Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with datum in Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces

D. Q. Khai, N. M. Tri

Institute of Mathematics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract: In this note, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, we introduce and study Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the family spaces $\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the critical invariant spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations correspond to the value $s = \frac{d}{p} - 1$. When the initial datum belongs to the critical spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 2, 1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1 \leq r < \infty$, we establish the existence of local mild solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in spaces $L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with arbitrary initial value, and existence of global mild solutions in spaces $L^{\infty}([0,\infty);\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ when the norm of the initial value in the Besov spaces $\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough, where \tilde{p} may take some suitable values.

§1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in d dimensions in special setting of a viscous, homogeneous, incompressible fluid which fills the entire space and is not submitted to external forces. Thus, the equations we consider are the system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) - \nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

which is a condensed writing for

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq k \leq d, & \partial_t u_k = \Delta u_k - \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l (u_l u_k) - \partial_k p, \\ \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l u_l = 0, \\ 1 \leq k \leq d, & u_k(0, x) = u_{0k}. \end{cases}$$

 $^{^{1}2010\ \}textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}: \ \text{Primary 35Q30}; \ \text{Secondary 76D05}, \ 76\text{N10}.$

²Keywords: Navier-Stokes equations, existence and uniqueness of local and global mild solutions, critical Sobolev spaces.

³e-mail address: triminh@math.ac.vn

The unknown quantities are the velocity $u(t,x) = (u_1(t,x), \dots, u_d(t,x))$ of the fluid element at time t and position x and the pressure p(t,x).

A translation invariant Banach space of tempered distributions \mathcal{E} is called a critical space for NSE if its norm is invariant under the action of the scaling $f(.) \longrightarrow \lambda f(\lambda)$. One can take, for example, $\mathcal{E} = L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or the smaller space $\mathcal{E} = \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, one has the chain of critical spaces given by the continuous imbedding

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^d(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{(p<\infty)} \hookrightarrow BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{1}$$

It is remarkable feature that the NSE are well-posed in the sense of Hadarmard (existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on data) when the initial datum is divergence-free and belongs to the critical function spaces (except $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$) listed in (1) (see [4] for $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [23] for $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the recent ill-posedness result [3] for $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). In the 1960s, mild solutions were first constructed by Kato and Fujita ([17], [18]) that are continuous in time and take values in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(s \ge \frac{d}{2} - 1)$, say $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. In 1992, a modern treatment for mild solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(s \ge \frac{d}{2} - 1)$ was given by Chemin [8]. In 1995, using the simplified version of the bilinear operator, Cannone proved the existence for mild solutions in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1)$, see [4]. Results on the existence of mild solutions with value in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (p>d) were established in the papers of Fabes, Jones and Rivière [9] and of Giga [10]. Concerning the initial datum in the space L^{∞} , the existence of a mild solution was obtained by Cannone and Meyer in ([4], [7]). Moreover, in ([4], [7]), they also obtained theorems on the existence of mild solutions with value in Morrey-Campanato space $M_2^p(\mathbb{R}^d), (p > d)$ and Sobolev space $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d), (p < d, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{d} < \frac{1}{d})$, and in general in the case of a so-called well-suited sapce \mathcal{W} for NSE. The NSE in the Morrey-Campanato spaces were also treated by Kato [21] and Taylor [27]. In 1981, Weissler [29] gave the first existence result of mild solutions in the half space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$. Then Giga and Miyakawa [11] generalized the result to $L^3(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 . Finally, in 1984, Kato [20] obtained, by means of a purely analytical tool (involving only Hölder and Young inequalities and without using any estimate of fractional powers of the Stokes operator), an existence theorem in the whole space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In ([4], [5], [6]), Cannone showed how to simplify Kato's proof. The idea is to take advantage of the structure of the bilinear operator in its scalar form. In particular, the divergence ∇ and heat $e^{t\Delta}$ operators can be treated as a single convolution operator. In 1994, Kato and Ponce [22] showed that the NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), (d \leq p < \infty)$. Recently, the authors of this article have

considered NSE in mixed-norm Sobolev-Lorentz spaces, see [13]. In [15], we showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with non-positive-regular indexes $(p \geq d, \frac{d}{p} - 1 \leq s \leq 0)$. In [14], we showed that the bilinear operator

$$B(u,v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) d\tau$$
 (2)

is bicontinuous in $L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}^s_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with super-critical and non-negative-regular indexes $(0 \le s < d, p > 1)$, and $\frac{s}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{s+1}{d})$, and we established the inequality

$$||B(u,v)||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}_{p}^{s})} \leq C_{s,p,d} T^{\frac{1}{2}(1+s-\frac{d}{p})} ||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}_{p}^{s})} ||v||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}_{p}^{s})}.$$

In this case existence and uniqueness theorems of local mild solutions can therefore be easily deduced. In [16] we prove that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with (1 .In this paper, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, we first recall the notion of the Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, introduced and investigated in [12]; then we introduce and study Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, and Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. After that we show that the Navier-Stokes equations are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the critical Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 2, 1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1 \leq r < \infty$. The spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are more general than the spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$. In 1997, Le Jan and Sznitman [26] considered a very simple space convenient to the study of NSE, which is the space E of tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d and $\sup_{\xi} |\xi|^{d-1} |\hat{f}(\xi)| < \infty$, with standing for the Fourier transform. This space may be defined as a Besov space based on the spaces PM of pseudomeasures (PM) is the space of the image of the Fourier transforms of essentially bounded functions: $PM = \mathcal{F}L^{\infty}$). More precisely, $E = \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. They showed that the bilinear operator B is bicontinuous in $L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty})$ for all $0 < T \le \infty$. Therefore they can easily deduce the existence of global mild solutions in spaces $L^{\infty}([0,\infty); \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty})$ when norm of the initial value in the spaces $\dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough. From Definitions 1 and 2 in Section 2, we have

$$PM = \mathcal{L}^1, \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In 2011, Lei and Lin [25] showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which is defined by

$$f \in \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 if and only if $\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f\|_{\mathcal{X}} < \infty$, where $\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^1}$.

