced and connected curve of degree* n *and arithmetic genus* 0*.*

Therefore, if $\Gamma \in \mathrm{VSP}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ is an apolar scheme constituted of 6 general points, then $\Gamma \subseteq C_\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$, where C_Γ is a twisted cubic. By the apolarity lemma, it follows that $I_\Gamma \subseteq F^\perp$, and since $I_{C_\Gamma} \subseteq I_\Gamma$ we get $I_{C_\Gamma} \subseteq F^\perp$. One can also show that $\Gamma_0 \subseteq C_\Gamma$. In fact, under the 3-uple Veronese embedding C_Γ becomes a rational curve of degree 9, and since C_Γ is apolar to F, the point $[F]$ lies in the span of this degree 9 curve. Therefore F can be interpreted as a general binary form of degree 9, and by the result of Sylvester (Lemma 1.7), such a binary form has rank 5, so $[F]$ lies on the span of 5 points belonging to the degree 9 curve. On the other hand, the only scheme of 5 points apolar to F is Γ_0, therefore those 5 points are nothing but the image of Γ_0 under the 3-uple Veronese embedding, which implies that C_Γ passes through Γ_0.

We consider now the other kinds of smooth schemes in $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$. If no plane passes through 4 of the points of Γ, then we are in the general situation and the previous argument shows that we have a unique (smooth) twisted cubic through Γ and Γ_0. Suppose that exactly 4 points of Γ lie on a plane, and no three of them are on a line. Then there is a pencil of conics passing through those planar points, and a line ℓ through the remaining two points; thus there exists a unique conic C in this pencil meeting ℓ. We prove that Γ_0 is contained in $C \cup \ell$, which hence is an element in $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$

(corresponding in the Del Pezzo surface to a point lying on one of the 10 lines of the surface). Under the 3-uple Veronese embedding, the line ℓ is mapped to a twisted cubic D_1, and the conic C is mapped to a rational sextic D_2. By construction, the point $[F]$ lies on the span of $D_1 \cup D_2$. We denote by Q the point of intersection between D_1 and D_2. We use the same technique as in the proof of Lemma 3.8 to construct a scheme of length 5 apolar to F. Let $E = \operatorname{span} D_1$ and $F = \operatorname{span} D_2$, then $E \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and $F \cong \mathbb{P}^6$. After projection from the point $[F]$ into $\mathbb{P}^8$ the two linear spaces E and F will intersect in a line, so there is a unique plane P containing the line $\overline{[F]Q}$ and intersecting E in a line ℓ_E and F in a line ℓ_F. The variety of 3-secant planes to D_2 is a quartic hypersurface in F, and a general line meeting D_2 intersects it in a unique further point. In particular ℓ_F intersects D_2 in Q and the variety of 3-secant planes in a further point T. Therefore we may assume that there are three points p_1, p_2 and p_3 in D_2 whose span contains T. Consider now the line $\overline{[F]T}$: since it is contained in P, it meets ℓ_E in one point R. A general point in E lies in a unique secant to D_1, so we obtain two points p_4, p_5 in D_2 whose span contains R. In this way $[F] \in \operatorname{span}\big(\{p_1, \dots, p_5\}\big)$. As above $\{p_1, \dots, p_5\} = \Gamma_0$ under the 3-uple Veronese embedding, so the lemma follows also in this case.

Eventually, we rule out all the cases that are left. Suppose in fact that 3 of the 6 points of Γ are collinear on a line ℓ, or 5 of the 6 points lie in a plane. In the first case, the three collinear points may be replaced in Γ by a scheme of length 2, so that f is apolar to a scheme of length 5 on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In the second case, the five coplanar points may be replaced in Γ by a scheme of length 4, so that f is apolar to a scheme of length 5 on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In both cases this is against the generality assumption of f. □

We now reformulate and prove Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.6. *For a general bihomogeneous form f of bidegree $(3,3)$ the variety $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ is isomorphic to a smooth Del Pezzo surface of degree 5.*

Proof. Let Γ_0 be the set of 5 points apolar to the cubic form F associated to f as in Lemma 4.4. Let $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ be the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubic curves through Γ_0.

If $[C] \in H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$, then C is a cubic curve through Γ_0, that is apolar to F. Moreover $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cap C$ is a scheme of length 6. In fact every component of C contains some subset of Γ_0 and therefore intersects $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ properly.

