

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Physics A 00 (2016) 1[–4](#page-3-0)

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

Nuclear Modification Factor of *D* ⁰ Meson in Au+Au Figure 200 GeV
Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

Guannan Xie (for the STAR Collaboration)¹

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China

Abstract

Heavy-flavor quarks are dominantly produced in initial hard scattering processes and experience the whole evolution of the system in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC energies. Thus they are suggested to be an excellent probe to the medium properties through their interaction with the medium. In this proceedings, we report our first measurement of *D* 0 production via topological reconstruction using STAR's recently installed Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT). We also report production via topological reconstruction using 51AK s recently instance ricavy Flavor Fracker (11FF). We also report 200 GeV as a function of transverse momentum (p_T) . New results confirm the strong suppression at high p_T with a much improved precision, and show that the R_{AA} at high p_T are comparable with light hadrons (π) and with D meson measurements at the LHC. Furthermore, several theoretical calculations are compared to our data, and with charm diffusion coefficient $2\pi TD_S \sim 2$ -12 can reproduce both the D^0 R_{AA} and v_2 data in Au+Au collisions at RHIC.

Keywords: Quark-gluon plasma, Nuclear modification factor, Heavy Flavor Tracker

1. Introduction

The mass of charm quark is significantly larger than those of light quarks, Λ*QCD*, and the QGP temperature at RHIC energies (m_c >> $m_{u,d,s}$, Λ_{QCD} , $T_{QGP(RHIC)}$). Therefore charm quarks are dominantly produced
in the early stage of the collision in hard scattering processes at RHIC. They experience the whole evolution in the early stage of the collision in hard scattering processes at RHIC. They experience the whole evolution of the system and offer unique information for the study of hot and dense strongly-coupled Quark-Gluon Plasma (sQGP) matter.

Charm production has been systematically measured in $p + p(\overline{p})$ collisions in various experiments. Due to the large quark mass, charm production in $p + p$ collisions is expected to be calculable with a good precision in perturbative QCD. Figure 1 (left) shows the charm differential cross-section at midrapidity versus transverse momentum in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV-7}$ TeV [\[1,](#page-3-1) [2,](#page-3-2) [3,](#page-3-3) [4\]](#page-3-4). Experimental data are compared with Fixed-Order Next-to-Leading-Log (FONLL) pQCD calculations shown as grey bands [\[5\]](#page-3-5). Within uncertainties, FONLL pQCD calculations describe the data over a broad range of collision energies. The precision of the experimental data allows to constrain the theoretical uncertainty in the pQCD calculations.

¹A list of members of the STAR Collaboration and acknowledgments can be found at the end of this issue.

At RHIC energies, charm quarks are produced mostly via initial hard scatterings. This has been proved by charm total cross sections measured from different collision systems. Figure 1 right panel shows that, charm total cross section follows a number-of-binary-collision (*Nbin*) scaling [\[1,](#page-3-1) [5,](#page-3-5) [6,](#page-3-6) [7,](#page-3-7) [9\]](#page-3-8).

The modification of the charmed meson production is quantified with a Nuclear modification factor *RAA*. R_{AA} is calculated as the ratio between the D^0 invariant yield in Au+Au collisions to the $p + p$ data scaled by N_{bin} . Our previous result shows that the D^0 R_{AA} as a function of p_T is significantly different from unity [\[7\]](#page-3-7).

Fig. 1. (Left) Charm quark pair production cross section vs. *p*_{*T*} at mid-rapidity in $p + p(\bar{p})$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV-7 TeV. FONLL pQCD calculations are shown as shaded bands [\[5\]](#page-3-5). (Right) Charm cross section at mid-rapidity from *p* + *p* to central Au+Au collisions from STAR.