They established the existence of global mild solutions in the space $L^{\infty}([0,\infty);\mathcal{X}^{-1})$ when norm of the initial value in the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough. From Definitions 1 and 2 in Section 2, we see that

$$\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus, the spaces $\dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1,\infty}$ and \mathcal{X}^{-1} , studied in [26] and [25], are particular cases of the critical Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ with p=1 and $p=\infty$, respectively. Note that estimates in the Lorentz spaces were also studied in [1], [19] (see also the references therein). Very recently, ill-poseness of NSE in critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}$ was investigated in [28].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and investigate the Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces and some auxiliary lemmas. In Section 3 we present the main results of the paper. Due to some technical difficulties we will consider three cases 1 , and <math>p = 1 separately. In subsection 3.1 we treat the case $1 . In subsection 3.2 we consider the case <math>d \le q < \infty$. Finally, in subsection 3.3 we study the case p = 1. In the sequence, for a space of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d , say $E(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will abbreviate it as E. Throughout the paper, we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$ as an equivalent to $A \le CB$ with a uniform constant C. The notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$

§2. SOBOLEV-FOURIER-LORENTZ SPACES

Definition 1. (Fourier-Lebesgue spaces). (See [12].) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)), (\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1)$, equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} := ||\mathcal{F}(f)||_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote the Fourier transform and its inverse.

Definition 2. (Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces).

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\dot{\Lambda}^{-s}\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}} := ||\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u||_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}.$$

where $\dot{\Lambda} = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ is the homogeneous Calderon pseudo-differential operator defined as

$$\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}g}(\xi) = |\xi|\widehat{g}(\xi).$$

Definition 3. (Lorentz spaces). (See [2].)

For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the Lorentz space $L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as follows. A measurable function $f \in L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$||f||_{L^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{p}}f^*(t))^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \text{ when } 1 \le r < \infty,$$

$$||f||_{L^{p,\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) < \infty \text{ when } r = \infty,$$

where $f^*(t) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |f(x)| > \tau\}) \le t \}$, with \mathcal{M}^d being the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 4. (Fourier-Lorentz spaces).

For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^d)), (\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1)$, equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := ||\mathcal{F}(f)||_{L^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Definition 5. (Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces).

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq r, p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\dot{\Lambda}^{-s}\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} := ||\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u||_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}.$$

Theorem 1. (Holder's inequality in Fourier-Lorentz spaces). Let $1 < r, q, \tilde{q} < \infty$ and $1 \le h, \tilde{h}, \hat{h} \le +\infty$ satisfy the relations

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}} + \frac{1}{\hat{h}}.$$

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}$. Then $uv \in \mathcal{L}^{r,h}$ and we have the inequality

$$||uv||_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} \lesssim ||u||_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} ||v||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}.$$
(3)

Proof. Let r', q', and \tilde{q}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1.$$

It is easily checked that the following conditions are satisfied

$$1 < r', q', \tilde{q}' < +\infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{r'} + 1 = \frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'}.$$

We have

$$||uv||_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} = ||\widehat{uv}||_{L^{r',h}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} ||\widehat{u} * \widehat{v}||_{L^{r',h}}.$$
 (4)

Applying Proposition 2.4 (c) in ([24], p. 20), we have

$$\|\hat{u} * \hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}} \lesssim \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{q',\tilde{h}}} \|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',\hat{h}}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \tag{5}$$

Now, the estimate (3) follows from the equality (4) and the inequality (5). \Box

Theorem 2. (Young's inequality for convolution in Fourier-Lorentz spaces). Let $1 < r, q, \tilde{q} < \infty$, and $1 \le h, \tilde{h}, \hat{h} \le \infty$ satisfy the relations

$$\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}} + \frac{1}{\hat{h}}.$$

Suppose that $u \in L^{q,\tilde{h}}$ and $v \in L^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}$. Then $u * v \in L^{r,h}$ and the following inequality holds

$$||u * v||_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} \lesssim ||u||_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} ||v||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let r', q', and \tilde{q}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1.$$

By definition

$$||u * v||_{Cr,h} = ||\widehat{u * v}||_{Lr',h} = (2\pi)^{d/2} ||\widehat{u}\widehat{v}||_{Lr',h}.$$
 (7)

We can check that the following conditions are satisfied

$$1 < r', q', \tilde{q}' < +\infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.3 (c) in ([24], p. 19), we have

$$\|\hat{u}\hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}} \lesssim \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{q',\tilde{h}}} \|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',\hat{h}}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}.$$
 (8)

Now, the estimate (6) follows from the equality (7) and the inequality (8). \Box

Theorem 3. (Sobolev inequality for Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces). Let $1 < q \le \tilde{q} < \infty, s, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}, s - \frac{d}{q} = \tilde{s} - \frac{d}{\tilde{q}}, \text{ and } 1 \le r \le \infty.$ Then

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^{\tilde{s}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},r}}} \lesssim ||u||_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}}, \forall u \in \dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. We have

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^{\tilde{s}}_{c\tilde{q},r}} = ||\dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}-s}\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},r}} = |||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u}(\xi)||_{L^{\tilde{q}',r}},\tag{10}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{a}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}'} = 1.$$

Note that

$$|\xi|^{-r} \in L^{\frac{d}{r},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 for all r satisfying $0 < r \le d$.

Applying Proposition 2.3 (c) in ([24], p. 19), we have

$$\||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u}(\xi)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',r}} \lesssim \||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\|_{L^{\frac{d}{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}},\infty}}.\|\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^{s}u}(\xi)\|_{L^{q',r}} \simeq \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c,q,r}}. \tag{11}$$

The estimate (9) follows from the equality (10) and the inequality (11). \Box

Lemma 1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le p \le \infty$, and $1 \le r \le \tilde{r} \le \infty$.

