

Strangeness Production in AA and pp Collisions

Paolo Castorina^a and Helmut Satz^b

a: Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia, Universita' di Catania,
and INFN, Sezione de Catania, Catania, Italy

b: Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Germany

Abstract

Boost-invariant hadron production in high energy collisions occurs in causally disconnected regions of finite space-time size. As a result, globally conserved quantum numbers (charge, strangeness, baryon number) are conserved locally in spatially restricted correlation clusters. Their size is determined by two time scales: the equilibration time specifying the formation of a quark-gluon plasma, and the hadronization time, specifying the onset of confinement. The expected values for these scales provide the theoretical basis for the suppression observed for strangeness production in elementary interactions (pp , e^+e^-) below LHC energies. In contrast, the space-time superposition of individual collisions in high energy heavy ion interactions leads to higher energy densities, resulting in much later hadronization and hence much larger hadronization volumes. This largely removes the causality constraints and results in an ideal hadronic resonance gas in full chemical equilibrium. In the present paper, we determine the collision energies needed for that; we also estimate when pp collisions reach comparable hadronization volumes and thus determine when strangeness suppression should disappear there as well.

The main aim in the study of high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions is the production of the quark-gluon plasma predicted as a new state of matter by statistical QCD. It is expected that nuclear collisions, in contrast to proton-proton interactions, will provide a much larger interaction volume and thus allow an investigation of bulk QCD features. The first step in such a study is therefore the determination of observables which show a different behavior in pp and in AA collisions. The three basic deviations in AA collisions so far established are

- enhanced strangeness production [1],
- suppressed quarkonium production [2], and
- jet quenching [3, 4]

Our topic in the present paper is the issue of strangeness production. In pp collisions, one finds a suppression of strange hadron production relative to an equilibrium distribution of species abundances at the hadronization temperature. In nuclear collisions at sufficiently high energy (RHIC and above), this suppression disappears; now also strange hadrons are produced in accord with the ratios predicted by a grand canonical resonance gas at T_H .

The strangeness suppression in pp collisions as well as in low energy nuclear collisions has been accounted for in terms of local strangeness conservation [5]. To conserve strangeness, a produced s quark has to be compensated by a corresponding \bar{s} sufficiently nearby. If in a given rapidity range only a single strange particle pair is produced, the use of an equivalent overall composition volume [6] for a resonance gas is not valid. Strangeness conservation then requires a smaller conservation volume, and this leads to an effective reduction of the strangeness production rates. In high energy nuclear collisions, the superposition of many nucleon-nucleon interaction volumes leads to abundant strangeness production and thus removes the need for a smaller conservation volume.

In a recent paper [7], we had shown that in case of a boost-invariant production process, causality requirements lead to the existence of causally disconnected spatial production regions. Globally conserved quantum numbers (charge, strangeness, baryon number) must therefore be conserved within these regions, which are smaller than the effective overall global volume of a grand canonical description. This provides a theoretical basis for the smaller strangeness conservation volumes just mentioned. In high energy heavy ion collisions, the superposition of several such volumes from individual nucleon-nucleon collisions in the same rapidity region is expected to remove such constraints.

In the present paper, we want to quantify these considerations somewhat more, and also show that at extreme collision energies, even proton-proton collisions are expected to lead to full chemical equilibrium for strangeness. The crucial quantity is the size d of the causally connected interaction region at the time of hadronization. It was found to be [7]

$$\frac{d}{\tau_0} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_h}{\tau_0}} \left(\frac{\tau_h}{\tau_0} - 1 \right), \quad (1)$$

where τ_0 and τ_h denote the equilibration time (quark-gluon plasma formation time) and the hadronization time (color confinement time), respectively. The resulting variation of the correlation scale d is shown in Fig. 1; with the canonical choice $\tau_0 \sim 1$ fm, that gives the scale in fm .




Figure 1: The correlation scale d as function of hadronization time τ_h/τ_0

For one-dimensional isentropic expansion, corresponding to boost-invariant production,

the times are related to the corresponding entropy densities s ,

$$s_0\tau_0 = s_h\tau_h. \quad (2)$$

For ideal gas behavior, entropy and energy density are related by

$$s \sim \epsilon^{3/4},$$

so that we then have

$$\frac{\tau_h}{\tau_0} = \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_h}\right)^{3/4},$$

where ϵ_0 is the initial energy density of the collision, and ϵ_h is the energy density at the hadronization transition, for which lattice calculations give $\epsilon_h \simeq 0.4 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$ [8, 9]. Combining this with eq. 1, we obtain in Fig. 2 the variation of the scale parameter with the initial energy density ϵ_0 .