2. Experiment and Analysis

The STAR experiment is a large-acceptance multi-purpose detector which covers full azimuth and pseudorapidity of $|\eta| < 1$. In this analysis, the data were taken by the STAR experiment using the newly installed Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT) in the year 2014 RHIC run. The HFT is a high resolution silicon detector, which consists of three subsystems: two conventional strip detectors and one pixel detector using the state-of-the-art Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors (MAPS) technology [\[10\]](#page-3-9). The HFT is designed to greatly improve open heavy flavor hadron measurements by the topological reconstruction of secondary decay vertices.

The data sample used in this analysis is ∼780M minimum bias events taken in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV with the HFT. Events are required to have reconstructed collision position within 6 cm along the beam direction from the detector center. D^0 and $\overline{D^0}$ are reconstructed in the hadronic $K^{\mp}\pi^{\pm}$ π channel, with a branching ratio of [∼] ³.9% and a lifetime of *^c*τ [∼] ¹²³ ^µm. Kaons and pions are identified via a combination of the energy loss dE/dx measured by the Time Projection Chamber and β measured by the Time-Of-Flight detector [\[8\]](#page-3-10). Secondary vertices are reconstructed as the middle point at the Distance of the Closest Approach (DCA) between the trajectories of two daughter particles. With the HFT, several topological cuts are used to greatly reduce the combinational background. Rectangular topological cuts are optimized in each *D* ⁰ *p^T* bins using the Toolkit for Multivariate Data Analysis (TMVA) package for best significance of D^0 signal.

Figure 2 shows the D^0 invariant mass distributions in two p_T bins. Compared with our former published result, the D^0 signal significance scaled to the same number of events has been improved by a factor of 4. The remaining combinatorial background is estimated with like-sign and mixed-event methods. The TPC efficiency is calculated by embedding simulated tracks into real event background. The HFT related and topology cut efficiencies are from *D* ⁰ decay simulation based on the HFT matching to TPC ratio and track pointing resolution directly from data. The results for $p_T < 2$ GeV/c are being finalized, and we show the results below for D^0 $p_T > 2$ GeV/c.

Fig. 2. Invariant mass spectra of *^K*^π pairs for 1 < *^p^T* < 2 GeV/c (Left) and 5 < *^p^T* < 8 GeV/c (Right). The black points are unlike-sign pairs with the D^0 signal. The blue and red points show the like-sign and mixed-event background respectively.

3. Physics Results and Discussion

Although the total charm cross section follows N_{bin} scaling, the p_T spectrum is significantly modified in Au+Au collisions [\[7\]](#page-3-7). D^0 invariant yield (left) and nuclear modification factor R_{AA} (right) in the most $\frac{1}{2}$ and *n* and HFT (blue) are consistent with published data (red) with significantly improved precision [\[7\]](#page-3-7).

Fig. 3. D^0 meson invariant yields (Left) and nuclear modification factor R_{AA} (Right) in most central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV. Also shown in the left panel are D^0 yields in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV fitted with a Levy function. In the right panel, vertical bars on data points indicate statistical uncertainties, while the brackets are systematic uncertainties in Au+Au collisions. The grey bands are uncertainties from $p + p$ baseline. R_{AA} of D mesons from LHC and π from RHIC are also shown. There are two vertical boxes around unity from left to right related to $Au + Au N_{coll}$ and $p + p$ normalization uncertainties.

Figure 3 (right panel) shows the R_{AA} results from the most central (0-10%) Au+Au collisions. The new results from the HFT are consistent with the published ones in the measured p_T range. Furthermore, they have highly improved precision in Au+Au collisions. The grey bands show uncertainties from the $p + p$ baseline from our previous measurement before the HFT installation [\[1\]](#page-3-1), which is expected to be improved as well with the HFT data taken in the year 2015 RHIC run. The R_{AA} shows a strong suppression at high p_T indicating strong charm medium interactions at this kinematic region. At the intermediate p_T range (\sim 0.7-2 GeV/c), the data shows an enhancement which can be described by models including coalescence of charm and light quarks.