Thus, we get a morphism

$$\psi \colon H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$$

This morphism is injective, because otherwise there would be two cubic curves C and C' that pass through Γ_0 and have a common intersection with $\nu_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$. Since Γ_0 has no common point with $\nu_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\right)$ this is impossible by Castelnuovo's lemma. To show that the morphism ψ is

surjective, we first note that both $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ and the variety $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ are surfaces, so it suffices to show that ψ is onto the set of smooth schemes in $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$. This is precisely the content of Lemma 4.4.

It remains to show that the bijective morphism ψ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 4.7. *If f is a general $(3,3)$-form and $[\Gamma]\in \mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ then Γ is apolar to f.*

Proof. The ideal of each curve C in $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ is contained in the ideal of Γ_0 and is therefore apolar to the cubic form F associated to f. The scheme of intersection $\nu_{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)\cap C$ is therefore apolar to f. This intersection has length 6 and belongs to the closure of the smooth apolar schemes in $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$. Since ψ is a surjective morphism, the lemma follows. □

To show that ψ is an isomorphism, we show that $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ is smooth. First of all, the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_6(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ itself is smooth (see [7]). Consider next, in $\mathrm{Hilb}_6(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1)$, the open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of schemes that lie on a unique curve in the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and whose ideal on $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ has $\dim I_{\Gamma,(3,3)}=10$, or equivalently, such that the span of $\nu_{3,3}\Gamma$ is a $\mathbb{P}^5$. Over $\mathcal{U}$ we consider the rank 10 vector bundle $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ whose fibre over a scheme $[\Gamma]$ is the dual of the space $I_{\Gamma,3,3}\subseteq T_{(3,3)}$ of $(3,3)$ forms in the ideal of Γ. The linear form $\phi_{f,(3,3)}\colon T_{(3,3)}\to\mathbb{C}$ defines a section on $E_{\mathcal{U}}$. If $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)\subseteq\mathcal{U}$, then $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ is the 0-locus of this section, by Lemma 4.7.

Lemma 4.8. *If $f\in S_{3,3}$ is general, then $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)\subseteq\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $[\Gamma]\in\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ the span of $\nu_{3,3}(\Gamma)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^5$. For a general f, consider the 5 points Γ_0 apolar to the cubic form F on $\mathbb{P}^3$ associated to f. We may assume that every line through a pair of points of Γ_0 intersects $\nu_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\right)$ transversally. Therefore the intersection of any cubic curve in $H_{3t+1}(\Gamma_0)$ with $\nu_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\right)$ is curvilinear. If the cubic curve has a component of degree d, then the intersection with $\nu_{1,1}\left(\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1\right)$ has degree $2d$. On the 3-uple embedding of this curve, any such curvilinear scheme spans a $\mathbb{P}^5$. □

The space of forms $\phi_{f,(3,3)}$ form a linear space ($=S_{(3,3)}$) of sections of $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ without basepoints on $\mathcal{U}$, so for a general f the 0-locus $\mathrm{VPS}_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}(f,6)$ is smooth. Since ψ is a bijective map between smooth surfaces, it is an isomorphism. □

5. Cubic forms on a cubic surface scroll

Let Σ be a cubic scroll in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\Sigma)$ is free of rank 2 generated by the class of curves E and F, where $E^2=-1$, $E\cdot F=1$ and $F^2=0$. The linear system $|E+F|$ defines a morphism $\pi\colon\Sigma\to\mathbb{P}^2$, which is the blowup of a point $p_E\in\mathbb{P}^2$ with exceptional divisor $\pi^{-1}(p_E)=E$.

The Cox ring of Σ is isomorphic to a bihomogeneous polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1]$ such that

$$S_E = H^0\big(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(E)\big) = \langle x_0 \rangle$$

$$S_F = H^0\big(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(F)\big) = \langle y_0, y_1 \rangle,$$

and

$$S_{E+F} = H^0\big(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(E+F)\big) = \langle x_0 y_0, x_0 y_1, x_1 \rangle.$$

Let $T = \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1, u_0, u_1]$ with t_0, t_1 dual to x_0, x_1 and u_0, u_1 dual to y_0, y_1, generating an action of T on S by differentiation, that defines the apolarity of the introduction in coordinates. In fact, we may then interpret $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S_{E+2F})$ as a set of forms:

$$\Sigma = \big\{ \big[a_0 z_0\, l(y_0, y_1) + a_1 z_1\, l(y_0, y_1)^2\big] \in \mathbb{P}(S_{E+2F}) : \\ a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{C},\, l(y_0, y_1) \in \langle y_0, y_1 \rangle \big\}$$