(a) We have the following imbedding maps

$$\mathcal{L}^{p,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,r} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,\tilde{r}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty},$$
$$\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\tilde{r}}} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}}.$$

(b) $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,p'}}^s$ (equality of the norm), where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Proof. It is easily deduced from the properties of the standard Lorentz spaces. \Box

Lemma 2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and 1 . We have

- (a) If $1 < q \le 2$ then $\dot{H}_q^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}_q}^s$.
- (b) If $2 \leq q < \infty$ then $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_q^s$

Proof. It is deduced from Theorem 1.2.1 ([2], p. 6). \Box

Lemma 3. Assume that $1 \le r, p \le \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the two quantities

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^k_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}$$
 and $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha} u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$

are equivalent.

Proof. First, we prove that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha} u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha} u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|i^{k} \xi^{\alpha} \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{|\xi|^{k}} |\xi|^{k} \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \||\xi|^{k} \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Lambda}^{k} u(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} = \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$

Next, we prove that

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^k_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=k} ||\partial^{\alpha} u||_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}.$$

It is easy to see that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|\xi|^k \le d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\xi^{\alpha}|.$$

This gives the desired result

$$||u||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} = ||\xi|^{k} \hat{u}(\xi)||_{L^{p',r}} \le d^{\frac{k}{2}} ||\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\xi^{\alpha}| \hat{u}(\xi)||_{L^{p',r}}$$

$$\le d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} ||\xi^{\alpha} \hat{u}(\xi)||_{L^{p',r}} = d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} ||\partial^{\alpha} u||_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{R}$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$0 \le k \le d - 1, \frac{k}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2d}, \text{ and } 1 \le r \le \infty.$$

Then the following inequality holds

$$||uv||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{cq,r}} \lesssim ||u||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{cp,r}} ||v||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{cp,r}}, \ \forall u, v \in \dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{k}{d}.$$

Proof. First, we estimate $\|\partial^{\alpha}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}$, where

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \ |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = k.$$

By the general Leibniz rule, we have

$$\partial^{\alpha}(uv) = \sum_{\gamma + \beta = \alpha} {\alpha \choose \gamma} (\partial^{\gamma} u) (\partial^{\beta} v).$$

Set

$$\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k - |\gamma|}{d}, \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k - |\beta|}{d}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{2k}{d} + \frac{|\gamma| + |\beta|}{d} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{k}{d} = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Therefore applying Theorems 1, 3, and Lemma 1 (a) in order to obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| (\partial^{\gamma} u)(\partial^{\beta} v) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}} &\lesssim \left\| \partial^{\gamma} u \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{1},r}} \left\| \partial^{\beta} v \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_{2},\infty}} \lesssim \left\| \partial^{\gamma} u \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k-|\gamma|}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \left\| \partial^{\beta} v \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k-|\beta|}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \partial^{\gamma} u \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k-|\gamma|}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \left\| \partial^{\beta} v \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k-|\beta|}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \lesssim \left\| u \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \left\| v \right\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| = k$, we have

$$\|\partial^{\alpha}(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$

Applying Lemma 3, we have

$$||uv||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} \lesssim ||u||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} ||v||_{\dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}, \ \forall u, v \in \dot{H}^{k}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 5. Assume that $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $u_0 \in \dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$ then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in L^{\infty}([0,\infty); \dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}})$ and

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^{\infty}([0,\infty);\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}})} \le \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$

Proof. For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}} = \left\| e^{t\Delta} \dot{\Lambda}^s u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} = \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^s \hat{u}_0 \right\|_{L^{p',r}} \le \\ & \left\| |\xi|^s \hat{u}_0 \right\|_{L^{p',r}} = \left\| \widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^s u_0}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} = \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^s u_0(\xi) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} = \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{cp,r}}. \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us recall the following result on solutions of a quadratic equation in Banach spaces (Theorem 22.4, [24], p. 227).

Theorem 4. Let E be a Banach space, and $B: E \times E \to E$ be a continuous bilinear form such that there exists $\eta > 0$ so that

$$||B(x,y)|| \le \eta ||x|| ||y||,$$

for all x and y in E. Then for any fixed $y \in E$ such that $||y|| \leq \frac{1}{4\eta}$, the equation x = y - B(x, x) has a unique solution $\overline{x} \in E$ satisfying $||\overline{x}|| \leq \frac{1}{2\eta}$.

§3. MAIN RESULTS

For T > 0, we say that u is a mild solution of NSE on [0, T] corresponding to a divergence-free initial data u_0 when u satisfies the integral equation

$$u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes u(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Above we have used the following notation: For a tensor $F = (F_{ij})$ we define the vector $\nabla . F$ by $(\nabla . F)_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_j F_{ij}$ and for vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , we define their tensor product $(u \otimes v)_{ij} = u_i v_j$. The operator \mathbb{P} is the Leray projection onto the divergence-free fields

$$(\mathbb{P}f)_j = f_j + \sum_{1 \le k \le d} R_j R_k f_k,$$

where R_j is the Riesz transforms defined as

$$R_j = \frac{\partial_j}{\dot{\Lambda}}$$
, i.e. $\widehat{R_j g}(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{g}(\xi)$.

The heat kernel $e^{t\Delta}$ is defined as

$$e^{t\Delta}u(x) = ((4\pi t)^{-d/2}e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t} * u)(x).$$

If X is a normed space and $u = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_d), u_i \in X, 1 \leq i \leq d$, then we write

$$u \in X, ||u||_X = \Big(\sum_{i=1}^d ||u_i||_X^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

In this main section we investigate mild solutions to NSE when the initial datum belongs to critical spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. We consider three cases 1 , and <math>p = 1 separately.

3.1. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 and <math>1 \le r < \infty$.

We define an auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$ which is made up by the functions u(t,x) such that

$$||u||_{\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}} := \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} ||u(t,x)||_{\dot{H}_{r\tilde{p},r}^{\frac{1}{p}-1}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t, x) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}\tilde{p}, r}^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}} = 0, \tag{12}$$

with

and

$$\alpha = \alpha(p, \tilde{p}) = d\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}\right).$$

In the case $\tilde{p} = p$, it is also convenient to define the space $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^p$ as the natural space $L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})$ with the additional condition that its elements u(t,x) satisfy

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|u(t, x)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}_{cp, r}} = 0. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 6. Let $1 \le r \le \tilde{r} \le \infty$. Then we have the following imbedding

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,\tilde{r},T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$$

Proof. It is easily deduced from Lemma 1 (a) and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 and <math>1 \le r < \infty$, then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{p,1,\infty}$ with $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \frac{1}{p}$.