Figure 2: The correlation scale d as function of initial energy density ϵ_0

For the assumed boost-invariant production, the initial energy density ϵ_0^{AA} for AA collisions is given in terms of the resulting hadron production through the well-known relation [10]

$$\epsilon_0^{AA} = \frac{m_T}{\tau_0\pi R_A^2} \left(\frac{dN_{AA}}{dy}\right)_0 = \frac{m_T A^{1/3}}{\tau_0\pi R_0^2} \left(\frac{dN_{AA}}{dy}\right)_0. \quad (3)$$

Here $m_T \simeq 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ is the average transverse momentum per hadron, $R_A \simeq A^{1/3}R_0$ the average nuclear radius, with $R_0 = 1.25 \text{ fm}$, and $(dN_{AA}/dy)_0$ the average hadron multiplicity at central rapidity. We parametrize the latter quantity as $(dN_{AA}/dy)_0 = A(dN_A/dy)_0$, with $(dN_A/dy)_0$ denoting one-half of the hadron multiplicity per participant in the AA collision. This multiplicity is shown in Fig. 3, where it is compared to the corresponding quantity in proton-proton collisions [11]. In that case, we have

$$\epsilon_0^{pp} = \frac{m_T}{\tau_0\pi R_p^2} \left(\frac{dN_{pp}}{dy}\right)_0, \quad (4)$$



ALI-PUB-15

Figure 3: The charged hadron multiplicity per participant in AA and in pp collisions as function of the collision energy \sqrt{s} [11].

where $R_p \simeq 0.8$ fm denotes the proton radius.

Using the results of Fig. 3, we can now compare the correlation scale $d(\sqrt{s})$ in AA and pp collisions. The result is shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: The correlation scale d for pp and AA collisions, for different input values of ϵ_h

It is evident that the higher energy density in AA collisions leads at a given \sqrt{s} to much larger correlation volumes than that found for pp interactions. We can use these results to address two issues:

- At what incident energy has the correlation scale reached a value in AA collisions, for which we should expect grand canonical considerations to be valid? An experimental indication is provided by the disappearance of strangeness suppression in such collisions. The issue has also been addressed in theoretical studies [12].
- At what incident energy does the scale parameter reach a comparable value in pp interactions? This would indicate when we should expect strangeness suppression to vanish also in such elementary collisions.

To address the first issue, we recall that a parametric way to take strangeness suppression into account in the statistical hadronization model is the introduction of a suppression factor γ_s , multiplying by γ_s^n the Boltzmann factor of each species containing n quarks [13]. Fits of low energy nuclear collision data lead to $\gamma_s \simeq 0.6$, increasing with collision energy. In Fig. 5, the behavior of γ_s vs. \sqrt{s} for nucleus-nucleus collisions [14] shows that for $\sqrt{s} \simeq 30 - 40$ GeV the strangeness suppression essentially disappears.




Figure 5: γ_s for heavy ion collisions at different energies [14]

The mentioned alternative of local strangeness conservation [5] is based on exact conservation (“canonical” formulation) combined with a smaller volume of correlation radius R_c . It is within this volume that strangeness must be conserved, and with increasing R_c , one evidently recovers the grand canonical form. As shown in Fig. 6 [12], this occurs for a strangeness correlation radius of about 2 fm; note that $d \simeq 2R_c$.




Figure 6: Comparison of canonical and grand-canonical results for different size of the strangeness correlation volume [12]

For the second issue, we have to determine at which collision energy the energy density in pp interactions reaches the value at which in AA collisions strangeness suppression vanishes. In Fig. 7 we show the collision energy values in AA and in pp collisions, for which the energy densities of the two interactions are equal. We note that to obtain the values at which in AA collisions strangeness suppression vanishes, pp interaction require collision energies of some 5 - 7 TeV. It should be emphasized here, however, that a somewhat larger correlation volume than that used here remains definitely possible, and that would shift the necessary pp energy to higher values. The values we have obtained just reach the highest presently obtainable LHC energies, and there are already first indications showing a considerable increase of strangeness production in pp collisions at 900 GeV and at 7 TeV [15, 16].




Figure 7: The collision energy values for which the initial energy density ϵ_0 in pp is equal to that in AA

It would obviously be of great interest to see if high energy pp results approach the AA results also for the other two indicators mentioned, quarkonium suppression and jet quenching.

References

- [1] B. Mueller and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1066.
- [2] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B187 (1986) 416.
- [3] J D. Bjorken, Fermilab-Pub-82/59-THY (1982) and Erratum
- [4] M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B 420 (1994) 583.
- [5] J. S. Hamieh, K. Redlich and A. Tounsi, Phys. Lett. B 486 (2000) 61.
- [6] F. Becattini and G. Passaleva, EPJ C 23 (2002) 551.

- [7] P. Castorina and H. Satz, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*23 (2014) 4, 1450019.
- [8] A. Bazavov et al. (hotQCD), *Phys. Rev. D*90 (2014) 094503.
- [9] From the data of ref. [8] one obtains $\epsilon_h = 0.35 + 0.20 - 0.15 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$; F. Karsch, private communication.
- [10] J. D. Bjorken, *Phys. Rev. D*27 (1983) 140.
- [11] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 252301; arXiv nucl-exp 1011.3916.
- [12] I. Kraus et al., *J. Phys. G* 37 (2010) 09421.
- [13] J. Letessier, J. Rafelski and A. Tounsi, *Phys. Rev. C* 64 (1994) 406.
- [14] J. Manninen, F. Becattini and M. Gazdzicki, *PR C*73 (2006) 044905.
- [15] L. Bianchi (ALICE Coll.), report at Quark Matter 2015, Kobe, Japan.
- [16] D. D. Chinellato (ALICE Coll.), report at Strangeness in Quark Matter 2015, Dubna, Russia.