Let $f \in S_{3E+6F} \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^3 S_{E+2F}$. Thus f maybe interpreted as a cubic form F on $\mathbb{P}^4$ restricted to Σ. We let

$$\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f, 8) = \overline{\big\{ [\Gamma] \in \mathrm{Hilb}_8 \Sigma :\ [f] \in \mathrm{span}\,(\nu_{3E+6F}(\Gamma)) \big\}}.$$

The following theorem is equivalent to Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 5.1. *For a general $f \in S_{3E+6F}$, the variety $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f, 8)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in 8 points.*

Proof. Recall that we may interpret $[f]$ as a point $[F]$ in the linear span inside $\mathbb{P}^{34} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]_3)$ of the 3-uple embedding of Σ. We may clearly interpret F as a general cubic form in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Therefore F, and hence f, is not apolar to any rational quartic curve. In particular, we may assume that $I_{f,2E+2F} = I_{f,E+3F} = 0$. Furthermore, we may assume that the map

$$\phi_{f,2E+3F} \colon T_{2E+3F} \to S_{E+3F}$$

has maximal rank, so $I_{f,2E+3F} = \ker \phi_{f,2E+3F}$ is 2-dimensional, i.e. defines a pencil of curves in $K \subseteq |2E + 3F|$. Notice that, by the apolarity lemma 1.3, every curve in K is apolar to f.

Lemma 5.2. *For a general $f \in S_{3E+6F}$, the singular curves in K are irreducible nodal curves and the basepoints Γ_0 of K are 8 general points in Σ.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$ be 8 general points. In degree $2E + 3F$, the ideal of Γ_0 is 2-dimensional. Furthermore, the set of forms $f' \in S_{3E+6F}$ for which Γ_0 is apolar is the 7-dimensional subspace in S_{3E+6F} orthogonal to $I_{\Gamma_0, 3E+6F} \subseteq T_{3E+6F}$. These f' are precisely the forms that are apolar to every curve in K. Now, $\mathbb{P}(S_{3E+6F})$ has dimension 21, while the set of pencils in $\mathbb{P}(T_{2E+3F})$ has dimension 14, so the general pencil is apolar to some form f and the lemma follows. □

Now any scheme Γ in $\mathrm{VSP}_\Sigma(f,8)$ has length 8, so it lies in a curve in $|2E+3F|$. Therefore, if Γ is apolar, it lies in a curve in K. Now the base scheme Γ_0 of K has length 8, so this scheme is the only one of length 8 that lies on all curves in K. The other schemes $\Gamma \subseteq C \subseteq \Sigma$ of length 8 that are apolar to f lies in a unique curve $C \in K$.

Let $C \in K$. Then C is apolar to f, so may consider the variety

$$\mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8) \subseteq \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8).$$

Let $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8)$. Then $\Gamma \subseteq C$ is a subset of the intersection with a curve C' in $|3E+3F|$.

The residual part of the intersection $C_\Gamma \cap C'$ is a unique point on C_Γ that we denote by p_Γ. We thus get a map for $C \in K$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi_C\colon & \mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8) & \to & C \\ & \Gamma & \mapsto & p_\Gamma. \end{array}$$

The map ψ_C is defined also on Γ_0 since any curve $C'+E$, with $C' \in K$, lies in $|3E+3F|$ and intersect C in Γ_0 and in the residual point $E \cap C$.

Composing ψ_C with the blowup map π, we get a morphism

$$\pi \circ \psi_C\colon \mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8) \to \mathbb{P}^2$$

that we want to extend to all of $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$. For this, consider, in the Hilbert scheme of length 8 subschemes of Σ, the open set $\mathcal{U}$ of schemes Γ that are contained in a unique pencil of curves N_Γ in $|3E+3F|$. Let $\overline{\Gamma} \subseteq \Sigma$ be the baselocus of N_Γ. If $\overline{\Gamma}$ is finite, then it has length 9 and there is a unique point $p_\Gamma \in \Sigma$ residual to Γ in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Composing with π we get a rational map

$$\psi\colon \mathcal{U} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Clearly the restriction of ψ to $\mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8)$ extends to the morphism ψ_C for every curve $C \in K$. Since $\psi_C(\Gamma_0) = \pi(E)$ for each C, and every other Γ in $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ lies in a unique C, we see that the restriction of ψ to $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ extends to a morphism

$$\psi_f\colon \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8) \to \mathbb{P}^2$$

such that the restriction of ψ_f to $\mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8)$ coincides with ψ_C for each $C \in K$.