Proof. Before proving this lemma, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8. Suppose that $u_0 \in L^{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||1_{B_n^c} u_0||_{L^{q,r}} = 0$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < n\}$, $B_n^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_n$, and $1_{B_n^c}$ is the indicator function of the set B_n^c on $\mathbb{R}^d : 1_{B_n^c}(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_n^c$ and $1_{B_n^c}(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. With $\delta > 0$ being fixed, we have

$$\{x: |1_{B_n^c}u_0(x)| > \delta\} \supseteq \{x: |1_{B_{n+1}^c}u_0(x)| > \delta\},$$
 (14)

and

$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ x : \left| 1_{B_n^c} u_0(x) \right| > \delta \right\} = \emptyset. \tag{15}$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x: |1_{B_0^c}u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = \mathcal{M}^d(\{x: |u_0(x)| > \delta\}).$$

We prove that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x: |u_0(x)| > \delta\}) < \infty, \tag{16}$$

assuming on the contrary

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x: |u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = \infty.$$

Set

$$u_0^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d (\{x : |u_0(x)| > \tau \}) \le t \}.$$

We have $u_0^*(t) \ge \delta$ for all t > 0, from the definition of the Lorentz space, we get

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{q,r}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} u_0^*(t))^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \ge \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} \delta)^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \delta \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{r}{q}-1} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \infty,$$

a contradiction.

From (14), (15), and (16), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}^d (\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = 0.$$
 (17)

Set

$$u_n^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \tau \}) \le t \}.$$

We have

$$u_n^*(t) \ge u_{n+1}^*(t). \tag{18}$$

Fixed t > 0. For any $\epsilon > 0$, from (17) it follows that there exist $n_0 = n_0(t, \epsilon)$ is large enough such that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\lbrace x: |1_{B_n^c}u_0(x)| > \epsilon\rbrace) \le t, \forall n \ge n_0.$$

From this we deduce that

$$u_n^*(t) \le \epsilon, \forall n \ge n_0,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} u_n^*(t) = 0. \tag{19}$$

From (18) and (19), we apply Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem to get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| 1_{B_n^c} u_0 \right\|_{L^{q,r}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} u_n^*(t))^r \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = 0. \quad \Box$$

Now we return to prove Lemma 7. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}} \lesssim \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$
 (20)

Let p' and \tilde{p}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}'} = 1.$$

We have

$$\left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\xi,\bar{p},1}} = \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\bar{p}',1}}. \tag{21}$$

Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces (see Proposition 2.3 (c) in [24], p. 19), we have

$$\begin{split} \|e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}|\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_{0}(\xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} &\lesssim \|e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p}-p},1} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_{0}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',\infty}} = \\ t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})} \|e^{-|\xi|^{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p}-p},1} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_{0}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',\infty}} &\lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_{0}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} \\ &= t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_{0}\|_{\dot{H}_{c}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}. \end{split} \tag{22}$$

The estimate (20) follows from the equality (21) and the estimate (22). We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}} = 0. \tag{23}$$

From the equality (21), we have

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}} \leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$. Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces and using Lemma 8, we have

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} 1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} \leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}\tilde{p}-p},1}} \|1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',\infty}} = C \|e^{-|\xi|^{2}} \|_{L_{\frac{\tilde{p}\tilde{p}},1}}} \|1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',\infty}} \leq C' \|1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
(24)

for large enough n. Fixed one of such n and applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces, we have

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} 1_{B_{n}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\vec{p}',1}} \leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|1_{B_{n}} e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \|_{L_{\xi}^{\vec{p}\bar{p}},1} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',\infty}}$$

$$\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|1_{B_{n}} \|_{L^{\frac{p\bar{p}}{p-p},1}} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',\infty}} \leq C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \||\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}}$$

$$= C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_{0}\|_{\dot{H}_{cp,r}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$(25)$$

for small enough t = t(n) > 0. From estimates (24) and (25), we have,

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}\tilde{p},1}} \le C' \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} + C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^p,r}} < \epsilon. \quad \Box$$

In the following lemmas a particular attention will be devoted to the study of the bilinear operator B(u, v)(t) defined by (2).

In the following lemmas, denote by [x] the integer part of x and by $\{x\}$ the fraction part of x.

Lemma 9. Let $1 . Then for all <math>\tilde{p}$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min\left\{\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d}\right\},\tag{26}$$

the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},\infty,T} \times \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},\infty,T}$ into $\mathcal{K}^{p}_{p,1,T}$ and the following inequality holds

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}^{p}_{p,1,T}} \le C ||u||_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor},\infty,T}} ||v||_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor},\infty,T}}, \tag{27}$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \left\| B(u,v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}} &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla . (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}} d\tau \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla . (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} d\tau. \end{split} \tag{28}$$

Note that

$$\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right)_{j}^{\wedge}(\xi)$$

$$= \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\left\{\frac{d}{p}\right\}}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right)_{j}^{\wedge}(\xi)$$

$$= |\xi|^{\left\{\frac{d}{p}\right\}}e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^{2}}\sum_{l,k=1}^{d}\left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_{j}\xi_{k}}{|\xi|^{2}}\right)(i\xi_{l})\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u_{l}(\tau)v_{k}(\tau)\right)\right)^{\wedge}(\xi).$$

Thus

$$\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right)_{j}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\left\{\frac{d}{p}\right\}+d+1}{2}}}\sum_{l,k=1}^{d}K_{l,k,j}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)*\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u_{l}(\tau)v_{k}(\tau)\right)\right),\tag{29}$$

where

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} |\xi|^{\{\frac{d}{p}\}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l).$$
 (30)

Setting the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l,k,j}(x)\}$, we can rewrite the equality (29) in the tensor form

$$\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\left\{\frac{d}{p}\right\}+d+1}{2}}} K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) * \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1} \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right).$$