We compare our D^0 R_{AA} results with those of pions at RHIC (red squares) and D-mesons at LHC (blue triangles) [\[1,](#page-3-1) [7,](#page-3-7) [11,](#page-3-11) [12\]](#page-3-12). As shown in Figure 3, at high $p_T R_{AA}(D^0)$ is close to $R_{AA}(\pi)$, which can be explained
by taking into account the fact that charm energy loss is an interplay of elastic and radiative energy lo by taking into account the fact that charm energy loss is an interplay of elastic and radiative energy loss [\[14\]](#page-3-13). The D-meson R_{AA} at $p_T > 2$ GeV/c is also comparable between RHIC and LHC despite of a factor of 14 difference in collision energy.

The left panel of Fig. 4 shows the R_{AA} results from the most central (0-10%) Au+Au collisions compared with various model calculations. The Duke model uses a Langevin simulation with an input diffusion coefficient parameter- $2\pi TD_S = 7$, where D_S is heavy quark spacial diffusion coefficient and T is medium

Fig. 4. D^0 meson R_{AA} (left) and v_2 (right) vs. p_T in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV comparing with various model calculations.

temperature, which was tuned to the LHC D-meson *RAA* data [\[13,](#page-3-14) [14\]](#page-3-13). The TAMU calculation uses a nonperturbative approach and the full T-matrix calculation with internal energy potential, which predicts 2π*T D^S* to be ∼ 2-10 [\[14\]](#page-3-13). The SUBATECH group uses the pQCD calculation with Hard Thermal Loop technique which indicates the 2π*T D^S* [∼]2-4 [\[14\]](#page-3-13). These three models can describe our *^RAA* data points reasonable well. In the meantime, we also compare our first measurement of D^0 v_2 to model calculations [\[10\]](#page-3-9). The TAMU and SUBATECH calculations can describe the measured D^0 ν_2 as well, while the specific DUKE calculation with $2\pi TD_s = 7$ seems to underestimate the D^0 v_2 . Our data favor models with finite charm flow. To further constrain our understanding of the medium diffusion coefficient, it will be beneficial to systematically study each ingredient in different model calculations.

4. Summary and Outlook

We report the first measurement of D^0 R_{AA} in the most central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV using the STAR newly installed HFT detector. New results confirm the strong suppression at high p_T with a much improved precision. Theoretical models with charm diffusion coefficient 2π*T D^S* [∼] 2-12 can reproduce simultaneously both the D^0 R_{AA} and v_2 data in Au+Au collisions at RHIC.

In year 2015, STAR has collected high statistics datasets with the HFT in $p + p$ and $p + Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV. Analyses of these datasets will improve our *p* + *p* baseline for the *R_{AA}* estimation and help understand cold nuclear matter effects. In addition, STAR has requested to collect 2 billion MB Au+Au events in the year 2016 run which will allow more precise determination of the sQGP transport properties.

Acknowledgement

We express gratitude to RNC group at LBNL and HEPG at USTC for their support. From USTC, the author is supported in part by the NSFC under Grant No. 11375172 and MOST under No. 2014CB845400.

References

- [1] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D 86**, 072013 (2012).
- [2] Z. Ye *,QM14* (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Rhys. A 931, 520 (2014).
- [3] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **L 91**, 241804 (2003).
- [4] B. Abelev *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Jour. of High Energy Phys.01, 128 (2012).
- [5] M. Cacciari *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. L* 95, 122001 (2005).
- [6] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **L 94**, 62301 (2005).
- [7] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. L 113, 142301 (2014).
- [8] Ackermann *et al.* Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A 499** 624-632 (2003).
- [9] R. Vogt , Eur.Phys. J.ST 155, 213 (2008).
- [10] G. Contin, M. Lomnitz *,QM15* (STAR Collaboration)
- [11] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **B 655**, 104-113 (2007)
- [12] B. Abelev *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), [arXiv:1509.06888](http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.06888) [nucl-ex]
- [13] S. Cao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 92, 024907 (2015)
- [14] A. Andronic *et al.*, [arXiv:1506.03981\[](http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03981)nucl-ex]