We proceed to show that ψ_C is an isomorphism for every curve $C \in K$. For this we first give a more general fact for elliptic curves, equivalent to Lemma 1.8.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2d-2}$ be an elliptic normal curve of degree $2d-1$, then the set of d-secant $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$'s to C that pass though a general point in $\mathbb{P}^{2d-2}$, correspond one to one to points on C.*

Proof. Let C be an elliptic normal curve of degree $2d$ embedded by a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, then the $d-1$-secant variety of C is a complete intersection of a pencil of determinantal hypersurfaces of degree d, that each are defined by a pair of line bundles of degree d that add up to $\mathcal{L}$ (see [6, Theorem 1.3,

Lemma 2.9], [19]). Furthermore, for a general line that intersects C in a point q, every point outside C lies on a unique hypersurface in the pencil, so after projection the curve C from q has degree $2d-1$ and through a general point the d-secants correspond one to one to line bundles of degree d on C, i.e. one to one to points on C. □

Lemma 5.4. *Assume f is general, so that the singular curves in K are irreducible and nodal and that the basepoints Γ_0 of K are 8 points disjoint from E. Then the morphism $\psi_C\colon \mathrm{VSP}_C(f,8) \to C$ is an isomophism for every $C \in K$.*

Proof. Consider the embedding $C \to \mathbb{P}^{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(S_{3E+6F})$ defined by the linear system $|(3E+6F)_C|$ of divisors on C, defined by the linear system of curves $|3E+6F|$ restricted to C. It is the composition of the embedding defined by $|4E+6F|$ and the projection from the point $E \cap C$. We consider Weil and Cartier divisors on C (if C is smooth they of course coincide). While Weil divisors may have multiplicity one at a node p_C of C, any effective Cartier divisor has multiplicity at least two at p_C. Any Weil divisor Γ of degree 8 on C is contained in a unique Cartier divisor $\bar{\Gamma}$ of degree 9 defined on C by the pencil D_Γ of curves in $|3E+3F|$ that contain Γ. The uniqueness of D_Γ, implies both that the map $\psi_C\colon \Gamma \mapsto p_\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma} - \Gamma$ is well-defined, and that it is injective as soon as there is a unique divisor in the linear system in $|(3E+3F)_C - p_\Gamma|$ in $\mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8)$. Any curve G_Γ in $|4E+6F|$ that is not a multiple of C and contains the Cartier divisor $\bar{\Gamma}$, defines on C a Weil divisor $\Gamma' = G_\Gamma \cap C - \Gamma$ of degree 8 that contains the point p_Γ. Thus $\Gamma + \Gamma'$ is a hyperplane section of $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{15} \subset \mathbb{P}(S_{4E+6F})$ and define a pair of linear systems

$$L_\Gamma := |(4E+6F)_C - \Gamma'| \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\Gamma'} := |(4E+6F)_C - \Gamma|,$$

like in the Lemma 5.3 above for smooth elliptic curves. Since $\Gamma + \Gamma' \equiv (4E+6F)_C$, and $\Gamma + p_\Gamma \equiv (3E+3F)_C$, we get $\Gamma' - p_\Gamma \equiv (E+3F)_C$, i.e. $\Gamma' \equiv (E+3F)_C + p_\Gamma$. Now, $|(E+3F)_C + p| = |(E+3F)_C + p'|$ if and only if $|(F)_C + p| = |(F)_C + p'|$ which again is equivalent to $p = p'$. Therefore the linear system L_Γ is uniquely defined by p_Γ.

A general point in $\mathbb{P}^{15}$ lies in the span of a unique divisor in each of these linear systems of degree 8. So, after projection from the point $E \cap C$, through a general point $[f] \in \mathbb{P}^{14}$ in the span of $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14} \subset \mathbb{P}(S_{3E+6F})$, the set of subschemes Γ of length 8 on C whose span contains $[f]$ are in one to one correspondence with linear systems L_Γ and hence of the points p_Γ on C. And the correspondence coincides with the map $\psi_C\colon \mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8) \to C$ above. □

Every $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ belongs to $\mathrm{VPS}_C(f,8)$ for some $C \in K$, so in particular, every $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ is apolar to f. Consider therefore the open subset $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Hilb}_8(\Sigma)$ of the smooth open set $\mathcal{U}$ above consisting of schemes Γ, such that $\dim I_{\Gamma,(3E+6F)} = 18$, or equivalently, such that $\nu_{3E+6F}(\Gamma)$ spans a $\mathbb{P}^7$. Let $E_\mathcal{U}$ be the vector bundle of rank 18 over $\mathcal{U}$ whose

fiber over $[\Gamma]$ is the dual of the space of sections in degree $3E+6F$ of the ideal $I_{\Gamma,(3E+6F)} \subseteq T_{3E+6F}$. The linear form $\phi_{f,(3E+6F)} \colon T_{(3,3)} \to \mathbb{C}$ defines a section on $E_{\mathcal{U}}$. If $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8) \subseteq \mathcal{U}'$, then $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ is the 0-locus of this section, since any Γ in $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ is apolar to f.