Applying Theorem 2 for convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}\lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)+d+1}{2}}}\left\|K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}}\left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}},\tag{31}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d} \text{ and } \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d}.$$
 (32)

Note that from the inequality (26), we can check that r and q satisfy the relations

$$1 < r, q < \infty, \frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}} \lesssim \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{c\tilde{p},\infty}}\|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{c\tilde{p},\infty}}.$$
 (33)

From the equalities (30) and (32), we obtain

$$\left\| K \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t - \tau}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left\| \hat{K} \left(\sqrt{t - \tau} \right) \right\|_{L^{r',1}} = (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{2.r'}} \left\| \hat{K} \right\|_{L^{r',1}} = (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{2.r'}} \left\| \hat{K} \right\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d} \right)}.$$
 (34)

From the estimates (31), (33), and (34), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla . \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} &\lesssim (t-\tau)^{[\frac{d}{p}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}} - 1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \\ &= (t-\tau)^{\alpha - 1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha \left(\frac{d}{\left[\frac{d}{p} \right]}, \tilde{p} \right) = \left[\frac{d}{p} \right] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}} ,$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{split} & \left\| B(u,v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \end{split}$$

$$= \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} 0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau$$

$$\simeq \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} 0<\eta< t}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}}. \tag{35}$$

Let us now check the validity of the condition (13) for the bilinear term B(u, v)(t). Indeed, from (35)

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, 1}}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Big\| u(t) \Big\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{c\tilde{v},\infty}} = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Big\| v(t) \Big\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{c\tilde{v},\infty}} = 0.$$

The estimate (27) is deduced from the inequality (35).

Lemma 10. Let $1 . Then for all <math>\tilde{p}$ be such that

$$\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min\left\{\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d}\right\},\tag{36}$$

the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},\infty,T} \times \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},\infty,T}$ into $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},1,T}$ and the following inequality holds

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},1,T}} \le C ||u||_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},\infty,T}} ||v||_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},\infty,T}},$$
(37)

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)$$

$$=\frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)*\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right),$$

where

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l).$$
 (38)

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \left\|K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}},\tag{39}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d} \text{ and } \frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d}.$$
 (40)

Note that from the inequality (36), we can check that r and q satisfy the relations

$$1 < r, q < \infty, \frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}} \lesssim \left\|u(\tau)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\sigma,\tilde{\nu},\infty}} \left\|v(\tau)\right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\sigma,\tilde{\nu},\infty}}.$$
(41)

From the equalities (38) and (40), we obtain

$$\left\| K \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t - \tau}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2 \cdot r}} \| \hat{K} \|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p} \right] - 1}{d} \right)}. \tag{42}$$

From the estimates (39), (41), and (42), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \\ & \lesssim (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-\frac{d}{\tilde{p}})-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \\ & = (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha \left(\frac{d}{\left[\frac{d}{p} \right]}, \tilde{p} \right) = \left[\frac{d}{p} \right] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}} ,$$

this gives the desired result

$$\|B(u,v)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} d\tau$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} d\tau$$

$$= \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| u(\eta) \|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1} 0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| v(\eta) \|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau$$

$$\simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| u(\eta) \|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1} 0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| v(\eta) \|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}}. \tag{43}$$

Now we check the validity of condition (12) for the bilinear term B(u, v)(t). From (43) we infer that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{C\tilde{p}, 1}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| v(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} = 0.$$

Finally, the estimate (37) can be deduced from the inequality (43).

Theorem 5. Let $1 and <math>1 \le r < \infty$. Then for all \tilde{p} be such that

$$\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min\left\{\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d}\right\},$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all T > 0 and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{p}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \le \delta_{p, \tilde{p}, d}, \tag{44}$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},1,T} \cap L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}).$

In particular, the inequality (44) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}} \leq \sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$.

Proof. From Lemmas 6 and 10, the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},\infty,T} \times \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},\infty,T}$ into $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor},1,T}$ and we have the inequality

$$\left\|B(u,v)\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor\frac{d}{p}\rfloor},\infty,T}} \leq \left\|B(u,v)\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor\frac{d}{p}\rfloor},1,T}} \leq C_{p,\tilde{p},d} \left\|u\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor\frac{d}{p}\rfloor},\infty,T}} \left\|v\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor\frac{d}{p}\rfloor},\infty,T}},$$

where $C_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ is positive constant independent of T. From Theorem 4 and the above inequality, we deduce that for any $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$ such that

$$\left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{[\frac{d}{p}]}, \infty, T}} = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{p}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}}, \infty}} \le \frac{1}{4C_{p, \tilde{p}, d}},$$

the Navier-Stokes equations has a solution u on the interval (0,T) so that

$$u \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{2}]}, \infty, T}.$$
 (45)

From Lemmas 6 and 9, and (45), we have

$$B(u,u) \in \mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^p \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^p \subseteq L^{\infty}([0,T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}).$$

From Lemma 5, we also have $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}})$. Therefore

$$u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - B(u, u) \in L^{\infty}([0, T]; \dot{H}_{p, r}^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}).$$

For all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$, applying Theorem 3, we deduce that

$$u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{d/\left[\frac{d}{p}\right],r}}.$$
 (46)

From (46), applying Lemma 7, we get $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor},\infty,T}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{p,r,T}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (44) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (44) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough. Applying Lemmas 7 and 10, we conclude that $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{d^2},1,T}$.

 $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough. Applying Lemmas 7 and 10, we conclude that $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}]},1,T}$.

Next, applying Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we deduce that the two quantities $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}},\infty}}$ and $\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{p}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}},\infty}}$ are equivalent, then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ such that $T = \infty$ and (44) holds whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{p},\infty}} \le \sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$.

Remark 1. From Theorem 3 and the proof of Lemma 7, and Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we have the following imbedding maps

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{d/[\frac{d}{p}],r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, a function in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\bar{p},\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

3.2. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$.

Lemma 11. Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$ with $d \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{d,1,\infty}$ for all $\tilde{p} > p$.