Lemma 5.5. *If $f \in S_{3E+6F}$ is general, then $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8) \subseteq \mathcal{U}'$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ the image $\nu_{3E+6F}(\Gamma)$ spans a $\mathbb{P}^7$. But this follows from the above, since $\Gamma \subseteq C$ for some irreducible curve C in K, and any subscheme of length 8 on the curve $\nu_{3E+6F}(C)$ spans a $\mathbb{P}^7$. □

The space of forms $\phi_{f,(3E+6F)}$ form a linear space ($= S_{(3E+6F)}$) of sections of $E_{\mathcal{U}}$ without basepoints on $\mathcal{U}$, so for a general f the 0-locus $\mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ is smooth.

Now, every point outside Γ_0 lies in a unique curve $C \in K$, so ψ_f is a birational morphism from a smooth surface and has an inverse that is defined outside $\pi(\Gamma_0)$. Let $\pi' \colon \Sigma' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup along $\pi(\Gamma_0)$. Since, by assumption, all $C \in K$ are smooth at Γ_0, the inverse map to ψ_f lifts to a morphism $\psi'_f \colon \Sigma' \to \mathrm{VPS}_\Sigma(f,8)$ that restricts to the inverse of ψ_C on the strict transform of $\pi(C)$ on Σ'. Therefore ψ'_f is an inverse of ψ_f, and hence an isomorphism. □

References

[1] G. Castelnuovo, *Studio dell'involuzione generale sulle curve razionali*, Atti. R. Ist. Veneto di Sc. Lettere ed Arti **6** (1886), no. 4, 1167–1199.

[2] M. Catalisano, A. Geramita, and A. Gimigliano, *Higher secant varieties of Segre-Veronese varieties*, Projective varieties with unexpected properties, 2005, pp. 81–107.

[3] D. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 1, 17–50.

[4] I. Dolgachev, *Dual homogeneous forms and varieties of power sums*, Milan J. Math. **72** (2004), 163–187.

[5] ———, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[6] T. Fisher, *Pfaffian presentations of elliptic normal curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 5, 2525–2540.

[7] J. Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math **90** (1968), 511–521.

[8] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second Edition, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[9] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.

[10] A. Iliev and K. Ranestad, *$K3$ surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 4, 1455–1468.

[11] M. Kapranov, *Chow quotients of Grassmannians. I*, I. M. Gel′fand Seminar, 1993, pp. 29–110.

[12] J. Landsberg, *Tensors: geometry and applications*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 128, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[13] A. Massarenti and M. Mella, *Birational aspects of the geometry of varieties of sums of powers*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 187–202.
[14] S. Mukai, *Fano 3-folds*, Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), 1992, pp. 255–263.
[15] L. Oeding and G. Ottaviani, *Eigenvectors of tensors and algorithms for Waring decomposition*, J. Symbolic Comput. **54** (2013), 9–35.
[16] K. Ranestad and F. Schreyer, *Varieties of sums of powers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 147–181.
[17] ———, *The variety of polar simplices*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 469–505.
[18] K. Ranestad and C. Voisin, *Variety of power sums and divisors in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds* (2013). arXiv:1309.1899v2.
[19] T. Room, *The geometry of determinantal loci*, Cambridge University Press, 1938.
[20] J. Sylvester, *An essay on canonical forms, supplemented by a sketch of a memoir on elimination, transformation and canonical forms*, Collected Works **I** (1904), 203–216. Cambridge University Press.
[21] ———, *Sketch of a memoir on elimination, transformation, and canonical forms*, Collected Works **I** (1904), 184–197. Cambridge University Press.

RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenberger Strasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria.
E-mail address: matteo.gallet@ricam.oeaw.ac.at

University of Oslo, Department of Mathematics, PO Box 1053, Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway
E-mail address: ranestad@math.uio.no

RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenberger Strasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria.
E-mail address: nelly.villamizar@oeaw.ac.at