Proof. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\bar{p}}{p} - 1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}},$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

Let p' and \tilde{p}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}'} = 1.$$

We have

$$\left\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} = \left\|e^{-t|\xi|^2}\hat{u}_0(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} = \left\|e^{-t|\xi|^2}|\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}}|\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}}.$$

Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces to obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\bar{p}',1}} &= \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\bar{p}}{p}-p},1} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{\bar{p}})} \left\| e^{-|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p\bar{p}}{p}-p},1} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ &\simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} &= t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore this gives the desired result

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}}.$$

We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} = 0.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$. Applying Lemma 8 and from the above proof we deduce that

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \leq \\ t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} \leq$$

$$C_{1} \left\| e^{-|\xi|^{2}} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-p},1}} \left\| 1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}}$$

$$+ C_{2} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_{n}} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-p},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}}$$

$$\leq C_{3} \left\| 1_{B_{n}^{c}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} + C_{4}(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_{0} \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p},r}} < \epsilon$$

for large enough n and small enough t = t(n) > 0.

Lemma 12. Let

$$p \ge d \text{ and } d < \tilde{p} < 2p. \tag{47}$$

Then the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^{p}$, and we have the inequality

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^{p}} \le C||u||_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}} ||v||_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}},$$
 (48)

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right) = \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+1)}}K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)*\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right),$$

where the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l,k,j}(x)\}$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l). \tag{49}$$

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\left\|\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+1)}} \left\|K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \left\|\left(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2},\infty}},\tag{50}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}}.\tag{51}$$

Note that from the inequality (47), we can check that $1 < r < \infty$. Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$||u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2},\infty}} \lesssim ||u(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} ||v(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}.$$
 (52)

From the equalities (49) and (51) it follows that

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r}} \|\hat{K}\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{\tilde{p}})}. \tag{53}$$

From the estimates (50), (52), and (53), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}} &\lesssim (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \\ &= (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

This gives the desired result

$$\begin{split} & \left\| B(u,v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}. \end{split}$$
 (54)

From (54) it follows the validity of (13) since

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}_{cp, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} = 0.$$

The estimate (48) can be deduced from the inequality (54).

Lemma 13. Let $\tilde{p} > d$, then the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\tilde{p}}$, and we have the inequality

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\bar{p}}} \le C ||u||_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\bar{p}}} ||v||_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\bar{p}}},$$
 (55)

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) = \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) * \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right),$$

where the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l,k,j}(x)\}$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l).$$
 (56)

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \left\| \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2},\infty}}, \tag{57}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}.\tag{58}$$

Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$||u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\infty}} \lesssim ||u(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\infty}} ||v(\tau)||_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\infty}}.$$
 (59)

From the equalities (56) and (58) it follows that

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r}} \left\| \hat{K} \right\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}. \tag{60}$$

From the estimates (57), (59), and (60), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \left(u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} &\lesssim (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}+1)} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \\ &= (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

This gives the desired result

$$\begin{split} & \|B(u,v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \sup_{0<\eta< t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}. \end{split}$$
(61)

From (61) it follows the validity (12) since

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} = 0.$$

Finally, the estimate (55) can be deduced from the inequality (61). \Box The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 13.

Lemma 14. Let $d < \tilde{p}_1 < \infty$ and $d \leq \tilde{p}_2 < \infty$ be such that one of the following conditions is satisfied

$$d < \tilde{p}_1 < 2d, d \le \tilde{p}_2 < \frac{d\tilde{p}_1}{2d - \tilde{p}_1},$$

or

$$\tilde{p}_1 = 2d, d \leq \tilde{p}_2 < \infty,$$

or

$$2d < \tilde{p}_1 < \infty, \frac{\tilde{p}_1}{2} < \tilde{p}_2 < \infty.$$

Then the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}_1} \times \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}_1}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\tilde{p}_2}$, and we have the inequality

$$\|B(u,v)\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}_{2}}_{d,1,T}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}_{1}}_{d,\infty,T}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}_{1}}_{d,\infty,T}},$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Theorem 6. Let $p \ge d$ and $1 \le r < \infty$. Then for any \tilde{p} such that

$$\tilde{p} > p, \tag{62}$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all T > 0 and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \le \delta_{\tilde{p}, d}, \tag{63}$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \bigcap_{q>p} \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T} \cap L^{\infty}([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}).$

In particular, the inequality (63) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 13 and Theorem 4, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all T > 0 and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying the inequality (63) then NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{p}}_{d,1,T}$. Next, we prove that $u \in \bigcap_{q>p} \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$.

Consider two cases $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$ and $2d \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$ separately.

First, we consider the case $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$. We consider two possibilities $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$ and $\tilde{p} \leq \frac{4d}{3}$. In the case $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$, we apply Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_1$ where $\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{d\tilde{p}}{2d-\tilde{p}} > 2d$. Thus, $u \in \mathcal{K}^{2d}_{d,1,T}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14, we deduce that $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q > p. In the case $\tilde{p} \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, we set up the following series of numbers $\{\tilde{p}_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ by inductive. Set $\tilde{p}_0 = \tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_0}{2d-\tilde{p}_0}$. We have $\tilde{p}_1 > \tilde{p}_0$. If $\tilde{p}_1 > \frac{4d}{3}$ then set N = 1 and stop here. In the case $\tilde{p}_1 \leq \frac{4d}{3}$ set $\tilde{p}_2 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_1}{2d-\tilde{p}_1}$. We have $\tilde{p}_3 > \tilde{p}_1$. If $\tilde{p}_2 > \frac{4d}{3}$ then set N = 2 and stop here. In the case $\tilde{p}_2 \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, set $\tilde{p}_3 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_2}{2d-\tilde{p}_2}$. We have $\tilde{p}_3 > \tilde{p}_2$, and so on, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\tilde{p}_k \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, $\tilde{p}_{k+1} = \frac{d\tilde{p}_k}{2d-\tilde{p}_k} > \frac{4d}{3}$. We set N = k+1 and stop here, and we have

$$\tilde{p}_0 = \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}_i = \frac{d\tilde{p}_{i-1}}{2d - \tilde{p}_{i-1}}, \tilde{p}_i > \tilde{p}_{i-1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, ..., N,$$

$$2d \ge \tilde{p}_N > \frac{4d}{3} \ge \tilde{p}_{N-1}.$$

From $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\tilde{p}_0}$, applying Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_1$. Then applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to

obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_2$, and so on, finishing we have $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_N$. Therefore $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q satisfying $\frac{4d}{3} < q < \tilde{p}_N$. From the proof of the case $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q > p.

Next, we consider the case $2d \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\frac{\tilde{p}}{2^{i-1}} \ge \max\{2d, p\} > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}.$$

From $\tilde{p} \geq \max\{2d,p\}$, we have $i \geq 1$. Applying the Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^2}$, and so on, finishing we have $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^{i-1}}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all $q > \max\{p, \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}\}$. If $p \geq \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$ then we have $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q > p. If $p < \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$ then $2d > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$. Thus $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q satisfying $\frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i} < q < 2d$. Therefore, from the proof of the case $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}^q_{d,1,T}$ for all q > p.

The fact that $u \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})$ can be deduced from Lemmas 5 and 12. Applying Lemma 11, we get $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (63) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (63) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough.

Remark 2. From the proof of Lemma 11 and Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we have the following imbedding maps

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{\bar{p}}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{\bar{p}}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{\bar{p}}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, a function in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{p}-1,\infty}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

3.3. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$.

We define an auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$ which is made up by the functions u(t,x) such that

$$||u||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}} := \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} ||u(t,x)||_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t, x) \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c, 1, r}} = 0, \tag{64}$$

with

$$d-1 \le s < d, 1 \le r \le \infty, T > 0$$

and

$$\alpha = \alpha(s) = s + 1 - d.$$

In the case s = d - 1, it is also convenient to define the space $\mathcal{K}_{d-1,r,T}$ as the natural space $L^{\infty}([0,T];\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1})$ with the additional condition that its elements u(t,x) satisfy

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|u(t, x)\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}} = 0. \tag{65}$$

Lemma 15. Let $1 \le r \le \tilde{r} \le \infty$. Then we have the following imbedding

$$\mathcal{K}_{s,1,T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,\tilde{r},T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T}$$
.

Proof. It is deduced from Lemma 1 (a) and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$.

Lemma 16. Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$, then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,\infty}$ with d-1 < s < d.

Proof. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{cl,r}} \lesssim \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{cl,r}} \text{ for } 1 \le r \le \infty.$$
 (66)

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}} = \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^s \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}_{\xi}} = \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}_{\xi}} \\ & \leq t^{-\frac{s+1-d}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}} \simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{cl,r}}. \end{aligned}$$
(67)

We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c^{1,r}}} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le r < \infty.$$

From the inequality (67), we have

$$\left. t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}} \leq \\ \left. t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \mathbf{1}_{B^c_n} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}_{\varepsilon}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \mathbf{1}_{B_n} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}_{\varepsilon}}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, applying Lemma 8, we have

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,r}} \le \| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-|\xi|^2} \|_{L^{\infty}} \| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L^{\infty,r}}$$

$$= C \| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L^{\infty,r}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$
(68)

for large enough n. Fixed one of such n, we have the following estimates

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} 1_{B_{n}} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,r}}$$

$$\leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| 1_{B_{n}} |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^{2}} \|_{L^{\infty}} \| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{\infty,r}}$$

$$\leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| 1_{B_{n}} |\xi|^{s+1-d} \|_{L^{\infty}} \| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{\infty,r}} = t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \|_{L^{\infty,r}}$$

$$= t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \| u_{0} \|_{\dot{H}_{c^{1},r}^{d-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$(69)$$

for small enough t = t(n) > 0. From the estimates (68) and (69), we have,

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c^{1,r}}} \le C \left\| 1_{B^c_n} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty,r}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{c^{1,r}}} < \epsilon. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 17. Let d-1 < s < d. Then the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T} \times \mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{s,1,T}$ and we have the inequality

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,1,T}} \le C||u||_{\mathcal{K}} \qquad ||v||_{\mathcal{K}} \qquad (70)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. Using the Fourier transform we get

$$\mathcal{F}(B(u,v)_{j}(t))(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^{2}} \sum_{l,k=1}^{d} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_{j}\xi_{k}}{|\xi|^{2}}\right) (i\xi_{l}) \left(\widehat{u_{l}(\tau)} * \widehat{v_{k}(\tau)}\right)(\xi) d\tau.$$

Thus

$$\left| |\xi|^s \mathcal{F} \left(B(u,v)(t) \right) (\xi) \right| \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^s e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| \left(|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}| \right) (\xi) d\tau.$$

We have

$$|\xi|^s|\widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left||\xi|^s \widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)\right| = \left\|u(\tau)\right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^1}} \text{ and } |\xi|^s|\widehat{v(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \left\|v(\tau)\right\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^1}},$$

therefore

$$|\widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}}}{|\xi|^{s}}, \ |\widehat{v(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}}}{|\xi|^{s}}.$$

A standard argument shows that

$$\frac{1}{|\xi|^s} * \frac{1}{|\xi|^s} = \frac{C}{|\xi|^{2s-d}}.$$

From the above estimates and Lemma 1 (b), we have

$$\begin{split} & \big(|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}| \big)(\xi) \leq \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}}}{|\xi|^{s}} * \frac{\|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}}}{|\xi|^{s}} \simeq \\ & \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}}}{|\xi|^{2s-d}} = \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}}}{|\xi|^{2s-d}}, \end{split}$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^t |\xi|^s e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| \Big(|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}| \Big) (\xi) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \big\| u(\tau) \big\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} \big\| v(\tau) \big\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\left\| |\xi|^{s} \mathcal{F}(B(u,v)(t))(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,1}} \lesssim$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\| |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^{2}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\frac{s-d-1}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-|\xi|^{2}} \right\|_{L^{\infty,1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} d\tau$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0<\eta

$$= \sup_{0<\eta

$$\simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0<\eta

$$(71)$$$$$$$$

Let us now check the validity of the condition (64) for the bilinear term B(u, v)(t). Indeed, from (71)

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{\mathcal{L}^{1, 1}}} = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \||\xi|^{s} \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c^{1,\infty}}} = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| v(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c^{1,\infty}}} = 0.$$

The estimate (70) is deduced from the inequality (71).

Lemma 18. Let d-1 < s < d. Then the bilinear operator B(u,v)(t) is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T} \times \mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d-1,1,T}$ and we have the inequality

$$||B(u,v)||_{\mathcal{K}_{d-1,1,T}} \le C ||u||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,m,T}} ||v||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,m,T}},$$
 (72)

where C is a positive constant and independent of T.

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 17, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F} \big(B(u,v)(t) \big) (\xi) \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{d-1} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| \big(|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}| \big) (\xi) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} \mathrm{d}\tau, \end{aligned}$$

this gives the desired result

$$\left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F} \left(B(u,v)(t) \right) (\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,1}}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} \left\| |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^{2}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty,1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} d\tau$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{s-d} \left\| |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-|\xi|^{2}} \right\|_{L^{\infty,1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} d\tau$$

$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s},0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} d\tau$$

$$= \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s},0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau$$

$$\simeq \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s},0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^{s}} . \tag{73}$$

From (73) it follows (65) since

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1, 1}}^{d-1}} = \lim_{t \to 0} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F} \left(B(u, v)(t) \right) (\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| u(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c1,\infty}} = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \| v(t) \|_{\dot{H}^{s}_{c1,\infty}} = 0.$$

The estimate (72) can be deduced from the inequality (73).

Theorem 7. Let d-1 < s < d and $1 \le r < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $\delta_{s,d}$ such that for all T > 0 and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^1}} \le \delta_{s,d}, \tag{74}$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T} \cap L^{\infty}([0,T]; \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}})$. In particular, the inequality (74) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{s,d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{c_1}} \leq \sigma_{s,d}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 7 is similar to that of Theorem 5. Applying Lemma 17 and Theorem 4, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{s,d}$ such that for any $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}} = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^1}} \le \delta_{s,d},$$

the Navier-Stokes equations has a solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T}$. Applying Lemmas 5 and 18 we deduce that $u \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}})$. Applying Lemma 16, we get $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (74) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (74) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough.

Next, from the inequality (66) with $r = \infty$, we deduce that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^s_{\mathcal{L}^1}} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^1}},$$

then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{s,d}$ such that $T = \infty$ and (74) holds whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{d-1}_{c^1}} \leq \sigma_{s,d}$.

Remark 3. The case $r=\infty$ was studied by Le Jan and Sznitman in [26]. They showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the space $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}$. For $1\leq r<\infty$ we have the following imbedding map

$$\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

However, note that for $1 \leq r < \infty$ a function in $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm. Theorem 7 shows the existence of global mild solutions in the spaces $L^{\infty}([0,\infty);\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (with $1 \leq r < \infty$) when the norm of the initial value in the spaces $\dot{H}^{d-1}_{\mathcal{L}^1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough.

Acknowledgments. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.02-2014.50.

References

- [1] C. Ahn and Y. Cho, Lorentz space extension of Strichartz estimates, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), no. 12, 3497-3503.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Lofstrom, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976, 264 p.
- [3] J. Bourgain and N. Pavloviéc, *Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D*, J. Funct. Anal., **255** (9) (2008), 2233-2247.
- [4] M. Cannone, Ondelettes, Paraproduits et Navier-Stokes, Diderot Editeur, Paris, 1995, 191 p.
- [5] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 13 (3) (1997), 515-541.
- [6] M. Cannone and F. Planchon, On the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations with an external force, Adv. in Diff. Eq., 4 (5) (1999), 697-730.
- [7] M. Cannone and Y. Meyer, Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the Navier-Stokes equations, Meth. and Appl. of Anal., 2 (1995), 307-319.
- [8] J. M. Chemin, Remarques sur l'existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 23 (1992), 20-28.
- [9] E. Fabes, B. Jones and N. Riviere, The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 45 (1972), 222-240.
- [10] Y. Giga, Solutions of semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system, J. Differ. Eq., 62 (1986), 186-212.
- [11] Y. Giga and T. Miyakawa, Solutions in L^r of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 89 (1985), 267-281.
- [12] L. Hörmander, Linear partial differential operators, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1976
- [13] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, Solutions in mixed-norm Sobolev-Lorentz spaces to the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 417 (2014), 819-833

- [14] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in Sobolev-Lorentz spaces. (in preparation)
- [15] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with datum in the Sobolev spaces. (in preparation)
- [16] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, On the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial datum in critical Sobolev and Besov spaces. To appear in the Journal of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo.
- [17] T. Kato and H. Fujita, On the non-stationary Navier-Stokes system, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **32** (1962), 243-260.
- [18] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 16 (1964), 269-315.
- [19] H. Hajaiej, X. Yu and Z. Zhai, Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Hardy inequalities under Lorentz norms, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 396 (2012), no. 2, 569-577.
- [20] T. Kato, Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^m with applications to weak solutions, Math. Zeit., 187 (1984), 471-480.
- [21] T. Kato, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in Morrey spaces, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math., 22 (1992), 127-155.
- [22] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *The Navier-Stokes equations with weak initial data*, Int. Math. Res. Notes, **10** (1994), 435-444.
- [23] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Math., **157** (1) (2001), 22-35.
- [24] P. G. Lemarie-Rieusset, Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 431, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [25] Zh. Lei and F. H. Lin, Global mild solutions of Navier-Stokes equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64 (2011), 1297-1304.
- [26] Y. Le Jan and A. S. Sznitman, Cascades aléatoires et équations de Navier-Stokes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 324 Série I (1997), 823-826.

- [27] M. E. Taylor, Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes equations and other evolution equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 17 (1992), 1407-1456.
- [28] B. Wang, Ill-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations in critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}$, Adv. Math., 268 (2015), 350-372.
- [29] F. B. Weissler, The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L^p , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **74** (1981), 219-230.